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Honorable Mayor, Members of the City Council, and Citizens of the City of Rancho Cucamonga:

The Annual Comprehensive Financial Report (ACFR) of the City of Rancho Cucamonga for the Fiscal Year ended June 30, 2022, is submitted herewith.

This report consists of management's representations concerning the finances of the City. Management assumes full responsibility for the completeness and reliability of all information presented in this report, based on a comprehensive internal control framework established for this purpose. Because the cost of internal controls should not exceed anticipated benefits, the objective is to provide reasonable, rather than an absolute, assurance that the financial statements are free of material misstatement.

The City's financial statements have been audited by Lance, Soll \& Lunghard, LLP, certified public accountants. The goal of the independent audit was to provide reasonable assurance that the City's financial statement is free of material misstatement. Based upon their audit, the auditors have issued an unmodified ("clean") opinion on these financial statements. Their report is located at the front of the financial section of this report.

Management's discussion and analysis (MD\&A) immediately follows the independent auditor's report and provides a narrative introduction, overview, and analysis of the basic financial statements. MD\&A complements this letter of transmittal and should be read in conjunction with it.

## Profile of Rancho Cucamonga

The City is located in southern California and the westernmost portion of San Bernardino County. As a geographic location to major cities, the City is approximately 45 miles east of Los Angeles, 20 miles west of San Bernardino, and 115 miles north of San Diego. The City is immediately located between the cities of Upland to the west, Ontario to the south, and Fontana to the east. The City's current estimated population is 174,476 , making it the fourth largest of the county's 24 cities and the $29^{\text {th }}$ largest in California.

The City is a 47 square mile city. Although the City was incorporated in 1977, the community was shaped years prior. Alta Loma, Etiwanda, and Cucamonga experienced massive and uncontrolled growth due to Los Angeles and Orange County families seeking affordable housing. In 1975, the Tri-Community Incorporation Committee was created to propose the formation of a new city because citizens were concerned about the future and understood that their vision would allow the area to manage development. The proposal went before the voters in November of 1977, and the incorporation was approved.

The City is a general law city and operates under the Council-Manager form of city government, with a five-member City Council. Council members serve staggered four-year terms. Council elections are held in November of even-numbered years. The Mayor is elected at large, and Council members are elected based on geographic districts. There is no limit on the number of terms an individual can serve as Mayor or Council member.

The City provides a full range of municipal services, including police, public works, planning, building and safety, recreation, library, animal care and control, community improvement, and economic development. The City contracts with other governmental entities, private firms, and individuals to deliver specific services, including police services provided by the San Bernardino Sheriff's Department. Fire services are provided by the Rancho Cucamonga Fire Protection District, a legally separate entity, but are included within the City's reporting entity for financial reporting purposes. A different government agency provides water and sewer services.

The City has included within its reporting entity for financial reporting purposes all agencies for which it is financially accountable. These agencies include the Rancho Cucamonga Public Improvement Corporation, the Rancho Cucamonga Fire Protection District, the Rancho Cucamonga Library, and the Rancho Cucamonga Public Financing Authority. The activities of these agencies are included in these financial statements.

The Rancho Cucamonga Public Improvement Corporation was established for charitable purposes, including rendering financial assistance to the City by financing, acquiring, constructing, improving, and leasing public improvements to benefit residents of the City and the surrounding area. The Rancho Cucamonga Fire Protection District was taken over from the County of San Bernardino in July 1989 to provide fire suppression and protection to the City. The Rancho Cucamonga Library became a part of the City when it withdrew from the San Bernardino County Library System in July 1994. It strives to inform and enrich our community by providing access to traditional and technologically innovative resources. It also supports and encourages education and the love of reading in a welcoming atmosphere with a knowledgeable, service-oriented staff. The Rancho Cucamonga Public Financing Authority was established to facilitate the financing and the refinancing of construction, expansion, upgrading, and improvement of the public capital facilities necessary to support the rehabilitation and construction of residential and economic development within the City.

The former Redevelopment Agency of the City of Rancho Cucamonga (RDA) was dissolved on February 1, 2012. Upon dissolution, the assets and liabilities of the RDA were transferred to the Successor Agency of the RDA. The City is obligated to report the resources and activities of the Successor Agency in a separate Private-Purpose Trust Fund, which is also included in these financial statements. Additional information on all these agencies can be found in Note 1 to the financial statements.

The City adopts an annual budget, which the City Council adopts by June 30 each year. Each department's budgeted appropriations are controlled at the character of expense level. These levels are categorized as personnel services, operations and maintenance, capital outlay, debt service, cost allocation, and transfers out to other funds. The budget is monitored at the character of expense level, but the legal level of budgetary control, that is, the level at which expenditures cannot exceed appropriations, is the fund level within the General Fund, as well as Special Revenue and Capital Projects Funds. The use of an encumbrance system further maintains budgetary control. Revenues are also estimated annually in the adoption of the annual budget. Revenues and expenditures are monitored continuously during the Fiscal Year, with quarterly updates provided to the City Council.

## Local Economy

Rancho Cucamonga has a diverse office, light manufacturing and distribution, and retail business, which emphasizes the City's efforts at attracting and retaining sales tax-generating businesses to help provide a stable financial base. The City could be considered a "bedroom community" due to the sprawling suburban development that took place during the 1980 and 1990s; however, unlike other communities of this status, due to post-proposition 13 incorporation, Rancho Cucamonga receives a smaller property tax share than
the Rancho Cucamonga Fire Protection District and neighboring cities, about 5 cents for every dollar. Thus, revenue diversification beyond property and sales tax which comprise a substantial share of the City's general revenue budget is both important and necessary. While property tax is a stable revenue source for the City, sales tax can vary depending on consumer and economic cycles. Other significant revenue sources for the City include franchise fees and transient occupancy taxes.

The commercial section of the City is anchored by Victoria Gardens, the highest taxable value property in Rancho Cucamonga. Approximately $20 \%$ of the City's sales tax comes from Victoria Gardens. Tenants include Macy's, JCPenny, H\&M, AMC Theatres, and numerous dining establishments. Despite being a sizeable and popular regional mall, Rancho Cucamonga is not the largest sales tax-generating cities in San Bernardino County. Instead, Rancho Cucamonga is relatively modest for sales tax generation for its size, receiving fewer sales tax receipts than larger nearby cities due to their large e-commerce warehouses and/or auto malls. Statewide, these neighboring cities generate sales tax per capita ranging from $\$ 377$ to $\$ 625$ and are in the top 100 rankings. In comparison, Rancho Cucamonga is a respectable but average sales tax performer; we ranked 238th in sales tax per capita (\$222) out of 539 cities and counties for the calendar year 2021 (up from 258th in the calendar year 2020).

As the City matures, it is taking a more nuanced approach to its revenue needs. As mentioned, Rancho Cucamonga cannot rely on property taxes alone and seeks a combination of property taxes, sales taxes, and other revenue sources. Property and sales taxes comprise approximately $70 \%$ of general revenues for the City, and transient occupancy taxes and franchise fees add up to $12 \%$. To that end, City staff evaluates development growth in a revenue per acre framework as an opportunity to maximize and diversify our revenue stream. By being selective and waiting for the suitable types of development which create more value per acre relative to their uses, the City will help grow and develop stable revenue sources over the long term while population, operating, and capital costs increase.

Government agencies, such as the City and K-12 school districts, comprise the majority of the top list of principal employers within the City. Besides governmental employers, two of the largest single employers in the City are Inland Empire Health Plan (IEHP) and Chaffey Community College. IEHP, a joint powers agency, is the county's top ten largest Medicaid health plan and largest not-for-profit Medicare-Medicaid plan. IEHP organizes health care for over 1.5 million members in San Bernardino and Riverside counties and is the most extensive local Initiative plan in the Inland Empire region, serving more than $90 \%$ of the Medi-Cal managed care market compared to its commercial counterpart. Chaffey College was founded in 1883, making it one of the oldest community colleges in California, serving students in Rancho Cucamonga and the immediate region. The community college provides students with multiple degrees that transfer to four-year universities and colleges.

Rancho Cucamonga experienced a slow but consistent recovery after the Great Recession. Steady job growth occurred in San Bernardino County, and the City's unemployment rate declined by $7.6 \%$ from the 2010 peak of $10.5 \%$ to $2.9 \%$ by 2019. The pandemic disrupted that trend and briefly caused unemployment to a spike in 2020 to $7.9 \%$. The current unemployment rate has dropped to $3.1 \%$ as of August 2022.

Median home prices were not affected by the pandemic. The current median price for detached single-family homes increased by over 18\% last year, the largest single-year growth since 2013; although substantial jumps in interest rates relative to recent lows will likely slow or flatten that growth going into next year. Similar to the previous year, the City's market continues to push for more moderate and higher-end apartment projects. There are currently more than 1,200 apartments under construction, and more have been entitled or going through the entitlement process. Despite these recent trends, single-family residential comprises over 44,000 units compared to just under 21,000 multi-family residential units in Rancho Cucamonga.

## Long-term financial planning

The City prepares revenue and expenditure projections as part of the annual budget, which is an integral part of the City's budget process. City staff using historical information, expert analysis, and data collected from the state, local, and professional organizations, generates an overall picture of the economic status of the local community. City budget staff then produce a financially conservative picture of the near future. Concurrent with the near-term revenue projections, City budget staff utilize the same data and information to maintain a running five-year forecast to help guide Rancho Cucamonga's medium and long-term planning for revenue and expenditures. Additionally, on an annual basis, the City updates a five-year Capital Improvement Program for projects.

For the significant revenue sources, the City projects an increase in sales tax revenue of 18.79\% over the Fiscal Year 2021/22 budget. This significant increase is due to the City's sales tax base rebounding well during the calendar year 2021 from the economic impacts of COVID. Continued, yet decelerating sales tax growth is anticipated into 2023. Property taxes are projected to increase by $5.52 \%$ due to the property turnover rate, pricing and appeals exposure, new construction activity, and Proposition 13's annual inflation adjustment. Property tax in lieu of vehicle license fee, categorized with property tax, is expected to increase 8.63\% from the Fiscal Year 2021/22 due to growth in assessed valuation for properties within the City. Additionally, the City periodically reviews and updates its user fees to recover the minimum costs of providing services for which a fee is charged.

The City's financial policy requires adopting a balanced operating budget each year. A balanced budgeted means that expenditures are equal to or less than the budgeted revenues and available fund balance. Any one-time revenues received are to be used for one-time costs. Any fund balance reserves are used for non-recurring expenditures, such as capital projects, but not for ongoing operations. The only exception to that policy is the use of reserves for changes in economic circumstances, which the City's fund balance policy governs its usage.

Adequate fund balance, or reserve, levels are necessary for the City's overall financial management strategy. It is the responsibility of the City Council to maintain a sufficient level of reserve funds to provide for the orderly provision of services to the citizens of the City of Rancho Cucamonga. The City Council can decide the circumstances under which the reserves can be used. From time to time, the City Manager and the Finance Director may make recommendations as to the level of reserve funds necessary for prudent fiscal management. Reserve levels shall be reviewed at least annually during the budget process to ensure that they are consistent with the conditions faced by the City.

The City received the GFOA Distinguished Budget Presentation Award for its Fiscal Year 2021/22 annual budget document. A governmental unit must publish a budget document that meets program criteria as a policy document, as an operations guide, as a financial plan, and as a communications device to qualify for the GFOA award. The City believes that the Fiscal Year 2022/23 budget document submitted to the GFOA for award consideration meets these high standards.

## Major initiatives/projects

- Second Story and Beyond ${ }^{\circledR}$ Capital Project - Second Story and Beyond ${ }^{\circledR}$ is an innovative project, evolving Library Services by blending traditional lifelong learning with child development and informal education. This one-of-a-kind project will create fun, play-based, interactive, and immersive experiences for visitors of all ages by installing museum-quality exhibits. Second Story and Beyond ${ }^{\circledR}$ supports collaboration and 21 st-century skills and will help children build an ecosystem of interdependent literacies. It will combine dynamic, interactive exhibits with creative programs engaging visitors as creators and innovators through open-ended play.
- The $\$ 5.3$ million tenant improvement stage of the Second Story and Beyond ${ }^{\circledR}$ project will occur early in the Fiscal Year 2022/23. Museum exhibits will be fabricated throughout the year and installed in early 2023. A soft launch of the new service is anticipated in June 2023, followed by an official Grand Opening event in July. Significant project enhancements have been made possible through a $\$ 2$ million grant from San Bernardino County and a $\$ 1$ million grant from the federal government through its NASA Fund.
- Fire Station 178 - This new two-story station on Town Center Drive and Terra Vista Parkway broke ground in the Fiscal Year 2021/22. Fire Station 178 is located in the southern portion of Rancho Cucamonga, where the City has an extensive office, residential and mixed-use development. This $\$ 15$ million project will address systemwide draw-down issues and provide a location for specialized equipment used to access these facilities. This station will be home to the new electric fire truck and one-day the $9 / 11$ Memorial Park. Fire Station 178 will be the first station built using the design-bid-build model, which is anticipated to be used in years to come for the new Fire Station 179 on 8th Street and future replacement stations, including Fire Station 171 on Amethyst Street.

Additionally, Fire Station 178 will provide a centralized, in-house, secure storage location for City records, permitting fast and accurate retrieval and reducing commercial storage costs. Presently, the City's paper records are archived at an offsite commercial storage facility; however, included in the Fire Station 178 project is a new records repository for $\$ 944,000$, split between the City and Fire District.

- Etiwanda Grade Separation - The design and right-of-way phases of the Etiwanda Grade Separation Project are planned to continue through the beginning of the Fiscal Year 2022/23, with construction anticipated to begin in Fiscal Year 2023-24. This project will construct a bridge over the railroad tracks that cross Etiwanda Avenue between Arrow Route and Napa Street. The project will eliminate conflicts and congestion currently caused by the existing at-grade crossing and improve connectivity and traffic flow in the southeast industrial area of the City. Though this project has been challenging and complex, it will ultimately result in a significant multi-million investment in infrastructure that will foster development in this area and help to achieve goals set out in the new General Plan.
- Dog Park - Construction of the $\$ 3.9$ million Central Park dog park will include three half-acre fenced enclosures for small and large dogs, a paved parking lot area, a new landscape consisting of turf, decomposed granite, various trees, and drought-tolerant planting, and various site amenities for dogs and owners. The dog park will also require constructing a new drive approach and entrance at Base Line Road and Spruce Avenue to allow access to the dog park. The construction of the Dog Park is the next phase of a master-planned Rancho Cucamonga Central Park
- Expansion of municipal broadband - Construction of the Rancho Fiber network will continue in the Fiscal Year 2022/23. Our goal is to expand the program's customer base and provide high-speed internet to businesses and residents in the service area. In the Fiscal Year 2022/23, the City will continue work on extending service along Haven Avenue, opening up the opportunity for approximately 36 new commercial businesses. A new commercial service extension on Arrow Route will serve the industrial development area from Etiwanda Avenue. Development of this area will serve industrial development and is incorporated with the Rancho Cucamonga Municipal Utility's line extension from Etiwanda Avenue. Also, City staff anticipate that approximately 880 new residential customers could be added with the opening of the next phase of The Resort community development.
- Financial Enterprise Application Replacement - A significant project for the Finance Department is replacing our 20+ year financial system software application. This once-in-a-career, multi-million project will increase productivity by digitizing routine transactions, electronically routing requests and approvals via workflows, eliminating paperwork, and capturing more data in everyday transactions to improve data analysis. Although the implementation is a joint project led by Finance, Human Resources, and the Department of Innovation and Technology, the entire organization will evaluate the day-to-day, weekly, monthly, quarterly, and yearly activity changes during implementation.


## Awards and acknowledgments

The Government Finance Officers Association of the United States and Canada (GFOA) awarded a Certificate of Achievement for Excellence in Financial Reporting to the City of Rancho Cucamonga for its comprehensive annual financial report for the Fiscal Year ended June 30, 2021. The Certificate of Achievement is a prestigious national award recognizing conformance with the highest standards for the preparation of state and local government financial reports.

To be awarded a Certificate of Achievement, a government must publish an easily readable and efficiently organized annual comprehensive financial report. This report must satisfy both generally accepted accounting principles and applicable legal requirements. The City of Rancho Cucamonga has received a Certificate of Achievement for Financial Excellence for the last thirty-four consecutive years and believes that our current annual comprehensive financial report continues to meet the Certificate of Achievement for Excellence in Financial Reporting program's requirements.

The preparation of this report could not have been accomplished without the dedicated services of the entire Finance Department. We appreciate and would like to commend all the City departments who assisted and contributed material to this document. We also recognize and would like to acknowledge the Mayor and members of the City Council for their interest and dedication in planning and conducting the financial operations of the City.

In closing, an expression of appreciation for the City Council for their leadership and support and for their continuing efforts to main the City's fiscal health. Lastly, we thank Councilmember Sam Spagnolo, who passed away at the age of 80 with over 50 years of service to the Rancho Cucamonga community and will be remembered as a pillar of the community.

Respectfully submitted,


John R. Gillison
City Manager


Noah Daniels
Finance Director
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## INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT

To the Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council
City of Rancho Cucamonga, California

## Report on the Financial Statements

## Opinions

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City of Rancho Cucamonga, California, (the City) as of and for the year ended June 30, 2022, and the related notes to financial statements, which collectively comprise the City's basic financial statements as listed in the table of contents

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City as of June 30, 2022, and the respective changes in financial position and, where applicable, cash flows thereof for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

## Basis for Opinions

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAS) and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Our responsibilities under those standards are further described in the Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of the Financial Statements section of our report. We are required to be independent of the City and to meet our other ethical responsibilities, in accordance with the relevant ethical requirements relating to our audits. We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.

## Emphasis of Matter

## Change in Accounting Principle

As described in Note 5 to the financial statements, in the fiscal year ended June 30, 2022, the City adopted new accounting guidance, GASB Statement No. 87, Leases. Our opinion is not modified with respect to this matter.

## Responsibilities of Management for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; and for the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

In preparing the financial statements, management is required to evaluate whether there are conditions or events, considered in the aggregate, that raise substantial doubt about the City's ability to continue as a going concern for twelve months beyond the financial statement date, including any currently known information that may raise substantial doubt shortly thereafter.

To the Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council
City of Rancho Cucamonga, California

## Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of the Financial Statements

Our objectives are to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements as a whole are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error, and to issue an auditor's report that includes our opinion. Reasonable assurance is a high level of assurance but is not absolute assurance and therefore is not a guarantee that an audit conducted in accordance with GAAS and Government Auditing Standards will always detect a material misstatement when it exists. The risk of not detecting a material misstatement resulting from fraud is higher than for one resulting from error, as fraud may involve collusion, forgery, intentional omissions, misrepresentations, or the override of internal control. Misstatements are considered material if, there is a substantial likelihood that, individually or in the aggregate, they would influence the judgment made by a reasonable user based on the financial statements.

In performing an audit in accordance with GAAS and Government Auditing Standards, we:

- Exercise professional judgment and maintain professional skepticism throughout the audit.
- Identify and assess the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error, and design and perform audit procedures responsive to those risks. Such procedures include examining, on a test basis, evidence regarding the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements.
- Obtain an understanding of internal control relevant to the audit in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control. Accordingly, no such opinion is expressed.
- Evaluate the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluate the overall presentation of the financial statements.
- Conclude whether, in our judgment, there are conditions or events, considered in the aggregate, that raise substantial doubt about the City's ability to continue as a going concern for a reasonable period of time.

We are required to communicate with those charged with governance regarding, among other matters, the planned scope and timing of the audit, significant audit findings, and certain internal control-related matters that we identified during the audit.

## Other Reporting Responsibilities

## Required Supplementary Information

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require that the management's discussion and analysis; the budgetary comparison schedules for the General Fund, Development Impact Fees, Lighting Districts, Housing Successor Agency, Fire District Funds and Federal Grants Funds; the schedule of changes in net pension liability and related ratios for the agent multiple-employer plan; the schedule of plan contributions for the agent multiple-employer plan; the schedule of proportionate share of the net pension liability for the cost sharing multiple-employer plans; the schedule of plan contributions for the cost sharing multiple-employer plans; the schedule of changes in net pension liability/(asset) and related ratios for PARS retirement enhancement plan; the schedule of plan contributions for PARS retirement enhancement plan; the schedule of changes in net OPEB liability/(asset) and related ratios; and the schedule of contributions - OPEB as listed in the table of contents, be presented to supplement the basic financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and, although not a part of the
basic financial statements, is required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board, who considers it to be an essential part of financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic, or historical context. We have applied certain limited procedures to the required supplementary information in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, which consisted of inquiries of management about the methods of preparing the information and comparing the information for consistency with management's responses to our inquiries, the basic financial statements, and other knowledge we obtained during our audit of the basic financial statements. We do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on the information because the limited procedures do not provide us with sufficient evidence to express an opinion or provide any assurance.

## Supplementary Information

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the City's basic financial statements. The combining and individual fund financial statements and schedules (supplementary information) are presented for purposes of additional analysis and are not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements or to the basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the supplementary information is fairly stated, in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements as a whole.

## Other Information

Management is responsible for the other information included in the annual report. The other information comprises the introductory section and statistical section but does not include the basic financial statements and our auditor's report thereon. Our opinions on the financial statements does not cover the other information, and we do not express an opinion or any form of assurance thereon. In connection with our audit of the financial statements, our responsibility is to read the other information and consider whether a material inconsistency exists between the other information and the financial statements, or the other information otherwise appears to be materially misstated. If, based on the work performed, we conclude that an uncorrected material misstatement of the other information exists, we are required to describe it in our report.

## Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with Government Auditing Standards, we have also issued our report dated December 12, 2022, on our consideration of the City's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards in considering the City's internal control over financial reporting and compliance.
hance, toll \& ownsare, sop
Brea, California
December 12, 2022
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## Management's Discussion and Analysis

This section of the Annual Comprehensive Financial Report of the City of Rancho Cucamonga (City) presents a narrative overview and discussion of the City's financial activities for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2022. This discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with the basic financial statements and accompanying notes which follow this section. We hope that the information and the discussions provide the readers with a clear picture of the City's overall financial condition.

## Financial Highlights

- The assets and deferred outflows of resources of the City exceeded liabilities and deferred inflows of resources at the close of the fiscal year by $\$ 1,440,250,887$, an increase of $\$ 92,372,772$ for the current fiscal year. The total net position consisted of $\$ 842,615,792$ as net investment in capital assets; $\$ 465,103,382$ as restricted; and $\$ 132,531,713$ as unrestricted.
- The total change in net position is $\$ 92,372,772$, consisting of governmental activities of $\$ 90,249,834$ and business-type activities of $\$ 2,122,938$.
- As of June 30, 2022, the aggregate ending fund balance of the City's governmental funds was $\$ 600,119,219$, an increase of $\$ 66,713,701$ from the prior fiscal year. The combined fund balance consisted of $\$ 23,658,120$ as nonspendable, $\$ 381,476,346$ as restricted, $\$ 148,122,714$ as committed, $\$ 51,346,748$ as assigned, and a deficit of $\$ 4,484,709$ as unassigned.
- At the end of the fiscal year, the General Fund reported a fund balance of $\$ 173,120,236$, of which $\$ 23,311,819$ was nonspendable, $\$ 16,162,437$ was restricted, $\$ 101,962,810$ was committed, and $\$ 31,683,170$ was assigned.
- The City's capital assets, net of accumulated depreciation and amortization, were $\$ 843,311,625$, a decrease of $\$ 904,531$ from the prior fiscal year. The total capital assets, net of depreciation, for governmental activities represented $\$ 800,559,420$, and business-type activities represented \$42,752,205.


## Overview of the Financial Statements

This annual report consists of management's discussion and analysis (MD\&A), basic financial statements, including the accompanying notes to financial statements, required supplementary information, and combining and individual fund statements and schedules for the nonmajor governmental and fiduciary funds. The basic financial statements are comprised of three components: 1) government-wide financial statements, 2) fund financial statements, and 3) notes to financial statements.

Government-wide Financial Statements are designed to provide readers with a broad overview of the City's finances and are made up of the following two statements: Statement of Net Position and Statement of Activities. These statements are designed to provide information about the activities of the City as a whole and present a longer-term view of the City's finances. Both statements were prepared using accounting methods like those used by private-sector businesses: the economic resources measurement focus and the accrual basis of accounting.

The Statement of Net Position presents information on the City's assets, liabilities, and deferred outflows and inflows of resources, with the difference reported as net position. Over time, increases or decreases in net position may indicate whether the City's financial position is improving or deteriorating.

The Statement of Activities presents information showing how the City's net position changed during the most recent fiscal year. All changes in net position are reported as soon as the underlying event giving rise to the change occurs, regardless of the timing of related cash flows. Thus, revenues and expenses are
reported in this statement for some items that result in cash flows in future fiscal periods, such as revenues pertaining to uncollected taxes, expenses pertaining to earned but unused compensated absences, and incurred but unpaid workers' compensation claims.

Both government-wide financial statements distinguish functions of the City that are principally supported by taxes and intergovernmental revenues (governmental activities) from other functions that are intended to recover all or a portion of their costs through user fees and charges (business-type activities).

The City's governmental activities include general government; public safety for police, fire, and animal center; community development; community services; and engineering and public works. The City's business-type activities include the Municipal Utility, Fiber Optic Network, and Sports Complex.

The government-wide financial statements reflect not only the activities of the City itself (known as the primary government), but also include the Rancho Cucamonga Public Improvement Corporation, the Rancho Cucamonga Fire Protection District, the Rancho Cucamonga Library, and the Rancho Cucamonga Public Financing Authority. Although legally separate, these entities are included as an integral part of the primary government because the City Council acts as the governing body for each entity. The sole purpose of each entity is to provide services entirely to and exclusively for the City.

Fund Financial Statements are designed to report information about groupings of related accounts that are used to maintain control over resources that have been segregated for specific activities or objectives. Like other state and local governments, the City uses fund accounting to ensure and demonstrate finance-related legal compliance. The City's funds consist of three categories: governmental, proprietary, and fiduciary.

Governmental funds are used to account for essentially the same functions reported as governmental activities in the government-wide financial statements. However, unlike government-wide financial statements, governmental funds financial statements focus on near-term inflows and outflows of spendable resources and balances of spendable resources available at the end of the fiscal year. Such information may help determine what financial resources are available in the near future to finance the City's programs.

The governmental funds financial statements are comprised of the Balance Sheet and the Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances. Both of these statements were prepared using the current financial resources measurement focus and the modified accrual basis of accounting.

The Budgetary Basis Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance is prepared on a modified cash basis of accounting different from Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP). Please see Note 1 of the notes to financial statements for additional information on the basis difference.

Because the focus of governmental funds is narrower than that of the government-wide financial statements, it is helpful to compare the information presented for governmental activities in the government-wide financial statements. By doing so, readers may better understand the long-term impact of the government's near-term financing decisions. Both the governmental funds balance sheet and the governmental funds statement of revenues, expenditures, and changes in fund balances provide a reconciliation to facilitate this comparison between governmental funds and governmental activities.

The City maintains many individual governmental funds organized according to their type (general, special revenue, and capital projects funds). Information is presented separately in the governmental funds balance sheet and the governmental funds statement of revenues, expenditures, and changes in fund balances for the General Fund, as well as for the Development Impact Fees, Lighting Districts, Housing Successor Agency, Fire District, and Federal Grants special revenue funds, which are major funds. Information from the remaining governmental funds is combined into a single, aggregated presentation. Individual fund data for each of these nonmajor governmental funds is provided in the form of combining statements and schedules.

Proprietary funds are generally used to account for services for which the City charges customers - outside customers or other departments/funds of the City. The proprietary funds financial statements provide the same type of information as shown in the government-wide financial statements, only in more detail. The City maintains the following types of proprietary funds:

- Enterprise funds report the functions presented as business-type activities in government-wide financial statements. The Municipal Utility and Fiber Optic Network enterprise funds are major funds. The Sports Complex enterprise fund is a nonmajor enterprise fund.
- Internal service funds report the costs allocated internally amongst the City's functions. The City uses internal service funds to account for Equipment and Vehicle Replacement and Computer Equipment/Technology Replacement, which are presented as governmental activities in the government-wide financial statements.

Fiduciary funds account for resources held for the benefit of parties outside the City. The City's private-purpose trust fund reports on the activities of the Successor Agency of the Former Redevelopment Agency and custodial funds are reported under the fiduciary funds. Since the resources of these funds are not available to support the City's own programs, they are not reflected in the government-wide financial statements. Accounting for fiduciary funds is much like that used for proprietary funds. Both are prepared using the economic resources measurement focus and the accrual basis of accounting.

Notes to Financial Statements provide additional information essential to a complete understanding of the data provided in the government-wide and fund financial statements.

Required Supplementary Information, other than Management's Discussion and Analysis (MD\&A), is presented concerning the budgetary comparison schedules for the City's General Fund and major special revenue funds. Also included in this section are the City's net pension and OPEB liabilities and contributions related to those plans.

The Combining and Individual Fund Statements and Schedules provide information for the nonmajor governmental and fiduciary funds and presented immediately following the required supplementary information.

## Government-wide Financial Analysis

Analysis of Net Position: Net position may serve over time as an indicator of a government's financial position. The City's assets and deferred outflows of resources exceeded liabilities and deferred inflows of resources by $\$ 1,440,250,887$ at the close of the fiscal year.

The following table is a condensed summary of the City's government-wide net position:

|  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Net Po } \\ \text { lune } 30,202 \\ \text { (In Tho } \end{array}$ | an |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Gover Acti |  |  |  | Busine Acti |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 2022 |  | 2021 |  |  |  |  |  | 2022 |  | 2021 |
| Assets: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Current and other assets | \$ | 675,361 | \$ | 610,994 | \$ | 25,065 | \$ | 33,762 | \$ | 700,426 | \$ | 644,756 |
| Net OPEB asset |  | 9,163 |  | 5,724 |  | - |  |  |  | 9,163 |  | 5.724 |
| Net pension asset |  | 9,241 |  | 3,691 |  | 417 |  | 185 |  | 9,658 |  | 3,876 |
| Capital assets, net of depreciation |  | 800,559 |  | 802,330 |  | 42,752 |  | 41,886 |  | 843,311 |  | 844,216 |
| Total assets |  | 1,494,324 |  | 1,422,739 |  | 68,234 |  | 75,833 |  | 1,562,558 |  | 1,498,572 |
| Deferred outflows of resources |  | 23.953 |  | 27.863 |  | 411 |  | 591 |  | 24.364 |  | 28.454 |
| Liabilities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Current and other liabilities |  | 30,359 |  | 43,832 |  | 2,169 |  | 2,735 |  | 32,528 |  | 46,567 |
| Long-term net pension liabilities |  | 46,126 |  | 98,243 |  | 1,261 |  | 2,952 |  | 47,387 |  | 101,195 |
| Long-term obligations outstanding |  | 8,523 |  | 9,758 |  | - |  | 12,247 |  | 8,523 |  | 22,005 |
| Total liabilities |  | 85,008 |  | 151,833 |  | 3,430 |  | 17.934 |  | 88,438 |  | 169.767 |
| Deferred inflows of resources |  | 53,430 |  | 9,180 |  | 4,803 |  | 200 |  | 58,233 |  | 9,380 |
| Net position: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Investment in capital assets |  | 799,864 |  | 801,334 |  | 42,752 |  | 39,126 |  | 842,616 |  | 840,460 |
| Restricted |  | 464,700 |  | 386,152 |  | 403 |  | 352 |  | 465,103 |  | 386,504 |
| Unrestricted |  | 115,275 |  | 102,103 |  | 17,257 |  | 18,812 |  | 132,532 |  | 120,915 |
| Total net position | \$ | 1,379,839 | \$ | 1,289,589 | \$ | 60,412 | \$ | 58,290 | \$ | 1,440,251 | \$ | 1,347,879 |

Net investment in capital assets reflects the City's investment in capital assets (e.g., land, infrastructure, building and improvements, vehicles and equipment) less any related outstanding debt used to acquire those assets. As of June 30, 2022, net investment in capital assets is reported as $\$ 842,615,792$, which makes up $58.5 \%$ of the City's total net position. Compared to the prior fiscal year, net investment in capital assets increased by $\$ 2,155,778$ or $0.3 \%$. The City uses capital assets to provide services to its residents and businesses; therefore, these assets are not available for future spending. Furthermore, although the City's investment in capital assets is reported net of related debt, the resources to repay this debt must be provided from other sources since the capital assets themselves cannot be used to liquidate the debt.

Restricted net position is reported as $\$ 465,103,382$ or $32.3 \%$ of the City's total net position, which is an increase of $\$ 78,599,898$ or $20.3 \%$ from the prior fiscal year. Generally, the increased restricted net position is due to increases in fund balance for the underlying special revenue funds, which restrict how the funds can be used.

The City can use the total unrestricted net position of $\$ 132,531,713$ to meet the City's obligations for its governmental and business-type activities. The unrestricted net position makes up $9.2 \%$ of the City's total net position. It is an increase of $\$ 11,617,096$ or $9.6 \%$ from the prior fiscal year. At $\$ 115,274,716$, the governmental activities make up most of the total unrestricted net position, and the business-type activities make up the balance of $\$ 17,262,997$.

Other significant changes in the statement of net position are as follows:

- Capital assets are $54.0 \%$ of the City's total assets. In the current fiscal year, capital assets decreased by $\$ 904,531$, or $0.3 \%$. The net decrease comprises an increase in nondepreciable capital assets of $\$ 11,747,803$ and a decrease in depreciable capital assets of $\$ 12,652,334$. These changes are analyzed in the capital assets section of the MD\&A.
- The City's total current and other assets increased by $\$ 55,670,253$ or $8.6 \%$. The net increase comprises a decrease in business-type activities of $\$ 8,696,467$ and an increase in governmental activities of $\$ 64,366,720$. The fluctuations from the prior fiscal year which account for this net increase are:
- Cash and investments increased by $\$ 56,421,848$ from the prior fiscal year. Generally, this results from increased cash inflows from modest revenue growth and decreased cash outflows from expense containment during the current fiscal year. The majority of the cash and investment growth is due to the increase in governmental activities of $\$ 48,093,037$, which can be traced to the increase in the General Fund of $\$ 33,114,730$.
- An increase in notes and loans receivable of $\$ 5,747,357$ is the net of an increase in governmental activities of $\$ 5,777,357$ and a decrease in business-type activities of $\$ 30,000$. The increase in governmental activities is related to loans for low and moderate-income housing, which is addressed with the Housing Successor Agency analysis later in the MD\&A.
- An increase in leases receivable of $\$ 8,234,892$ consisting of increases in governmental activities increased by $\$ 4,766,818$ and business-type activities by $\$ 3,468,074$ from implementing GASB Statement No. 87, Leases. More information can be found in Note 5 in the notes to financial statements.
- Restricted cash with fiscal agent decreased by $\$ 13,750,989$ from the prior fiscal year. The decrease consists of governmental activities by $\$ 3,824,064$ and business-type activities by $\$ 9,926,925$. The change in governmental activities relates to the disbursement of loans from the Housing Successor Agency special revenue fund. The change in business-type activities is due to the defeasance of the 2019 Lease Revenue Bonds and its related cash held with fiscal agent. More information regarding the defeasance can be found in Note 8 in the notes to financial statements.
- Restricted investments for pension rate stabilization decreased by $\$ 2,022,981$ due to losses in the fair value.
- The City reported a net OPEB asset, which increased by $\$ 3,438,815$ or $60.1 \%$, and a net pension asset for the PARS Retirement Enhancement Plan, which increased by $\$ 5,780,372$ or $149.1 \%$. Both increased due to positive net investment income compared to assumptions. See Note 13 in the notes to financial statements for more information on the City's PARS Retirement Enhancement Plan and Note 14 for the City's Other Post-Employment Benefits.
- The City's current and other liabilities decreased by $\$ 14,038,949$ or $30.1 \%$. Governmental activities decreased by $\$ 13,472,325$ due primarily to a decrease in unearned revenues of $\$ 11,237,555$ in the current fiscal year. In the prior year, the City reported unearned revenues for the American Rescue Plan Act receipts received but not spent yet on eligible expenditures. During the current fiscal year, the City completed the required revenue loss replacement calculations, spent the grant funds on eligible activites, and recognized the revenues. The business-type activities reported a decrease of $\$ 566,624$, primarily due to the defeasance of the 2019 Lease Revenue Bonds, which eliminated the reporting of debt due within one year.
- The City's net pension liabilities decreased by $\$ 53,808,377$ or $53.2 \%$ from the prior fiscal year. This is comprised of a decrease of $\$ 30,316,966$ for the City's miscellaneous plan, $\$ 1,268,214$ for the Fire District's miscellaneous plan, and $\$ 22,223,197$ for the Fire District's safety plan. These decreases are primarily due to positive net investment income. See Note 12 in the notes to financial statements for more information on the City's pension plan obligations.
- The City's long-term obligations decreased by $\$ 13,483,009$ or $61.3 \%$ from the prior fiscal year. Again, the decrease is due to the defeasance of the 2019 Lease Revenue Bonds. See Note 8 in the notes to the financial statement for more information on the City's long-term debt obligations and the defeasance of the 2019 Lease Revenue Bonds.

Analysis of Changes in Net Position: The following table presents condensed information showing how the City's net position changed during the most recent fiscal year. As previously stated, all changes in net position are reported as soon as the underlying event giving rise to the change occurs, regardless of the timing of related cash flows.

|  | Changes in Net Position Year Ended June 30, 2022 and 2021 (In Thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Governmental Activities |  |  |  | Business-Type Activity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 2022 |  | 2021 |  |  |  |  |  | 2022 |  | 2021 |
| Revenues: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Program Revenues: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Charges for services | \$ | 27,573 | \$ | 30,313 | \$ | 15,125 | \$ | 13,004 | \$ | 42,698 | \$ | 43,317 |
| Operating grants and contributions |  | 39,847 |  | 15,902 |  | 133 |  | - |  | 39,980 |  | 15,902 |
| Capital grants and contributions |  | 30,787 |  | 24,769 |  | 2,822 |  | 1,443 |  | 33,609 |  | 26,212 |
| General Revenues: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Property taxes |  | 96,972 |  | 91,686 |  | - |  | - |  | 96,972 |  | 91,686 |
| Admissions tax |  | 2 |  | - |  | 152 |  | 33 |  | 154 |  | 33 |
| Transient occupancy taxes |  | 4.423 |  | 2,727 |  | - |  | - |  | 4,423 |  | 2,727 |
| Sales taxes |  | 40,768 |  | 34,566 |  | - |  | - |  | 40,768 |  | 34,566 |
| Franchise fees |  | 9,991 |  | 9,229 |  | - |  | - |  | 9,991 |  | 9,229 |
| Motor vehicle in-lieu |  | 202 |  | 129 |  | - |  | - |  | 202 |  | 129 |
| Use of money and property |  | $(12,450)$ |  | 5,957 |  | $(1,152)$ |  | (12) |  | $(13,602)$ |  | 5,945 |
| Other |  | 18,419 |  | 7.576 |  | 6 |  | 11 |  | 18,425 |  | 7,587 |
| Gain on sale of capital asset |  | 1,062 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 1,062 |  | - |
| Total Revenues |  | 257,596 |  | 222,854 |  | 17,086 |  | 14.479 |  | 274,682 |  | 237,333 |
| Expenses: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General government |  | 18,945 |  | 22,450 |  | - |  | - |  | 18,945 |  | 22,450 |
| Public safety - police |  | 45,827 |  | 44,201 |  | - |  | - |  | 45,827 |  | 44,201 |
| Public safety - fire protection |  | 38,906 |  | 43,820 |  | - |  | - |  | 38,906 |  | 43,820 |
| Public safety - animal center |  | 2,363 |  | 2,653 |  | - |  | - |  | 2,363 |  | 2,653 |
| Community development |  | 17.758 |  | 21,053 |  | - |  | - |  | 17,758 |  | 21,053 |
| Community services |  | 13,173 |  | 12,922 |  | - |  | - |  | 13,173 |  | 12,922 |
| Engineering and public works |  | 29,237 |  | 33,574 |  | - |  | - |  | 29,237 |  | 33,574 |
| Interest on long-term debt |  | 76 |  | 270 |  | - |  | - |  | 76 |  | 270 |
| Municipal Utility |  | - |  | - |  | 13,504 |  | 10,747 |  | 13,504 |  | 10,747 |
| Fiber Optic Network |  | - |  | - |  | 801 |  | 1,045 |  | 801 |  | 1,045 |
| Sports Complex |  | - |  | - |  | 1,720 |  | 2,303 |  | 1,720 |  | 2,303 |
| Total Expenses |  | 166,285 |  | 180,943 |  | 16,025 |  | 14.095 |  | 182,310 |  | 195,038 |
| Excess of Revenues Over Expenses |  | 91,311 |  | 41,911 |  | 1,061 |  | 384 |  | 92,372 |  | 42,295 |
| Transfers |  | $(1,061)$ |  | (810) |  | 1,061 |  | 810 |  | - |  | - |
| Change in Net Position |  | 90,250 |  | 41,101 |  | 2,122 |  | 1,194 |  | 92,372 |  | 42,295 |
| Net Position at Beginning of Year |  | 1,289,589 |  | 1,239,870 |  | 58,290 |  | 57,096 |  | 1,347,879 |  | 1,296,966 |
| Restatement of Net Position |  | - |  | 8,618 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 8,618 |
| Net Position at End of Year | \$ | 1,379,839 | \$ | 1,289,589 | \$ | 60,412 | \$ | 58,290 | \$ | 1,440,251 | \$ | 1,347,879 |

## Governmental Activities

Revenues:_For the fiscal year ended June 30, 2022, total revenues from governmental activities were $\$ 257,595,939$, total expenses were $\$ 166,284,888$, and transfers to business-type activities were $\$ 1,061,217$. Functional expenses are funded directly by program revenues, while taxes and other revenues fund the remainder. Program revenues are resources obtained from outside of the City and charges for services. They include, primarily, amounts received from those who purchase, use, or directly benefit from a program or grants and contributions that are restricted to specific programs

The following charts provide a snapshot of revenues from the City's governmental activities for the Fiscal Years 2021/22 and 2020/21, showing the primary revenue sources as percentages. Following the charts is an analysis of the changes in revenues from the prior fiscal year to the current fiscal year.

## Governmental Activities

Fiscal Year 2021/22


Governmental Activities
Fiscal Year 2020/21
Other genera revenues 6\%


Revenues from taxes in the amount of $\$ 152,155,625$, and program revenues, including charges for services in the amount of $\$ 27,573,414$, operating contributions and grants in the amount of $\$ 39,846,497$, and capital contributions and grants of $\$ 30,787,358$, are the largest revenue sources for governmental activities. Taxes consist of property taxes, sales taxes, franchise fees, transient occupancy taxes, and other taxes, the largest of which are property and sales taxes. The Fire District receives a separate share of property taxes restricted for fire suppression and prevention activities.

The following table is a condensed summary of the City's governmental tax revenues for Fiscal Years 2021/22 and 2020/21:

| Taxes: | Governmental Activities (In Thousands) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2022 |  | 2021 |  |
| Property taxes: |  |  |  |  |
| Property taxes | \$ | 41,481 | \$ | 38,857 |
| Property taxes - Fire District |  | 55,491 |  | 52,829 |
| Total property taxes |  | 96,972 |  | 91,686 |
| Sales taxes |  | 40,768 |  | 34,566 |
| Other taxes: |  |  |  |  |
| Admissions tax |  | 2 |  | - |
| Franchise fees |  | 9,991 |  | 9,229 |
| Transient occupancy taxes |  | 4,423 |  | 2,727 |
| Total other taxes |  | 14,416 |  | 11,956 |
| Total taxes | \$ | 152,156 | \$ | 138,208 |

- Property tax is an ad valorem tax imposed on real property such as land, buildings, and tangible personal property. Property tax revenue is collected by the County of San Bernardino and allocated according to State law among cities, counties, school districts, and special districts. The City's property owners pay a basic tax equal to $1 \%$ of the assessed value of real property. The City's share of each property tax dollar is approximately $\$ 0.0511$ (shared between the City and Rancho Cucamonga Library), and the Fire District's share of this property tax dollar is approximately $\$ 0.1248$. Also included in property tax are property taxes in lieu of vehicle license fees (VLF), which the City receives and is based on the growth of gross assessed valuation from the prior year.

Property taxes increased by $\$ 5,285,954$ or $5.8 \%$ from the prior year due to increases in property tax in lieu of VLF, property transfer tax, and distributions of residual tax increment and pass-through payments from the former redevelopment agency.

Property taxes in lieu of VLF increased by $\$ 1,029,334$, and property transfer tax increased by $\$ 727,391$ from the prior fiscal year. As previously mentioned, property tax in lieu of VLF increases based on the growth of gross assessed valuation. Property tax transfer tax is assessed at $\$ 0.55$ per $\$ 1,000$ of property value when properties are transacted. Like other agencies, the City has experienced a large volume of residential property turnover at historically high valuations over the last two fiscal years; the current median price for a detached single-family residence increased the previous calendar year by $18 \%$, which was the largest since 2013. City management expects that secured property taxes will continue to grow, but at a slower pace, in the upcoming years because of the property turnover over the last two fiscal years.

The City and Fire District received a share of residual receipts from the County of San Bernardino, which are remaining tax increment revenues after the form redevelopment agency has paid its obligations. The County of San Bernardino revised the residual receipt calculation in prior years. Due to the revised methodology, the share of residual receipts increased for City and Fire District. Respectively, the City and Fire District received $\$ 388,383$ and $\$ 606,676$ more residual receipts than in the current fiscal year. Additionally, the Fire District, a pass-through entity for the former redevelopment agency, receives direct payments from the former redevelopment agency. The Fire District received $\$ 727,391$ more in pass-through payments in the current year. More information about the dissolution of the former redevelopment can be found in Note 18 of the notes to financial statements.

- California sales tax is imposed on the total retail price of any tangible personal property (excluding a variety of state-mandated exemptions), and use tax is imposed on the purchaser for eligible transactions when sales tax has not been collected. The sales and use tax rate in San Bernardino County is currently $7.75 \%$, of which the City receives $1 \%$ from the California Department of Tax and Fee Administration. The City is also allocated a share of the countywide use tax pool based on its proportionate share of the sales tax generated in the County.
- Sales taxes increased by $\$ 6,201,473$ or $17.9 \%$ due to the City's sales tax base rebounding from the economic impacts of the pandemic. City Management has noted significant growth in specific business categories, including building and construction, fuel and service stations, and general consumer goods. Based on preliminary information and analysis, city management expects continued but decelerating sales tax growth in the upcoming fiscal year as the impacts of record high inflation will continue to negatively impact discretionary consumer spending.
- Transient occupancy taxes is a $10 \%$ tax applied to the cost of the hotel or other lodging stays of less than 30 days. Transient occupancy taxes increased by $\$ 1,696,593$ or $62.2 \%$ as the factors influencing transient occupancy taxes include business and leisure travel, room rate increases, and new hotel development, which were all impacted during the pandemic. City management expects that transient occupancy taxes will increase in the upcoming fiscal year as two new hotels will be opened in the City.

The following table is a condensed summary of the governmental program revenues by functional category for Fiscal Years 2021/22 and 2020/21:


Charges for services decreased by $\$ 2,739,862$ or $9.0 \%$, a net increase for governmental functions. The significant changes include:

- Increase of $\$ 540,779$ for business licenses and business license penalties due to an improving business climate combined with additional staff focused on increasing the number of business inspections for business license compliance.
- Increase of $\$ 349,814$ for recreation fees charged to customers for recreational programs and services reopening after closing during the pandemic.
- Increase of $\$ 289,933$ for the resumption of administrative citation issuances, which the City Council suspended as those fines impacted individuals and businesses already impacted by the pandemic.
- Decrease of $\$ 3,283,754$ to report developer impact fee revenues as capital contributions and grants as their ultimate use is towards capital projects.

Operating contributions and grants increased by $\$ 23,944,654$ or $150.6 \%$, a net increase for the governmental functions. The significant changes include:

- Decrease of $\$ 5,665,791$ of Federal grant funding related to the Coronavirus Aid, Relief, and Economic Security Act or the CARES Act.
- Increase of $\$ 1,370,054$ in contributions from the Rancho Cucamonga Library Foundation Support to the Rancho Cucamonga Library for general library operations and construction of the Second Story and Beyond ${ }^{\text {® }}$.
- Increase of $\$ 648,982$ of Federal grant funding related to the Shuttered Venues grant, which offset operational costs of the Cultural Service Center during its reopening.
- An increase of $\$ 26,835,530$ of Federal grant funding related to the American Rescue Plan Act, which was based on the revenue loss replacement category, was used to offset the public safety costs of the San Bernardino Sheriff contract.

Capital contributions and grants increased by $\$ 6,018,758$ or $24.3 \%$, a net increase for governmental functions. The growth is primarily due to increased development impact revenue with the Development Impact Fee special revenue fund of $\$ 6,561,294$.

Expenses: The following chart provides a snapshot of the City's governmental activities for Fiscal Years 2021/22 and 2020/21, showing the expenses by function.

## Governmental Expenses by Functions/Programs

(In Thousands)


The City's governmental activities expenses decreased by $\$ 14,658,240$ or $8.1 \%$ from the prior fiscal year. The total net decrease was attributed to increases in public safety - police of $\$ 1,626,192$ and community services of $\$ 250,809$. These increases were offset by decreases in general government of $\$ 3,505,187$, public safety - fire of $\$ 4,913,967$, public safety - animal center of $\$ 290,024$, community development of $\$ 3,295,601$, and engineering and public works of $\$ 4,336,748$. Interest on long-term debt decreased by $\$ 193,714$, and transfers to business-type activities increased by $\$ 251,460$.

The following is a summary of significant changes in governmental activities expenses:

- Change for the net pension liability for the City's miscellaneous plan and the net pension asset for the PARS Retirement Enhancement Plan resulted in a reduction of functional expenses in the current year. As mentioned, the reduction in the net pension liability and increase in the net pension asset, respectively, were the result of positive investment performance. Accordingly, the calculated proportion of the changes by function resulted in the following:
- General government decreased by $\$ 2,318,067$
- Public safety - animal center decreased by $\$ 442,018$
- Community development decreased by $\$ 1,826,169$
- Community services decreased by $\$ 805,803$
- Engineering and public works decreased by $\$ 2,118,583$
- Public safety - police increased by $\$ 1,626,192$ or $3.7 \%$ from the prior fiscal year due to increased public safety contract costs and additional staffing added by the City with the San Bernardino County Sheriff's Department.
- Public safety - fire decreased by $\$ 4,913,967$ or $11.2 \%$ from the prior fiscal year, primarily due to the change in the net pension liabilities for the Fire District's miscellaneous and safety plans and the net OPEB asset. The changes in the net pension liabilities and the net OPEB asset decreased the function by $\$ 10,019,539$. That decrease was offset by increases in personnel salaries, overtime, and benefits by $\$ 2,681,055$ and depreciation expense of $\$ 3,864,622$ attributed to Fire District capital assets.


## Business-Type Activities

The $\$ 18,147,854$ combined revenues, including transfers from the governmental activities, of the City's business-type activities were $\$ 2,122,938$ more than the expenses of $\$ 16,024,916$. Since the proprietary funds provide the same information found in the government-wide statements, a more detailed discussion of the City's business-type activities is found in the financial analysis of the City's funds.

## Financial Analysis of the City's Funds

As noted earlier, the City uses fund accounting to ensure and demonstrate compliance with finance-related legal requirements. The following financial analysis is performed only for governmental and proprietary funds. The fiduciary funds are excluded from this analysis as they do not represent resources available to the City.

Governmental Funds. The focus of the City's governmental funds is to provide information on near-term inflows, outflows, and balances of spendable resources. Such information is useful in assessing the City's financing requirements.

On June 30, 2022, the City's governmental funds reported combined fund balances of $\$ 600,119,219$, an increase of $\$ 66,713,701$ from the prior fiscal year. Of the total fund balance, $\$ 23,658,120$ was nonspendable, associated with prepaid costs, deposits, and advances to other funds; $\$ 381,476,346$ was classified as restricted for specific purposes; $\$ 148,122,714$ was committed by the City Council; and $\$ 51,346,748$ was assigned by the City Manager for certain uses and functions. The deficit of $\$ 4,484,709$ unassigned fund balance gets eliminated with the receipt of future funds, except for the Lighting Districts Fund special revenue fund, which is eliminated as repayment of the interfund advances occurs. See Note 7 in the notes to financial statements for more information on interfund advances.

Governmental revenues totaled $\$ 254,662,217$, while expenditures were $\$ 184,596,622$. Other financing sources, such as transfers from other funds, leases, and proceeds from the sale of capital assets, totaled $\$ 33,788,039$, and other financing uses totaled $\$ 37,129,933$ in the form of transfers out to other funds.

The General Fund is the general operating fund of the City. On June 30, 2022, the General Fund reported a total fund balance of $\$ 173,120,236$, consisting of $\$ 23,311,819$ as nonspendable, $\$ 16,162,437$ as restricted, $\$ 101,962,810$ as committed, and $\$ 31,683,170$ as assigned. More detailed information on these fund balance classifications can be found in Notes 1 and 17 in the notes to financial statements.

The following table presents the summary of revenues and expenditures of the General Fund for Fiscal Years 2021/22 and 2020/21:

| Revenues and Other Financing Sources |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2022 | 2021 |
| Revenues: |  |  |
| Taxes | \$ 87,561,737 | \$ 76,601,967 |
| Licenses and permits | 6,207,356 | 5,667,304 |
| Intergovernmental | 687,958 | 688,302 |
| Charges for services | 5,663,958 | 4,973,242 |
| Use of money and property | $(4,575,630)$ | 814,603 |
| Fines and forfeitures | 1,287,454 | 1,227,698 |
| Contributions | 59,070 | 17,698 |
| Miscellaneous | 14,971,128 | 3,272,491 |
| Total Revenues | 111,863,031 | 93,263,305 |
| Other Financing Sources: |  |  |
| Transfers in | 29,617,859 | 4,265,848 |
| Leases | 226,622 | - |
| Sale of capital assets | 3,318,081 | 23,317 |
| Total Other Financing Sources | 33,162,562 | 4,289,165 |
| Total Revenues and Other Financing Sources | \$145,025,593 | \$ 97,552,470 |
| Expenditures and Other Financing Uses |  |  |
|  | 2021 | 2020 |
| Expenditures: |  |  |
| General government | \$ 15,923,699 | \$ 14,610,180 |
| Public safety - police | 44.999.347 | 43.239 .756 |
| Public safety - animal center | 2,772,844 | 2,399,155 |
| Community development | 6,089,377 | 6,458,490 |
| Community services | 4,589,200 | 3,459,866 |
| Engineering and public works | 12,381,485 | 11,440,332 |
| Capital outlay | 3,122,875 | 6,833,492 |
| Debt service - interest and fiscal charges | 7,208 | 8,195 |
| Total Expenditures | 89,886,035 | 88,449,466 |
| Other Financing Uses: |  |  |
| Transfers Out | 8,776,007 | 3,605,636 |
| Total Expenditures and Other Financing Uses | \$ 98,662,042 | \$ 92,055,102 |

The following is a summary of the significant changes in General Fund revenues, expenditures, and other financing sources and uses:

- Taxes totaled $\$ 87,561,737$, accounted for $60.4 \%$ of the total revenues and other financing sources, and increased by $\$ 10,959,770$ or $14.3 \%$ from the prior fiscal year. As previously mentioned, taxes consist of property taxes, sales taxes, franchise fees, transient occupancy taxes, and other taxes, the largest of which are property and sales taxes. The General Fund's property taxes increased by $\$ 2,399,163$ primarily due to, as previously mentioned, increases in residual receipts from the former redevelopment, growth in the assessed value of properties resulting in increased property tax in lieu of VLF, and the turnover of properties resulting increased property tax transfers. Sales tax has increased by $\$ 6,201,472$ due to a rebounding sales tax base as the economic impacts of the pandemic are alleviated. Lastly, transient occupancy taxes increased by $\$ 1,696,593$ due to growing business and leisure travel, room rate increases, and new hotel development.
- Licenses and permits increased by $\$ 540,052$, or $9.5 \%$, due to increased business licenses and related penalties.
- Charges for services increased by $\$ 690,716$ or $13.9 \%$, primarily due to recreation charges for services.
- Use of money and property decreased by $\$ 5,390,233$ or $661.7 \%$ due to a temporary adjustment to bring investments to fair value at the end of the fiscal year. The City invests excess funds in fixed-income securities, which typically decrease in value when interest rates rise. These changes are unrealized until investments are sold at a loss. City management has sold securities at a loss to purchase securities with higher yields. However, the strategy is reserved only for situations when the returns on the higher-yielding investment offset the loss while still producing excess yields.
- Miscellaneous revenue increased by $\$ 11,698,637$ or $357.5 \%$ due to two transactions. First, the City received $\$ 5,150,000$ from development agreements to address impacts of affordable housing demand, future greenhouse gas emissions, electric vehicle charging, and various other related impacts associated with warehouse, industrial, and commercial developments. Lastly, the City recognized $\$ 5,251,397$ in unclaimed property spanning several decades after following State regulations.
- Transfers increased by $\$ 25,352,011$ due to the transfer of funding from the American Rescue Plan Act in the amount of $\$ 26,835,530$ for allowable public safety costs, as previously discussed.
- The sale of capital assets increased by $\$ 3,318,081$ due to the sale of land, which is discussed in the capital assets section of the MD\&A.
- Personnel services expenditures increased from the prior year for general government by $\$ 595,808$, public safety - animal center by $\$ 299,934$, community development by $\$ 288,554$, and community services by $\$ 481,223$.
- Public safety - police increased by $\$ 1,759,591$ or $4.1 \%$ from the prior fiscal year due to increased public safety contract costs between the City and the San Bernardino County Sheriff's Department.
- Community services increased by $\$ 1,129,334$ or $32.6 \%$ from the prior fiscal year due to increases in personnel costs, as previously mentioned, as well as in operations and maintenance and contractual services of $\$ 401,616$ as a result of reopening facilities throughout the fiscal year.
- Engineering and public works increased by $\$ 941,153$ or $8.2 \%$ from the prior fiscal year, primarily due to an increase in the internal service fund user charges for equipment by $\$ 832,680$.
- Capital outlay decreased by $\$ 3,710,617$ or $54.3 \%$ due primarily to the completion of the public safety facility in the prior year.

The Development Impact Fees Fund accounts for the receipts from development impact fee revenue used to defray all or a portion of the cost of public facilities due to development. The fund balance was $\$ 82,350,997$, an increase of $\$ 12,715,948$ or $8.1 \%$ from the prior fiscal year. Total revenues of \$14,960,487 increased by $\$ 2,838,816$, and total expenditures of $\$ 2,244,539$ decreased by $\$ 4,755,010$ from the last fiscal year. Although revenues exceeded expenditures in the current fiscal year, it is normal for the City to accumulate resources to complete identified capital projects over a period of time.

The Lighting Districts Fund accounts for the costs of providing street lighting throughout the City. The fund balance was a deficit of $\$ 4,219,819$ due to interfund advances, as previously mentioned, which is an increase of $\$ 56,488$ from the prior fiscal year. Total revenues of $\$ 2,053,990$ consisted primarily of special assessments levied against the benefiting property owners. Total expenditures of $\$ 2,142,664$ were predominately electric utilities. During the fiscal year, certain street lighting districts' repaid the outstanding interfund advances as the cost savings due to the streetlight acquisition and energy efficient retrofits created a financial surplus that allowed for it. However, other street lighting districts have not realized the same level of savings and still receive some operational support from the General Fund and were put into abeyance until the street lighting districts are financially capable of resuming payments. Transfers from the General Fund were $\$ 145,162$, a decrease of $\$ 191,067$ or $56.8 \%$, partially supporting certain street lighting districts' operations.

The Housing Successor Agency Fund accounts for the assets of the former Redevelopment Agency's Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund. The fund's revenue source is primarily the interest received from the notes and loans receivable, as there is no dedicated funding source after the elimination of redevelopment. The fund balance was $\$ 139,673,896$, an increase of $\$ 475,226$ or $0.3 \%$ from the prior fiscal year. Total revenues of $\$ 858,197$ were primarily from interest on residual receipt loans from repayment received during the year. Revenues were offset by total expenditures of $\$ 382,971$ for administration. Notes and loans receivable increased by $\$ 5,777,357$ or $3.4 \%$ from the previous year due to accrued interest on outstanding loans. A loan of $\$ 3,505,193$ was made to Day Creek Senior Housing Partners 2, LP, for the development of a 140-unit senior rental housing project. More information about the notes and loans receivables of the Housing Successor Agency Fund can be found in Note 4 of the notes to the financial statements.

The Fire District Fund accounts for the revenues received and disbursements made by the Rancho Cucamonga Fire Protection District while providing emergency and non-emergency services to the community. The fund balance was $\$ 93,641,173$, which increased by $\$ 5,506,969$ or $6.2 \%$ from the prior fiscal year. Total revenues were $\$ 54,556,118$, predominantly generated by property taxes which increased during the current fiscal year because of residual receipts and pass-through payments from the former redevelopment agency, as mentioned earlier. Total expenditures of $\$ 48,958,544$ were incurred to provide fire protection and suppression services, including $\$ 31,538,078$ in personnel costs, which were the Fire District's most significant expenditure. Additionally, the total expenditures included $\$ 2,679,346$ of capital outlay expenditures for the Town Center Fire Station \#178, which will provide fire suppression and preventive services in an area of the City planned for high-density residential and large-scale office building developments. Fire Station \#178 is expected to be completed in the Fiscal Year 2022/23.

The Federal Grants Fund accounts for nonrecurring Federal grant funds and the related allowable expenditures. The fund balance was $\$ 2,465$ at the end of the current fiscal year, representing receivables at the end of the year. Total revenues were $\$ 27,540,271$, predominately the $\$ 26,835,530$ of Federal grant funding related to the American Rescue Plan Act. Total expenditures and transfers out to other funds are $\$ 27,537,806$ at the end of the current year, of which transfers out to other funds are $\$ 27,499,103$. These transfers reimburse allowable expenditures transacted in other funds. More information about interfund transfers can be found in Note 7 of the notes to financial statements.

Proprietary Funds. The City's proprietary funds provide the same information as in the business-type activities column of the government-wide financial statements. They consist of four enterprise funds and two internal services funds. The Municipal Utility and Fiber Optic Network enterprise funds are considered major funds.

The following table summarizes the operating results of the City's four enterprise funds:


## Municipal Utility

The Municipal Utility Fund accounts for the costs of labor and materials used in the operation, maintenance, construction, and consumption of electric services to residential, commercial, and industrial customers within areas of the City. The operating revenues totaled $\$ 14,551,353$, an increase of $\$ 1,887,838$ or $14.9 \%$ from the prior fiscal year due to increased receipts from sales and service charges with commercial and industrial customers rebounding from the pandemic. The total operating expenditures were $\$ 13,503,837$, which increased by $\$ 2,756,620$ or $25.6 \%$ from the prior fiscal year. The increase in operating costs is due to the price per unit of wholesale power purchases increasing by approximately $\$ 1.3$ million year over year and real-time energy supply during longer peak hours during heat waves increasing by approximately $\$ 1.5$ million.

Municipal Utility nonoperating revenues and expenses decreased by $\$ 773,473$ due primarily to the temporary adjustment to bring investments down to fair value at the end of the fiscal year, as previously mentioned. Capital contributions increased by $\$ 597,584$ due to donated infrastructure from developers in the prior year. Capital contributions are nonrecurring transactions.

## Fiber Optic Network

The Fiber Optic Network Fund accounts for receipts from user charges and leases for conduit and fiber access, and costs associated with the City's existing utility, information technology, and traffic fiber conduits. The operating revenues totaled $\$ 326,985$, an increase of $\$ 228,360$ or $231.5 \%$ from the prior fiscal year. Operating revenues include lease revenues from cellular towers and fiber optic communications agreements with telecom companies and a local internet provider.

Operating expenses totaled $\$ 630,599$ for the Fiber Optic Network, an increase of $\$ 34,653$ or $5.8 \%$ from the prior fiscal year. This increase in operating expenses is attributed to the increased depreciation expense from expanding the fiber optic infrastructure backbone and last-mile extensions over the last several years. Increasing the fiber optic network improves the customer base for fiber optic subscription revenues.

Nonoperating revenues and expenses decreased by $\$ 100,129$ due primarily to the temporary adjustment to bring investments down to fair value at the end of the fiscal year, as previously mentioned. Additionally, a gain on the defeasance of $\$ 178,802$ for the 2019 Lease Revenue Bonds was recorded. The defeasance was made possible by an interfund advance from the General Fund to the Fiber Optic Network. The defeasance of the 2019 Lease Revenue Bonds resulted in a savings of $\$ 1.9$ million in future interest payments for the Fiber Optic Fund. Additionally, eliminating the recurring debt service repayments gives the fiber optic network more free cash flow to continue expanding its network and customer base, as the interfund advance allows for more favorable repayment terms.

During the fiscal year, the General Fund transferred $\$ 937,291$ for debt service payments. Additionally, the Fiber Optic Network reported $\$ 1,735,481$ in contributed capital for fiber optic infrastructure from developers in newer communities, providing high-speed internet access to new communities. The infrastructure assets were placed into service in the current fiscal year.

## Other Proprietary Funds

The Sports Complex enterprise fund is nonmajor for financial reporting purposes; however, it is reported individually on the statement of net position and statement of revenues, expenses and changes in fund net position of the proprietary funds in the basic financial statements.

The Equipment and Vehicle Replacement and the Computer Equipment/Technology Replacement internal service funds are reported as a combined total on the statement of net position and statement of revenues, expenses and changes in fund net position of the proprietary funds. More detailed information on these funds can be found in the combining statement of net position and statement of revenues, expenses and changes in fund net position for the internal service funds.

## General Fund Budgetary Highlights

During the fiscal year, with the City's staff's recommendation, the City Council may revise the City's budget as needed. Adjustments were made periodically as additional appropriations were necessary to cover the cost of projects that either required change orders for additional work or the estimated cost at the beginning of the project changed due to external factors. Adjustments were also made through increased or decreased budgets to maintain the current level of services. All amendments that the City Council approves either increase or decrease appropriations.

On June 30, 2022, the General Fund's actual revenues and other financing sources were higher than the final adjusted budget, while actual expenditures with encumbrances and other financing uses were lower than the final adjusted budget. The following table summarizes the operating results on a budgetary basis for the City's General Fund:

## Budgetary Operating Results - General Fund

Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2022

|  | Budgeted Amounts |  |  |  | Actual Amounts |  | Variance with Final Budget Positive (Negative) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Original |  | Final |  |  |  |  |
| Revenues and Other Financing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Taxes | \$ | 75,134,610 | \$ | 84,570,040 | \$ | 87,561,737 | \$ | 2,991,697 |
| Licenses and permits |  | 4,597,540 |  | 4,792,260 |  | 6,207,356 |  | 1,415,096 |
| Intergovernmental |  | 848,050 |  | 739,170 |  | 687,958 |  | $(51,212)$ |
| Charges for services |  | 8,053,070 |  | 5,713,310 |  | 5,663,958 |  | $(49,352)$ |
| Use of money and property |  | 2,499,920 |  | 1,437,410 |  | $(4,575,630)$ |  | $(6,013,040)$ |
| Fines and forfeitures |  | 1,039,850 |  | 1,279,580 |  | 1,287,454 |  | 7,874 |
| Contributions |  | 91,000 |  | 84,690 |  | 59,070 |  | $(25,620)$ |
| Miscellaneous |  | 3,932,020 |  | 7,498,550 |  | 14,971,128 |  | 7,472,578 |
| Transfers in |  | 1,632,400 |  | 29,619,170 |  | 29,617,859 |  | $(1,311)$ |
| Leases |  | - |  |  |  | 226,622 |  | 226,622 |
| Sale of capital assets |  | 25,990 |  | 3,265,410 |  | 3,318,081 |  | 52,671 |
| Total Revenues and Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Financing Sources | \$ | 97,854,450 | \$ | 138,999,590 | \$ | 145,025,593 | \$ | 6,026,003 |
| Expenditures with |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General government | \$ | 16,020,810 | \$ | 17,473,170 | \$ | 16,359,212 | \$ | ( $1,113,958$ ) |
| Public safety - police |  | 47,540,230 |  | 47,540,940 |  | 45,017,346 |  | $(2,523,594)$ |
| Public safety - animal center |  | 3,179,380 |  | 2,958,810 |  | 2,772,844 |  | $(185,966)$ |
| Community development |  | 5,885,100 |  | 7,244,320 |  | 6,378,983 |  | $(865,337)$ |
| Community services |  | 8,641,020 |  | 5,291,090 |  | 4,742,725 |  | $(548,365)$ |
| Engineering and public works |  | 13,366,690 |  | 13,563,640 |  | 12,684,837 |  | $(878,803)$ |
| Capital outlay |  | 4,748,650 |  | 6,261,640 |  | 5,405,482 |  | $(856,158)$ |
| Interest and fiscal charges |  | 7,210 |  | 7,210 |  | 7,208 |  | (2) |
| Transfers out |  | 4,266,580 |  | 9,176,880 |  | 8,776,007 |  | $(400,873)$ |
| Total Expenditures with |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Encumbrances and Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Financing Uses | \$ | 103,655,670 | \$ | 109,517,700 | \$ | 102,144,644 | \$ | (7,373,056) |

Significant revenue variances at the end of the fiscal year were as follows:

- The final budget for revenues and other financing sources was $\$ 41,145,140$ more than the original budget.
- The final budget for taxes increased by $\$ 9,435,430$ or $12.6 \%$ for updated budgets for sales and transient occupancy taxes of $\$ 6,200,140$ and $\$ 1,152,850$, respectively, for a total budgetary increase of $7,352,990$. The increase in budgets resulted from an improved economic climate coming out of the pandemic, ramping up at the end of the last fiscal year and updated during the midyear budget review for the current fiscal year. Taxes were $\$ 2,991,697$ better than the final budgeted amount of $\$ 84,570,040$. This increase is primarily related to sales taxes which is $\$ 2,600,068$ from a sales tax base rebounding from the economic impacts of the pandemic, as previously mentioned.
- The final budget for charges for services decreased by $\$ 2,339,760$ or $29.1 \%$ as City management refined the budgets for changes in demand for recreational and other programs offered by community services. Charges for services were approximate to the final budget and were $\$ 49,352$ below the final budget of $\$ 5,713,310$. The Community Services Department has resumed programs and services coming out of the pandemic and is working to increase the variety and offerings to address service gaps within the community. However, there is a noticeable change in demand from clients previously served, most likely due to finding available services during the pandemic when the City was required to suspend its programs and services.
- Use of money and property was $\$ 6,013,040$ less than the final budgeted amount of $\$ 1,437,410$ due to the temporary adjustment to bring investments to fair value at the end of the fiscal year. These changes are not budgeted because they do not provide useable resources for the City.
- The final budget for miscellaneous revenues increased by $\$ 3,566,530$ or $90.7 \%$ due to $\$ 3,000,000$ budgeted for community benefit fees sourced from development agreements to offset warehouse, industrial, and commercial development impacts. Miscellaneous revenues were $\$ 7,472,578$ more than the final budget as the result of $\$ 2,150,000$ more in community benefits fees received from developers near the end of the fiscal year and $\$ 5,251,397$ in unclaimed property absorbed by the General Fund.
- The final budget for transfers increased by $\$ 27,986,770$ due to the $\$ 26,835,530$ of Federal grant funding related to the American Rescue Plan Act budgeted and transferred, as previously discussed.
- The final budget for sale of capital assets increased by $\$ 3,239,420$ due to the sale of vacant land during the year, increasing the budget by $\$ 3,185,910$.

Significant expenditure variances at the end of the fiscal year are as follows:

- The final budget for expenditures with encumbrances and other financing uses was $\$ 5,862,030$ more than the original budget.
- During the year, changes in personnel services and benefits increased the final budgets for general government by $\$ 539,710$ and community development by $\$ 43,640$. However, it decreased the final budgets for public safety - animal center by $\$ 226,740$, community services by $\$ 1,877,790$, and engineering and public works by $\$ 711,320$.
- The final budget for general government increased by $\$ 1,452,360$, which in addition to the changes in personal services and benefits, was due to increases in contract services for administrative and support services of $\$ 631,530$. General government expenditures were $\$ 1,113,958$ less than the final budget, primarily due to the unexpended contracts and encumbrances at the end of the year totaling $\$ 536,358$, of which $\$ 400,620$ were encumbered.
- Public safety - police was $\$ 2,523,594$ better than the final budgeted amount of $\$ 47,540,940$ due to the actual expenditures related to the public safety contract with the San Bernardino County Sheriff's Department being less than anticipated due to staffing credits resulting from vacancies and injuries.
- The final budget for community development increased by $\$ 1,359,220$ primarily due to increased contract services for consulting and support services for planning, building and safety, community improvement, and economic development of $\$ 1,351,960$. Community development was $\$ 865,337$ better than the final budget due to unexpended contractual services and encumbrances totaling $\$ 714,715$, of which $\$ 267,655$ were encumbered.
- The final budget for community services decreased by $\$ 3,349,930$, which in addition to the changes in personal services and benefits, was due to a reduction in operations and maintenance and contract services totaling $\$ 1,433,750$. As previously mentioned, community services have resumed programs and services but are adjusting to demands.
- The final budget for transfers out increased by $\$ 4,910,300$ due to the increased transfer of $\$ 5,008,320$ to the Computer Equipment/Technology Replacement internal service fund for spending on the procurement and implementation of a new financial enterprise resource platform for the City.


## Capital Assets and Debt Administration

## Capital Assets

The City's investment in capital assets for its governmental and business-type activities amounted to $\$ 843,311,625$, net of accumulated depreciation and amortization.

The table below presents summary information on the City's capital assets.
Capital Assets
For the Year Ended June 30, 2022 and 2021
(Net of Depreciation, In Thousands)

|  | Governmental Activities |  |  | Business-Type Activities |  |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2022 | 2021 |  | 2022 |  | 2021 | 2022 | 2021 |
| Land | \$ | 99,349 | \$ 100,707 | \$ | 5,451 | \$ | 5,451 | \$ 104,800 | \$ 106,158 |
| Right-of-way |  | 237,230 | 237,230 |  | - |  | - | 237,230 | 237,230 |
| Construction in progress |  | 32,901 | 17,630 |  | 1,242 |  | 3,407 | 34,143 | 21,037 |
| Right-to-use land |  | 191 | - |  | - |  | - | 191 | - |
| Buildings improvements |  | 145,870 | 153,732 |  | 4,731 |  | 5,162 | 150,601 | 158,894 |
| Improvements other than buildings |  | 21,816 | 23,248 |  | 1,975 |  | 2,104 | 23,791 | 25,352 |
| Equipment and vehicles |  | 8,973 | 11,358 |  | 118 |  | 136 | 9,091 | 11,494 |
| Furniture and fixtures |  | 45 | 125 |  | - |  | - | 45 | 125 |
| Infrastructure |  | 254,175 | 258,087 |  | 29,235 |  | 25,626 | 283,410 | 283,713 |
| Intangible |  | 9 | 213 |  | - |  | - | 9 | 213 |
| Total | \$ | 800,559 | \$ 802,330 | \$ | 42,752 | \$ | 41,886 | \$ 843,311 | \$ 844,216 |

Major capital asset activities during the year are as follows:

## Governmental Activities

- Land reported a net decrease of $\$ 1,358,401$ for the sale and purchase of vacant land during the current fiscal year.
- Construction in progress increased by $\$ 15,271,855$, the net of $\$ 20,404,658$ in increases and $\$ 5,132,803$ of capital assets placed into service or disposed of during the current fiscal. Significant projects during the fiscal year were the construction of the Etiwanda Avenue Grade Separation and Town Center Fire Station \#178, totaling $\$ 10,228,272$, in addition to construction in progress. The Etiwanda Avenue Grade Separation, which will provide an overcrossing for the SCRRA/BSNF track and improve traffic circulation and vehicle and rail safety in the area, will wrap up design in FY 2022/23 and construction is expected to start in the Fiscal Year 2023/24. The Town Center Fire Station \#178 will be completed in the Fiscal Year 2022/23.
- Total capitalized infrastructure assets amounted to $\$ 254,175,192$ net of accumulated depreciation. During the current fiscal year, the City completed the first phase of the Advance Traffic Management System and traffic signal installations at $6^{\text {th }}$ Street and Hellman Avenue, which comprised $\$ 2,856,579$ of capital assets transferred from construction in progress.
- The implementation of GASB Statement No. 87, Leases added right-to-use land. More information on the lease can be found in Note 5 in the notes to financial statements.
- The City reported $\$ 21,714,323$ in depreciation expense for the current fiscal year.

Business-type Activities:

- Construction in progress decreased by $\$ 2,165,651$, a net of $\$ 734,614$ in additions primarily from progress on the fiber optic network and various municipal utility line extensions, and \$2,900,265 being placed into service. The capital assets being placed into service include completed sections of the fiber optic network totaling $\$ 2,091,765$ and various municipal utility line extensions amounting to $\$ 808,500$.
- Donated infrastructure from new development to the City is reported in the Municipal Utility as $\$ 411,348$ and the Fiber Optic Network as \$1,735,481.
- Depreciation expense reported for the Municipal Utility is $\$ 1,341,460$, Fiber Optic Network is $\$ 237,560$, and Sports Complex is $\$ 560,032$.

Additional information on the City's capital assets can be found in Note 6 of the notes to financial statements. Furthermore, significant commitments that include construction contracts are identified in Note 16 of the notes to financial statements.

## Debt Administration

As of June 30, 2022, the City had $\$ 16,406,823$ in debt outstanding, not including net pension liabilities.
A summary of outstanding long-term debt with comparative amounts for the prior fiscal year is presented below:

|  | Long-Term Debt <br> For the Year Ended June 30, 2022 and 2021 (In Thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Governmental Activities |  |  |  | Business-Type Activities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 2022 |  | 2021 |  |  |  | 2021 |  | 022 |  | 2021 |
| Capital Leases (Note 8) | \$ | 696 | \$ | 996 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 696 | \$ | 996 |
| Lease Revenue Bonds (Note 8) |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 12,687 |  | - |  | 12,687 |
| Advances from Successor Agency (Note 9) |  | 3,954 |  | 3,954 |  | - |  | - |  | 3,954 |  | 3,954 |
| Compensated Absences (Note 10) |  | 8,629 |  | 8,618 |  | - |  | - |  | 8,629 |  | 8,618 |
| Claims and Judgments Payable (Note 15) |  | 3,128 |  | 2,559 |  | - |  | - |  | 3,128 |  | 2,559 |
| Total | \$ | 16,407 | \$ | 16,127 | \$ | - | \$ | 12,687 | \$ | 16,407 | \$ | 28,814 |

Additional information on the City's long-term debt can be found in various notes to the financial statements. A reference to the appropriate note is indicated in the table above.

## Economic Factors and Next Year's Budgets

The City's Fiscal Year 2022/23 Adopted Budget for all funds is $\$ 352,128,240$. Of this amount, $\$ 167,817,210$, or $47.7 \%$ is appropriated for the City's operating budgets. The funds which make up the City's operating budget are the General Fund operating fund of $\$ 107,532,940$, the Fire District operating funds of $\$ 53,330,660$, and the Library Fund of $\$ 6,953,610$. The total budget increased by $\$ 84,153,790$ or $31.4 \%$, and the operating budget increased by $\$ 19,407,070$ or $13.1 \%$ from the Fiscal Year 2021/22 Adopted Budget.

As a note, the presentation of the General Fund in the basic financial statements is the combination of the General Fund operating fund and other general funds. However, these other general funds are not included in the City's operating budget for budgetary purposes.

The General Fund operating fund budgeted receipts of $\$ 107,532,940$, projecting an increase of $\$ 12,372,530$ from the Fiscal Year 2021/22 adopted budget, as follows:

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY } 2021 / 22 \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY } 2021 / 22 \\ \text { Actuals } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY } 2022 / 23 \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Increase (Decrease) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Amount | Percentage |
| Revenues and Other |  |  |  |  |  |
| Financing Sources: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Taxes | \$ 75,134,610 | \$ 87,561,737 | \$ 86,462,450 | \$ (1,099,287) | -1.3\% |
| Licenses and permits | 4,565,920 | 6,175,730 | 4,993,590 | $(1,182,140)$ | -19.1\% |
| Intergovernmental | 214,580 | 268,773 | 276,940 | 8,167 | 3.0\% |
| Charges for services | 7,365,650 | 4,883,992 | 7,142,230 | 2,258,238 | 46.2\% |
| Use of money and property | 1,553,990 | $(1,906,261)$ | 1,578,640 | 3,484,901 | -182.8\% |
| Fines and forfeitures | 1,004,750 | 1,254,745 | 1,304,280 | 49,535 | 3.9\% |
| Other | 3,598,000 | 9,213,150 | 3,958,680 | $(5,254,470)$ | -57.0\% |
| Transfers in | 1,722,910 | 29,708,369 | 1,816,130 | $(27,892,239)$ | -93.9\% |
| Total Revenues and Other |  |  |  |  |  |
| Financing Sources | \$ 95,160,410 | \$137,160,235 | \$107,532,940 | \$(29,627,295) | -21.6\% |

The key revenue sources for the General Fund include sales tax, vehicle license fees (VLF and property tax in lieu of VLF), franchise fees, property tax, development fees, business licenses, and transient occupancy tax.

- The City works closely with its sales tax consultant in projecting sales tax revenue. Based on their analysis of the trend in year-to-date tax receipts, macroeconomic conditions, and an examination of local business data, the consultant anticipates the City will receive sales tax revenue will increase $\$ 6,007,700$ or an increase of $18.79 \%$ over the FY 2021/22 budget. These revenue estimates will be proactively measured and, as is typically done, will be adjusted after the close of the 2022 calendar year, as the final quarter is the largest receipting period for the City.
- Revenues for vehicle license fees (VLF and Property Tax in lieu of VLF) are projected to be $\$ 23,951,320$, which is a $\$ 1,902,590$ or $8.63 \%$ increase from the FY 2021/22 budget. As previously mentioned, growth in the Property Tax in lieu of VLF changes based on the growth in assessed property value in the City.
- Franchise fee revenues are projected based on estimated population increases, anticipated rate changes, and known changes in activities communicated by the respective service providers. Based on these factors, franchise fees are projected to increase by $\$ 498,520$ or $6.58 \%$ from FY 2021/22.
- The City works with its property tax consultant to project property tax revenue, an estimate made with four factors in mind: property turnover rate, pricing, and appeals exposure, new construction activity, and Proposition 13's annual inflation adjustment. After considering these factors, the City's consultant has projects that property tax revenue will increase by $5.52 \%$ citywide. This equates to property tax revenues for the City General Fund (including post-RDA property tax revenue) increasing by $\$ 1,250,510$ or 12.18\% from FY 2021/22.
- Development fees are collected through the Community Development Departments and are projected based on known or anticipated development projects within the City. Depending on what phase a project is in for a given fiscal year, the corresponding Building and Safety, Engineering, or Planning Fees are adjusted accordingly. Based on input received from the Community Development departments, development fees are projected to increase by $\$ 160,370$ (excluding special services fees) or $3.22 \%$ from FY 2021/22.
- The City taxes businesses to conduct business within the City. The amount of the tax is determined based on either gross receipts or gross payroll for the business. Business Licenses revenues are projected to increase by $\$ 362,640$ or $13.44 \%$ from FY $2021 / 22$ due to the improving business climate combined with the addition of a shared position that will focus on increasing the number of business inspections completed during the fiscal year.
- Transient occupancy tax is projected to increase by $\$ 1,729,180$ or $50.56 \%$ from FY 2021/22, partially due to projected revenues from two new hotels in the City - one for the entire year and one for a partial year as it is currently under construction.

Other matters that are affecting or could affect the City's future operations are as follows:
According to the California State Legislative Analyst Office's (LAO) The 2022-23 Budget: California's Fiscal Outlook Overview, the consensus among professional economists is that despite the ongoing global pandemic and its disparate health and economic impacts on Californians, revenues are growing at historical rates and the state will have a $\$ 31$ billion surplus (resources in excess of current law commitments). Yet, although revenue collections have grown rapidly in recent months, the underlying growth does not appear to be entirely sustainable. To counterbalance the meteoric growth, economists generally advise additional, discretionary deposits into reserves.

## Contacting the City's Financial Management

This financial report is designed to provide our citizens, taxpayers, customers, investors, and creditors with a general overview of the City of Rancho Cucamonga's finances and to show the City's accountability for the money it receives. Questions concerning any of the information provided in this report or requests for additional financial information should be addressed to the Finance Department at the City of Rancho Cucamonga, 10500 Civic Center Drive, Rancho Cucamonga, California 91730.
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STATEMENT OF NET POSITION
JUNE 30, 2022

Assets:
Cash and investments
Receivables:
Accounts, net of allowances
Taxes
Notes and loans
Accrued interest
Other loans
Grants
Leases
Internal balances
Prepaid costs
Deposits
Net OPEB asset
Net pension asset
Restricted assets
Cash with fiscal agent
Pension rate stabilization program
Capital assets, not being depreciated/amortized
Capital assets, net of depreciation/amortization
Total Assets
Deferred Outflows of Resources:
Deferred OPEB related items
Deferred pension related items

## Total Deferred Outflows of Resources

Liabilities:
Accounts payable
Accrued interest
Unearned revenue
Deposits payable
Due to other governments
Noncurrent liabilities:
Due within one year
Long-term debt
Compensated absences
Claims and judgments
Due in more than one year
Long-term debt
Advances from Successor Agency
Compensated absences
Claims and judgments
Net pension liability

## Total Liabilities

Deferred Inflows of Resources:
Deferred OPEB related items
Deferred pension related items
Deferred lease inflows

## Total Deferred Inflows <br> of Resources

Net Position:
Net investment in capital assets
Restricted for:
Community development projects
Public safety
Parks and recreation
Fire protection
Engineering and public works
Community services
Capital projects
Public benefit - Municipal Utility
Unrestricted
Total Net Position

| Primary Government |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Governmental Activities |  | Business-Type Activities |  | Total |  |
| \$ | 439,757,206 | \$ | 32,294,698 | \$ | 472,051,904 |
|  | 12,418,298 |  | 2,435,961 |  | 14,854,259 |
|  | 11,380,222 |  | - |  | 11,380,222 |
|  | 174,151,069 |  | - |  | 174,151,069 |
|  | 1,173,297 |  | 83,070 |  | 1,256,367 |
|  | 1,337,228 |  | - |  | 1,337,228 |
|  | 1,739,342 |  | - |  | 1,739,342 |
|  | 4,766,818 |  | 3,468,074 |  | 8,234,892 |
|  | 13,598,855 |  | $(13,598,855)$ |  | - |
|  | 1,432,838 |  | 382,093 |  | 1,814,931 |
|  | 72,000 |  | - |  | 72,000 |
|  | 9,162,558 |  | - |  | 9,162,558 |
|  | 9,240,587 |  | 416,540 |  | 9,657,127 |
|  | 412,846 |  | - |  | 412,846 |
|  | 13,120,594 |  | - |  | 13,120,594 |
|  | 369,480,510 |  | 6,692,449 |  | 376,172,959 |
|  | 431,078,910 |  | 36,059,756 |  | 467,138,666 |
| 1,494,323,178 |  |  | 68,233,786 |  | 1,562,556,964 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 15,729 \\ 23,937,277 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  | - |  | 15,729 |
|  |  |  | 411,088 |  | 24,348,365 |
| 23,953,006 |  |  | 411,088 |  | 24,364,094 |
| 11,261,587 |  |  | 1,225,821 |  | 12,487,408 |
| 2,212,224 |  |  | 45,033 |  | 2,257,257 |
| 8,556 |  |  | - |  | 8,556 |
| 2,675,991 |  |  | - |  | 2,675,991 |
| 5,786,215 |  |  | 897,603 |  | 6,683,818 |
| 530,519 |  |  | - |  | 530,519 |
| 544,132 |  |  | - |  | 544,132 |
| 6,572,000 |  |  | - |  | 6,572,000 |
| 767,975 |  |  | - |  | 767,975 |
| 151,701 |  |  | - |  | 151,701 |
| 3,953,624 |  |  | - |  | 3,953,624 |
| 2,056,970 |  |  | - |  | 2,056,970 |
| 2,360,421 |  |  | - |  | 2,360,421 |
| 46,126,250 |  |  | 1,261,083 |  | 47,387,333 |
| 85,008,165 |  |  | 3,429,540 |  | 88,437,705 |
| 6,038,904 |  |  | - |  | 6,038,904 |
| 42,723,543 |  |  | 1,359,055 |  | 44,082,598 |
| 4,667,214 |  |  | 3,443,750 |  | 8,110,964 |
| 53,429,661 |  |  | 4,802,805 |  | 58,232,466 |
| 799,863,587 |  |  | 42,752,205 |  | 842,615,792 |
| 201,636,220 |  |  | - |  | 201,636,220 |
| 2,837,136 |  |  | - |  | 2,837,136 |
| 21,416,129 |  |  | - |  | 21,416,129 |
| 85,558,800 |  |  | - |  | 85,558,800 |
| 96,207,702 |  |  | - |  | 96,207,702 |
| 14,149,313 |  |  | - |  | 14,149,313 |
| 42,894,755 |  |  | - |  | 42,894,755 |
|  | - |  | 403,327 |  | 403,327 |
| 115,274,716 |  |  | 17,256,997 |  | 132,531,713 |
| \$ 1,379,838,358 |  | \$ | 60,412,529 | \$ | 1,440,250,887 |


|  | Expenses |  | Program Revenues |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Charges for Services |  | Operating Contributions and Grants |  | Capital Contributions and Grants |  |
| Functions/Programs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Primary Government: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Governmental Activities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General government | \$ | 18,945,300 | \$ | 10,176,185 | \$ | - | \$ | 373,512 |
| Public safety - police |  | 45,826,735 |  | 998,096 |  | 27,346,792 |  | 186,794 |
| Public safety - fire protection |  | 38,905,872 |  | 140,675 |  | 26,995 |  |  |
| Public safety - animal center |  | 2,362,765 |  | 146,314 |  | - |  |  |
| Community development |  | 17,757,830 |  | 11,627,478 |  | 2,304,017 |  | 109,335 |
| Community services |  | 13,172,949 |  | 609,768 |  | 2,459,033 |  |  |
| Engineering and public works |  | 29,237,399 |  | 3,874,898 |  | 7,709,660 |  | 30,117,717 |
| Interest on long-term debt |  | 76,038 |  | - |  | - |  |  |
| Total Governmental Activities |  | 166,284,888 |  | 27,573,414 |  | 39,846,497 |  | 30,787,358 |
| Business-Type Activities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Municipal Utility |  | 13,503,837 |  | 14,551,353 |  | 70,036 |  | 1,086,273 |
| Fiber Optic Network |  | 801,230 |  | 326,985 |  | - |  | 1,735,481 |
| Sports Complex |  | 1,719,849 |  | 246,722 |  | 63,419 |  | - |
| Total Business-Type Activities |  | 16,024,916 |  | 15,125,060 |  | 133,455 |  | 2,821,754 |
| Total Primary Government | \$ | 182,309,804 | \$ | 42,698,474 | \$ | 39,979,952 | \$ | 33,609,112 |
|  | General Revenues: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Taxes: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Property taxes, levied for general purpose |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Admissions tax |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Transient occupancy taxes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Sales taxes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Franchise fees |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Motor vehicle in lieu - unrestricted |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Use of money and property |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Gain on sale of capital asset |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Transfers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total General Revenues and Transfers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Change in Net Position |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Net Position at Beginning of Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Net Position at End of Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



BALANCE SHEET
GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS
JUNE 30, 2022

|  | General |  | Special Revenue Funds |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Development Impact Fees |  | Lighting Districts |  | Housing Successor Agency |  |
| Assets: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash and investments | \$ | 136,597,352 | \$ | 82,450,621 | \$ | 4,263,080 | \$ | 2,592,593 |
| Receivables: ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Accounts, net of allowances |  | 1,815,027 |  | 358,693 |  | 186,474 |  |  |
| Taxes |  | 8,806,076 |  | - |  | 18,058 |  | - |
| Notes |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 174,151,069 |
| Accrued interest |  | 364,157 |  | 224,707 |  | 10,321 |  | 6,930 |
| Other loans |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Grants |  | 2,280 |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Leases |  | 387,545 |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Prepaid costs |  | 860,220 |  | - |  | - |  | 1,498 |
| Deposits |  | 72,000 |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Due from other funds |  | 8,122,775 |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Advances to other funds |  | 22,379,599 |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Restricted assets: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash and investments with fiscal agents |  | -67, ${ }^{-}$ |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Pension rate stabilization program |  | 3,671,450 |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Total Assets | \$ | 183,078,481 | \$ | 83,034,021 | \$ | 4,477,933 | \$ | 176,752,090 |

Liabilities, Deferred Inflows of Resources, and Fund Balances:
Liabilities:
Accounts payable
Accrued liabilities
Unearned revenues
Deposits payable
Due to other governments
Due to other funds
Advances from other funds
Total Liabilities
Deferred Inflows of Resources:
Unavailable revenues
Deferred lease inflows
Total Deferred Inflows of Resources

## Fund Balances:

Nonspendable
Restricted
Committed
Assigned
Unassigned

## Total Fund Balances

Total Liabilities, Deferred Inflows of Resources, and Fund Balances

BALANCE SHEET
GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS
JUNE 30, 2022

## Assets: <br> Cash and investments <br> Receivables: <br> Accounts, net of allowances <br> Taxes <br> Notes <br> Accrued interest <br> Other loans <br> Grants <br> Leases <br> Prepaid costs <br> Deposits <br> Due from other funds <br> Advances to other funds <br> Restricted assets: <br> Cash and investments with fiscal agents <br> Pension rate stabilization program

## Total Assets

Liabilities, Deferred Inflows of Resources, and Fund Balances:
Liabilities:
Accounts payable
Accrued liabilities
Unearned revenues
Deposits payable
Due to other governments
Due to other funds
Advances from other funds

## Total Liabilities

Deferred Inflows of Resources:
Unavailable revenues
Deferred lease inflows
Total Deferred Inflows of Resources

## Fund Balances:

Nonspendable
Restricted
Committed
Assigned
Unassigned

## Total Fund Balances

Total Liabilities, Deferred Inflows of Resources, and Fund Balances

Special Revenue Fund

| Fire District |  | Federal Grants Fund |  | Other Governmental Funds |  | Total Governmental Funds |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$ | 84,081,179 | \$ | - | \$ | 119,607,371 | \$ | 429,592,196 |
|  | 1,666,756 |  | 2,464 |  | 8,359,864 |  | 12,389,278 |
|  | 487,292 |  | - |  | 2,068,796 |  | 11,380,222 |
|  | - |  |  |  | - |  | 174,151,069 |
|  | 209,912 |  |  |  | 342,388 |  | 1,158,415 |
|  | - |  | - |  | 1,337,228 |  | 1,337,228 |
|  | - |  | 38,704 |  | 1,698,358 |  | 1,739,342 |
|  | 871,823 |  |  |  | 3,507,450 |  | 4,766,818 |
|  | 329,264 |  |  |  | 15,539 |  | 1,206,521 |
|  | - |  |  |  |  |  | 72,000 |
|  | - |  |  |  |  |  | 8,122,775 |
|  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 22,379,599 |
|  | - |  | - |  | 412,846 |  | 412,846 |
|  | 9,449,144 |  | - |  | - |  | 13,120,594 |
| \$ | 97,095,370 | \$ | 41,168 | \$ | 137,349,840 | \$ | 681,828,903 |


| \$ | 1,286,514 | \$ | 17,484 | \$ | 6,763,250 | \$ | 11,056,558 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 817,288 |  |  |  | 184,796 |  | 2,212,224 |
|  | - |  |  |  | 2,272,840 |  | 2,675,991 |
|  | - |  |  |  | 15 |  | 5,786,215 |
|  | - |  | - |  | 530,519 |  | 530,519 |
|  | - |  | 21,219 |  | 7,995,683 |  | 8,122,775 |
|  | 495,714 |  | - |  | - |  | 8,780,744 |
|  | 2,599,516 |  | 38,703 |  | 17,747,103 |  | 39,165,026 |
|  | - |  | - |  | 618,833 |  | 37,877,444 |
|  | 854,681 |  | - |  | 3,433,633 |  | 4,667,214 |
|  | 854,681 |  | - |  | 4,052,466 |  | 42,544,658 |
|  | 329,264 |  | - |  | 15,539 |  | 23,658,120 |
|  | 27,488,427 |  | 2,465 |  | 115,799,622 |  | 381,476,346 |
|  | 46,159,904 |  |  |  |  |  | 148,122,714 |
|  | 19,663,578 |  |  |  | - |  | 51,346,748 |
|  | - |  | - |  | $(264,890)$ |  | $(4,484,709)$ |
|  | 93,641,173 |  | 2,465 |  | 115,550,271 |  | 600,119,219 |
| \$ | 97,095,370 | \$ | 41,168 | \$ | 137,349,840 | \$ | 681,828,903 |
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RECONCILIATION OF THE BALANCE SHEET OF GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS
TO THE STATEMENT OF NET POSITION
JUNE 30, 2022

| Fund balances of governmental funds |  | \$ | 600,119,219 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Amounts reported for governmental activities in the statement of net position are different because: |  |  |  |
| Capital assets net of depreciation have not been included as financial resources in governmental fund activity. |  |  | 796,385,486 |
| Deferred outflows related to pension related items are not included in the governmental fund activity: |  |  |  |
| Contributions made after the measurement date | \$ 16,456,584 |  |  |
| Adjustment due to differences in proportions | 779,027 |  |  |
| Changes in assumptions | 447,693 |  |  |
| Differences between expected and actual experiences | 4,420,714 |  |  |
| Differences between actual contributions and the proportionate share of contributions | 1,833,259 |  | 23,937,277 |
| Deferred inflows related to pension related items are not included in the governmental fund activity: |  |  |  |
| Changes in assumptions | $(598,885)$ |  |  |
| Net difference between projected and actual earning on plan investments | $(13,226,865)$ |  |  |
| Differences between expected and actual experiences | $(2,861,955)$ |  |  |
| Adjustment due to differences in proportions | $(3,955)$ |  |  |
| Change in Proportions | (1,471,671) |  |  |
| Differences between actual contributions and the proportionate share of contributions | $(24,560,212)$ |  | $(42,723,543)$ |
| Deferred outflows related to OPEB related items are not included in the governmental fund activity: |  |  |  |
| Contributions made after the measurement date |  |  | 15,729 |
| Deferred inflows related to OPEB related items are not included in the governmental fund activity: |  |  |  |
| Changes in assumptions | (1,099,715) |  |  |
| Differences between expected and actual experiences | $(2,529,595)$ |  |  |
| Net difference between projected and actual earning on plan investments | $(2,409,594)$ |  | $(6,038,904)$ |
| Advances from Successor Agency of the Former RDA, compensated absences and claims and judgments liability are not included in the governmental fund activity: |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Advances from Successor Agency of the Former RDA | $(3,953,624)$ |  |  |
| Claims and judgments | $(3,128,396)$ |  |  |
| Compensated absences | $(8,628,970)$ |  | $(15,899,483)$ |
| Governmental funds report all pension contributions as expenditures, however, in the statement of net position, the excess of the total pension liability over the plan fiduciary net position is reported as a net pension liability. |  |  |  |
| Accrued interest payable for the current postion of lease liabillity has not been reported in the governmental funds |  |  | $(1,491)$ |
| Net pension assets are not available to pay for current-period expenditures and therefore are not reported in the govenmental funds. |  |  | 9,240,587 |
| Net OPEB assets are not available to pay for current-period expenditures and therefore are not reported in the govenmental funds. |  |  | 9,162,558 |
| Revenues reported as unavailable revenue in the governmental funds and recognized in the statement of activities. These are included in the intergovernmental revenues in the governmental fund activity. |  |  | 37,877,444 |
| Internal service funds are used by management to charge the costs of certain activities, such as equipment management, to individual funds. The assets and liabilities of the internal service funds are added to the statement of net position. |  |  | 13,889,729 |
| Net Position of Governmental Activities |  | \$ | 1,379,838,358 |

Net Position of Governmental Activities

## STATEMENTS OF REVENUES,

EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES
GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30. 2022

|  | General |  | Special Revenue Funds |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Development Impact Fees |  | Lighting Districts |  | Housing Successor Agency |  |
| Revenues: - - - A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Taxes | \$ | 87,561,737 | \$ | - | \$ | 2,142,744 | \$ | - |
| Licenses and permits |  | 6,207,356 |  | - |  | - |  |  |
| Intergovernmental |  | 687,958 |  | - |  | - |  |  |
| Charges for services |  | 5,663,958 |  | ( ${ }^{-}$ |  | (151,567) |  | 737,005 |
| Use of money and property |  | $(4,575,630)$ |  | $(3,058,974)$ |  | $(151,567)$ |  | 737,005 |
| Fines and forfeitures |  | 1,287,454 |  | - |  | - |  |  |
| Contributions |  | 59,070 |  | - |  | - |  |  |
| Developer participation |  | - |  | 18,019,461 |  | - |  | - |
| Miscellaneous |  | 14,971,128 |  | - |  | 62,813 |  | 121,192 |
| Total Revenues |  | 111,863,031 |  | 14,960,487 |  | 2,053,990 |  | 858,197 |
| Expenditures: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Current: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General government |  | 15,923,699 |  | - |  | 2,138,962 |  |  |
| Public safety - police |  | 44,999,347 |  | 200 |  | - |  |  |
| Public safety - fire protection |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Public safety - animal center |  | 2,772,844 |  | 60 |  | - |  | - |
| Community development |  | 6,089,377 |  | 43,443 |  | - |  | 382,971 |
| Community services |  | 4,589,200 |  | 4,400 |  | - |  |  |
| Engineering and public works |  | 12,381,485 |  | 734,871 |  | - |  |  |
| Capital outlay |  | 3,122,875 |  | 1,461,565 |  | - |  | - |
| Debt service: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest and fiscal charges |  | 7,208 |  | - |  | 3,702 |  | - |
| Total Expenditures |  | 89,886,035 |  | 2,244,539 |  | 2,142,664 |  | 382,971 |
| Excess (Deficiency) of Revenues Over (Under) Expenditures |  | 21,976,996 |  | 12,715,948 |  | $(88,674)$ |  | 475,226 |
| Other Financing Sources (Uses): |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Transfers in |  | 29,617,859 |  | - |  | 145,162 |  | - |
| Transfers out |  | $(8,776,007)$ |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Leases |  | 226,622 |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Proceeds from sale of capital assets |  | 3,318,081 |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Total Other Financing Sources (Uses) |  | 24,386,555 |  | - |  | 145,162 |  | - |
| Net Change in Fund Balances |  | 46,363,551 |  | 12,715,948 |  | 56,488 |  | 475,226 |
| Fund Balances: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| End of Year | \$ | 173,120,236 | \$ | 82,350,997 | \$ | $(4,219,819)$ | \$ | ,673,896 |


| Revenues: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Taxes | \$ | 55,490,495 |  | - | \$ | 20,575,458 | \$ | 165,770,434 |
| Licenses and permits |  | 15,455 |  | - |  | 129,772 |  | 6,352,583 |
| Intergovernmental |  | 12,405 |  | 27,540,271 |  | 23,163,125 |  | 51,403,759 |
| Charges for services |  | 819 |  | - |  | 126,455 |  | 5,791,232 |
| Use of money and property |  | $(3,275,090)$ |  | - |  | $(3,981,345)$ |  | $(14,305,601)$ |
| Fines and forfeitures |  | 119,672 |  | - |  | - |  | 1,407,126 |
| Contributions |  |  |  | - |  | 1,641,149 |  | 1,700,219 |
| Developer participation |  | - |  | - |  | 24,832 |  | 18,044,293 |
| Miscellaneous |  | 2,192,362 |  | - |  | 1,150,677 |  | 18,498,172 |
| Total Revenues |  | 54,556,118 |  | 27,540,271 |  | 42,830,123 |  | 254,662,217 |
| Expenditures: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Current: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General government |  | - |  | 38,703 |  | 1,676,979 |  | 19,778,343 |
| Public safety - police |  | - |  | - |  | 213,552 |  | 45,213,099 |
| Public safety - fire protection |  | 45,098,082 |  | - |  | 28,018 |  | 45,126,100 |
| Public safety - animal center |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 2,772,904 |
| Community development |  | - |  | - |  | 13,094,690 |  | 19,610,481 |
| Community services |  | - |  | - |  | 4,007,887 |  | 8,601,487 |
| Engineering and public works |  | - |  | - |  | 6,206,405 |  | 19,322,761 |
| Capital outlay |  | 3,829,094 |  | - |  | 15,715,635 |  | 24,129,169 |
| Debt service: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest and fiscal charges |  | 31,368 |  | - |  | - |  | 42,278 |
| Total Expenditures |  | 48,958,544 |  | 38,703 |  | 40,943,166 |  | 184,596,622 |
| Excess (Deficiency) of Revenues |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other Financing Sources (Uses): |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Transfers in |  | 14,591 |  | - |  | 465,454 |  | 30,243,066 |
| Transfers out |  | $(105,466)$ |  | $(27,499,103)$ |  | $(759,357)$ |  | $(37,139,933)$ |
| Leases |  | - |  | - |  |  |  | 226,622 |
| Proceeds from sale of capital assets |  | 270 |  | - |  | - |  | 3,318,351 |
| Total Other Financing Sources (Uses) |  | $(90,605)$ |  | $(27,499,103)$ |  | $(293,903)$ |  | $(3,351,894)$ |
| Net Change in Fund Balances |  | 5,506,969 |  | 2,465 |  | 1,593,054 |  | 66,713,701 |
| Fund Balances: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| End of Year | \$ | 93,641,173 |  | 2,465 | \$ | 115,550,271 | \$ | 600,119,219 |

RECONCILIATION OF THE STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES,
AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES OF GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS
TO THE STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2022

Net change in fund balances - total governmental funds
Amounts reported for governmental activities in the statement of activities are different because:

Governmental funds report capital outlays as expenditures. However, in the statement of activities, the costs of those assets are allocated over their estimated useful lives as amortization expense.
Capital outlay
Amortization
Gain on disposal of capital assets

Certain expenses reported in the statement of activities do not require the use of current financial resources and, therefore, are not reported as expenditures in governmental funds.

Change in claims and judgments liability
Change in compensated absences liability
OPEB obligation expenses reported in the statement of activities do not require the use of current financial resources and, therefore, are not reported as expenditures in governmental funds.

Pension obligation expenses are expenditures in the governmental funds, but reduce the Net Pension Liability/(Asset) in the statement of net position.

Revenues reported as unavailable revenue in the governmental funds are recognized in the statement of activities. These are included in the intergovernmental revenues in the governmental fund activity.

Accrued interest on lease liability. This is the net change in accrued interest for the current period.

Internal service funds are used by management to charge the costs of certain activities, such as equipment management, to individual funds. The assets and liabilities of the internal service funds are added to the statement of net position.

Change in Net Position of Governmental Activities
$(569,412)$
$(11,193)$
$(580,605)$

1,277,352

2,288,958
4.911,298
\$ 90,249,834

|  | Business-Type Activities - Enterprise Funds |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  | Governmental <br> ActivitiesInternal Service Funds |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Major Funds |  |  |  | Other <br> Enterprise <br> Funds <br> Sports <br> Complex |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Municipal Utility | Fiber Optic Network |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Assets: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Current: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash and investments | \$ | 23,928,685 | \$ | 8,260,015 | \$ | 105,998 | \$ | 32,294,698 | \$ | 10,165,010 |
| Receivables: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Accounts |  | 2,311,271 |  | 54,731 |  | 69,959 |  | 2,435,961 |  | 29,020 |
| Accrued interest |  | 76,353 |  | 307 |  | 6,410 |  | 83,070 |  | 14,882 |
| Leases |  | - |  | 332,876 |  | 3,135,198 |  | 3,468,074 |  | - |
| Prepaid costs |  | 382,093 |  | - |  | - |  | 382,093 |  | 226,317 |
| Total Current Assets |  | 26,698,402 |  | 8,647,929 |  | 3,317,565 |  | 38,663,896 |  | 10,435,229 |
| Noncurrent: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net pension asset |  | 184,357 |  | - |  | 232,183 |  | 416,540 |  | - |
| Capital assets - net of |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Noncurrent Assets |  | 18,550,851 |  | 12,228,213 |  | 12,389,681 |  | 43,168,745 |  | 4,173,934 |
| Total Assets |  | 45,249,253 |  | 20,876,142 |  | 15,707,246 |  | 81,832,641 |  | 14,609,163 |
| Deferred Outflows of Resources: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |
| Total Deferred Outflows of Resources |  | 171,225 |  | - |  | 239,863 |  | 411,088 |  | - |
| Liabilities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Current: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Accounts payable |  | 1,038,805 |  | 95,298 |  | 91,718 |  | 1,225,821 |  | 205,029 |
| Accrued liabilities |  | 18,188 |  | - |  | 26,845 |  | 45,033 |  | - |
| Accrued interest |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 7,065 |
| Deposits payable |  | 897,603 |  | - |  | - |  | 897,603 |  | - |
| Financed purchases |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 507,340 |
| Total Current Liabilities |  | 1,954,596 |  | 95,298 |  | 118,563 |  | 2,168,457 |  | 719,434 |
| Noncurrent: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Advances from other funds |  | - |  | 12,001,791 |  | 1,597,064 |  | 13,598,855 |  | - |
| Net pension liability |  | 573,535 |  | - |  | 687,548 |  | 1,261,083 |  | - |
| Total Noncurrent Liabilities |  | 573,535 |  | 12,001,791 |  | 2,284,612 |  | 14,859,938 |  | - |
| Total Liabilities |  | 2,528,131 |  | 12,097,089 |  | 2,403,175 |  | 17,028,395 |  | 719,434 |
| Deferred Inflows of Resources: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deferred pension related items |  | 614,941 |  | - |  | 744,114 |  | 1,359,055 |  | - |
| Deferred lease inflows |  | - |  | 334,387 |  | 3,109,363 |  | 3,443,750 |  | - |
| Total Deferred Inflows of Resources |  | 614,941 |  | 334,387 |  | 3,853,477 |  | 4,802,805 |  | - |
| Net Position: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net investment in capital assets |  | 18,366,494 |  | 12,228,213 |  | 12,157,498 |  | 42,752,205 |  | 3,666,594 |
| Restricted for public benefit - Municipal Utility |  | 403,327 |  | - |  | - |  | 403,327 |  | - |
| Unrestricted |  | 23,507,585 |  | $(3,783,547)$ |  | $(2,467,041)$ |  | 17,256,997 |  | 10,223,135 |
| Total Net Position | \$ | 42,277,406 | \$ | 8,444,666 | \$ | 9,690,457 | \$ | 60,412,529 | \$ | 13,889,729 |

STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENSES
AND CHANGES IN FUND NET POSITION
PROPRIETARY FUNDS
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2022

## Operating Revenues:

Sales and service charges
Interdepartmental charges
Rent
Miscellaneous
Total Operating Revenues
Operating Expenses:
Salaries and benefits
Maintenance and operations
Contractual services
Depreciation expense
Total Operating Expenses
Operating Income (Loss)
Nonoperating Revenues (Expenses):
Admissions tax
Grant subsidy
Interest revenue
Interest expense
Miscellaneous
Gain on bond defeasance
Total Nonoperating
Revenues (Expenses)

Income (Loss) Before Contributions and Transfers

Capital contributions
Transfers in
Transfers out

## Changes in Net Position

## Net Position:

Beginning of Year
End of Fiscal Year

STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS
PROPRIETARY FUNDS
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2022

Cash Flows from Operating Activities:
Cash received from customers and users Cash received from interfund service provided Cash paid to suppliers for goods and services Cash paid to employees for services

## Net Cash Provided (Used) by

 Operating ActivitiesCash Flows from Non-Capital
Financing Activities:
Cash transfers in
Cash transfers out
Cash received from other funds
Cash paid from other funds
Admissions tax received
Grant subsidy
Miscellaneous non-capital revenues
Net Cash Provided (Used) by
Non-Capital Financing Activities
Cash Flows from Capital
and Related Financing Activities:
Acquisition and construction of capital as
Developer participation
Principal paid on capital debt
Principal paid in defeasance of debt
Interest paid on capital debt
Interest paid on interfund financing
Net Cash Provided (Used) by
Capital and Related Financing A
Cash Flows from Investing Activities:
Interest received
Net Cash Provided (Used) by
Investing Activities
Net Increase (Decrease) in Cash
and Cash Equivalents

Cash and Cash Equivalents at Beginning of Year
Cash and Cash Equivalents at End of Year
Reconciliation of Operating Income to Net Cash Provided (Used) by Operating Activities:
Operating income (loss)
Adjustments to Reconcile Operating Income (loss)
Net Cash Provided (Used) by Operating Activities:

## Depreciation

(Increase) decrease in accounts receivable
(Increase) decrease in leases receivable
(Increase) decrease in deferred outflows from pensions
(Increase) decrease in notes and loans receivable
(Increase) decrease in prepaid cost
(Increase) decrease in net pension asset
Increase (decrease) in accounts payable
Increase (decrease) in accrued liabilities
Increase (decrease) in deposits payable
Increase (decrease) in net pension liability
Increase (decrease) in deferred inflows from pensions
Increase (decrease) in deferred leases revenue inflows

## Total Adjustments <br> Net Cash Provided (Used) by Operating Activities

Non-Cash Investing, Capital, and Financing Activities: Donated infrastructure

| Municipal Utility |  | Fiber Optic Network |  | Other Enterprise Funds Sports Complex |  | Total |  | Governmental <br> ActivitiesInternal <br> Service Funds |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$ | 14,278,556 | \$ | 352,829 | \$ | 178,910 | \$ | 14,810,295 | \$ |  |
|  | - |  |  |  | - |  |  |  | 1,901,590 |
|  | $(11,732,567)$ |  | $(459,093)$ |  | $(648,682)$ |  | $(12,840,342)$ |  | $(479,531)$ |
|  | $(775,206)$ |  | - |  | $(1,228,121)$ |  | $(2,003,327)$ |  | , |
|  | 1,770,783 |  | $(106,264)$ |  | $(1,697,893)$ |  | $(33,374)$ |  | 1,422,059 |
|  | - |  | 937,291 |  | 1,581,226 |  | 2,518,517 |  | 5,835,650 |
|  | $(1,457,300)$ |  |  |  |  |  | $(1,457,300)$ |  |  |
|  | - |  | 11,487,236 |  |  |  | 11,487,236 |  |  |
|  | - |  |  |  | $(125,906)$ |  | $(125,906)$ |  |  |
|  | - |  |  |  | 184,509 |  | 184,509 |  |  |
|  | 70,036 |  | - |  | - |  | 70,036 |  |  |
|  | - |  | 5,862 |  | 63,419 |  | 69,281 |  | - |
|  | $(1,387,264)$ |  | 12,430,389 |  | 1,703,248 |  | 12,746,373 |  | 5,835,650 |
|  | $(179,954)$ |  | $(677,986)$ |  | - |  | $(857,940)$ |  | $(366,630)$ |
|  | 674,925 |  | - |  | - |  | 674,925 |  |  |
|  | - |  | $(440,000)$ |  |  |  | $(440,000)$ |  | $(488,349)$ |
|  | - |  | $(12,068,576)$ |  |  |  | $(12,068,576)$ |  | - |
|  | - |  | $(430,513)$ |  | - |  | $(430,513)$ |  | $(38,981)$ |
|  | - |  | - |  | $(16,656)$ |  | $(16,656)$ |  | - |
|  | 494,971 |  | $(13,617,075)$ |  | $(16,656)$ |  | $(13,138,760)$ |  | $(893,960)$ |
|  | $(867,342)$ |  | $(373,960)$ |  | 68,949 |  | $(1,172,353)$ |  | $(422,403)$ |
|  | $(867,342)$ |  | $(373,960)$ |  | 68,949 |  | $(1,172,353)$ |  | $(422,403)$ |
|  | 11,148 |  | $(1,666,910)$ |  | 57,648 |  | $(1,598,114)$ |  | 5,941,346 |
|  | 23,917,537 |  | 9,926,925 |  | 48,350 |  | 33,892,812 |  | 4,223,664 |
| \$ | 23,928,685 | \$ | 8,260,015 | \$ | 105,998 | \$ | 32,294,698 | \$ | 10,165,010 |
| \$ | 1,047,516 | \$ | $(303,614)$ | \$ | $(1,456,472)$ | \$ | (712,570) | \$ | $(475,128)$ |
|  | 1,341,460 |  | 237,560 |  | 560,032 |  | 2,139,052 |  | 1,630,724 |
|  | $(438,924)$ |  | 24,333 |  | $(41,977)$ |  | $(456,568)$ |  |  |
|  | - |  | $(332,876)$ |  | $(3,135,198)$ |  | $(3,468,074)$ |  |  |
|  | 57,950 |  | - |  | 122,070 |  | 180,020 |  | - |
|  | 30,000 |  | - |  | - |  | 30,000 |  | - |
|  | $(379,985)$ |  | - |  | - |  | $(379,985)$ |  | 140,685 |
|  | $(126,712)$ |  | (06,05) |  | $(104,346)$ |  | $(231,058)$ |  | - |
|  | $(105,505)$ |  | $(66,054)$ |  | 34,127 |  | $(137,432)$ |  | 125,778 |
|  | $(19,841)$ |  | - |  | $(24,397)$ |  | $(44,238)$ |  | - |
|  | 136,127 |  | - |  | - |  | 136,127 |  | - |
|  | $(324,514)$ |  | - |  | $(1,366,697)$ |  | $(1,691,211)$ |  | - |
|  | 553,211 |  | - |  | 605,602 |  | 1,158,813 |  | - |
|  | - |  | 334,387 |  | 3,109,363 |  | 3,443,750 |  | - |
|  | 723,267 |  | 197,350 |  | $(241,421)$ |  | 679,196 |  | 1,897,187 |
| \$ | 1,770,783 | \$ | $(106,264)$ | \$ | $(1,697,893)$ | \$ | $(33,374)$ | \$ | 1,422,059 |
| \$ | 411,348 | \$ | 1,735,481 | \$ | - | \$ | 2,146,829 | \$ | - |

STATEMENT OF FIDUCIARY NET POSITION
FIDUCIARY FUNDS
JUNE 30, 2022

|  | Private- <br> Purpose Trust <br> Fund |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |

STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN FIDUCIARY NET POSITION
FIDUCIARY FUNDS
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2022

|  | Private- <br> Purpose Trust <br> Fund |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
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## I. SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

## Note 1: Organization and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

## a. Description of Entity

The City of Rancho Cucamonga was incorporated on November 30, 1977, under the laws of the State of California and enjoys all the rights and privileges applicable to a General Law City. The City operates under a council-manager form of government and provides its citizens with a full range of municipal services. It is governed by an elected five-member board. As required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, these financial statements present the City of Rancho Cucamonga (the City) and its component units, entities for which the City is considered financially accountable.

The inclusion of an organization within the scope of the reporting entity of the City of Rancho Cucamonga is based on the provisions of GASB Statement No. 14 and amended with GASB Statements No. 39, 61 and 80. The blended component units discussed below, although legally separate entities, are in substance part of the government operation and so data from these component units has been combined herein. The following criteria were used in the determination of the blended component units:

1. The members of the City Council also act as the governing body of the Rancho Cucamonga Public Improvement Corporation (the Improvement Corporation), the Rancho Cucamonga Fire Protection District (the Fire District), the Rancho Cucamonga Library (the Library), and the Rancho Cucamonga Public Financing Authority (the Financing Authority).
2. The Improvement Corporation, the Fire District, the Library, and the Financing Authority are managed by employees of the City. A portion of the City's general overhead costs is allocated to the Fire District and the Library.
3. The City, the Improvement Corporation, the Fire District, the Library, and the Financing Authority are financially interdependent. They provide financial benefit and burden to the City.

## Blended Component Units

The Improvement Corporation was incorporated on November 14, 1988, under the Non-Profit Public Benefit Corporation Law of the State of California. The Improvement Corporation was established for charitable purposes including rendering financial assistance to the City by financing, acquiring, constructing, improving and leasing public improvements for the benefit of residents of the City and the surrounding area. Separate financial statements are not available for the Improvement Corporation.

The Fire District (formerly, Foothill Fire Protection District) was a special district formed by the County of San Bernardino for the purpose of fire suppression within its boundaries. Effective July 1, 1989, operations of this district were taken over by the City. The Fire District still operates as a separate special district; however, now it is under the control of the City instead of the County of San Bernardino. Separate financial statements are not available for the Fire District.

## Note 1: Organization and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

The Library was part of the San Bernardino County Library System in which the City participated. Effective July 1, 1994, and pursuant to California Code Section 19104, the City withdrew from the County Library System. As of this date, the Library operates as a separate entity under the control of the City. Separate financial statements are not available for the Library.

The Financing Authority was established on April 21, 1999, pursuant to Article I (commencing with Section 6500) of Chapter 5 of Division 7 of Title । of the California Government Code. Its purpose is to facilitate the financing and the refinancing of construction, expansion, upgrading and improvement of the public capital facilities necessary to support the rehabilitation and construction of residential and economic development within the City. Separate financial statements are not available for the Financing Authority.

## b. Government-Wide and Fund Financial Statements

The government-wide financial statements (i.e., the statement of net position and the statement of activities) report information on all of the nonfiduciary activities of the primary government and its component units. The effect of interfund activity has been removed from these statements, except for the interfund services provided and used. Governmental activities, which normally are supported by taxes and intergovernmental revenues, are reported separately from business-type activities, which rely to a significant extent on fees and charges for support.

The statement of activities demonstrates the degree to which the direct expenses of a given function or segment is offset by program revenues. Direct expenses are those that are clearly identifiable with a specific function or segment. Program revenues include: 1) charges to customers or applicants who purchase, use or directly benefit from goods, services or privileges provided by a given function or segment, and 2) grants and contributions that are restricted to meeting the operational or capital requirements of a particular function or segment. Taxes and other items not properly included among program revenues are reported instead as general revenues.

Separate financial statements are provided for governmental funds, proprietary funds and fiduciary funds, even though the latter are excluded from the government-wide financial statements. Major individual governmental funds and major individual enterprise funds are reported as separate columns in the fund financial statements.

## c. Measurement Focus, Basis of Accounting and Financial Statement Presentation

The government-wide financial statements are reported using the economic resources measurement focus and the accrual basis of accounting, as are the proprietary fund and fiduciary fund financial statements. Revenues are recorded when earned and expenses are recorded when a liability is incurred, regardless of the timing of related cash flows.

Property taxes are recognized as revenues in the year for which they are levied. Grants and similar items are recognized as revenue as soon as all eligibility requirements imposed by the provider have been met.

## NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)

JUNE 30, 2022

## Note 1: Organization and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

Governmental fund financial statements are reported using the current financial resources measurement focus and the modified accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recognized as soon as they are both measurable and available. Revenues are considered to be available when they are collectible within the current period or soon enough thereafter to pay liabilities of the current period. For this purpose, the government considers revenues to be available if they are collected within 60 days of the end of the current fiscal period, except for sales tax and grant revenue where the government considers revenue to be available if collected within 180 days of the end of the current fiscal period. The primary revenue sources, which have been susceptible to accrual by the City, are real and personal property tax, other local taxes, franchise fees, forfeitures and penalties, motor license fees, rents and concessions, interest revenue, and state and Federal grants and subventions. Expenditures generally are recorded when a liability is incurred, as under accrual accounting. However, debt service expenditures, as well as expenditures related to compensated absences and claims and judgments, are recorded only when payment is due.

The City's fiduciary funds consist of custodial funds and a private purpose trust fund. Custodial funds are used to account for situations where the government's role is purely custodial. Private purpose trust funds are accounted for using the "economic resources" measurement focus and the accrual basis of accounting. Under the accrual basis of accounting, revenues are recognized in the period in which they are earned while expenses are recognized in the period in which the liability is incurred.

The City reports the following major governmental funds:

- The General Fund is the general operating fund of the City. All general tax receipts and fee revenue not allocated by law, Council policy or contractual agreement to other funds are accounted for in the General Fund. General Fund expenditures include operations traditionally associated with activities which are not required to be accounted for or paid by another fund.
- The Development Impact Fees Fund accounts for the receipts from development impact fees which are used to defray all or a portion of the cost of public facilities as a result of development.
- The Lighting Districts Fund accounts for the costs associated with providing street lighting throughout the City. Revenues are provided by special assessments levied against the benefiting property owners.
- The Housing Successor Agency Fund accounts for the assets of the former Redevelopment Agency's Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund. The source of revenue in the fund is primarily from repayment of Low and Moderate Income notes and loans receivable, and interest received from the notes and loans receivable.
- The Fire District Fund accounts for the revenue and disbursement of funds received by the Fire District in the course of the District's fire protection services. The source of revenue in the fund is primarily from property taxes.
- The Federal Grants Fund accounts for grant funds received directly or in pass-through from the Federal government and the allowable expenditures reported in those programs. The Federal grant programs reported in this special revenue fund are nonrecurring.


## Note 1: Organization and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

The City reports the following major proprietary funds:

- The Municipal Utility Fund accounts for the costs of labor and materials used in the operation, maintenance, construction and consumption of electric services to certain residential, commercial, and industrial customers within the City.
- The Fiber Optic Network Fund accounts for receipts from user charges and leases for conduit and fiber access, costs associated with the City's existing utility, information technology and traffic fiber conduits.

Additionally, the City reports the following fund types:

- Capital projects funds are used to account for financial resources used for the acquisition or construction of major capital facilities (other than those financed by the proprietary funds).
- Internal service funds account for the financial transactions related to repair, replacement and maintenance of City-owned vehicles and equipment and the City's general information systems and telecommunications hardware and software.
- Custodial funds are used to account for money and property held by the City as trustee or custodian. They are also used to account for various assessment districts and community facilities districts for which the City acts as an agent for debt service activity.
- A private-purpose trust fund is used to account for the assets and liabilities of the former Redevelopment Agency and the allocated revenue to pay estimated installment payments of enforceable obligations until the obligations of the former Redevelopment Agency are paid in full and assets have been liquidated.

As a general rule, the effect of interfund activity has been eliminated from the government-wide financial statements. Exceptions to this general rule are charges between the government's proprietary funds functions and various other functions of the government. Elimination of these charges would distort the direct costs and program revenues reported for the various functions concerned.

Amounts reported as program revenues include: 1) charges to customers or applicants for goods, services or privileges provided; 2) operating grants and contributions; and 3) capital grants and contributions. Internally dedicated resources are reported as general revenues rather than as program revenues. Likewise, general revenues include all taxes.

Proprietary funds distinguish operating revenues and expenses from non-operating items. Operating revenues and expenses generally result from providing services and producing and delivering goods in connection with a proprietary fund's principal ongoing operations. The principal operating revenues of the enterprise funds and internal service funds are charges to customers for sales and services. Operating expenses for enterprise funds and internal service funds include the cost of sales and services, administrative expenses, and depreciation on capital assets. All revenues and expenses not meeting this definition are reported as non-operating revenues and expenses.

## Note 1: Organization and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

## d. Assets, Deferred Outflows, Liabilities, Deferred Inflows and Net Position or Equity

Cash and Investments

All cash and investments, except those that are held by fiscal agents or through a trust, are held in a City pool. These pooled funds are available upon demand and therefore are considered cash and cash equivalents for purposes of the statement of cash flows. Investments held by fiscal agents with an original maturity of three months or less are also considered cash equivalents and are shown as restricted assets for financial statement presentation purposes. Investments for the City, as well as for its component units, are reported at fair value.

Receivables and Payables
Activity between funds that are representative of lending/borrowing arrangements outstanding at the end of the fiscal year are referred to as either "due to/from other funds" (i.e., the current portion of interfund loans) or "advances to/from other funds" (i.e., the non-current portion of interfund loans). All other outstanding balances between funds are reported as "due to/from other funds." Any residual balances outstanding between the governmental activities and business-type activities are reported in the government-wide financial statements as "internal balances."

All trade receivables are shown net of allowance for uncollectibles.
Prepaid Costs
Certain payments to vendors reflect costs applicable to future accounting periods and are recorded as prepaid items in both government-wide and fund financial statements. These are accounted for using the consumption method, and, accordingly, the expenditure is recorded in the period in which the goods or services are received.

Restricted Assets

Certain proceeds of debt issues, as well as certain resources set aside for their repayment, are classified as restricted assets on the balance sheet because their use is limited by applicable bond covenants.

Investments in the PARS Public Agencies Post-Employment Benefits Trusts are held for the purpose of rate stabilization of future pension obligations. The trusts are Section 115 irrevocable trusts. The investments are reported at fair value.

## Capital Assets

Capital assets, which include land, building improvements, improvements other than buildings, computer equipment and software, equipment and vehicles, furniture and fixtures, infrastructure (e.g., roads, bridges, sidewalks and similar items) and intangible assets, are reported in the applicable governmental or business-type activities columns in the government-wide financial statements. The City defines capital assets as assets with an initial, individual cost of more than \$5,000 (amount not rounded) and an estimated useful life in excess of one year. Such assets are recorded at historical cost when purchased or constructed. Donated capital assets are recorded at the estimated price that would be paid to acquire the asset at the date of acquisition.

## Note 1: Organization and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

The costs of normal maintenance and repairs that do not add to the value of the capital assets or materially extend capital assets' useful lives are not capitalized.

Major outlays for capital assets and improvements are capitalized as projects are constructed.

Capital assets are depreciated using the straight-line method over the following estimated useful lives:

| Assets |  |  | Years |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Building improvements |  | $10-50$ |  |
| Improvements other than buildings |  | $10-40$ |  |
| Computer equipment and software |  | $3-15$ |  |
| Equipment and vehicles |  | $3-20$ |  |
| Furniture and fixtures |  | $3-20$ |  |
| Infrastructure |  | $10-75$ |  |
| Intangible assets |  | $10-15$ |  |

## Deferred Outflows/Inflows of Resources

In addition to assets, the statement of net position will sometimes report a separate section for deferred outflows of resources. This separate financial statement element, deferred outflows of resources, represents a consumption of net assets that applies to future periods and so will not be recognized as an outflow of resources (expense/expenditure) until then. The government reports deferred outflows of resources related to certain changes arising from net pension liability, net pension asset, and net OPEB asset.

In addition to liabilities, the statement of net position will sometimes report a separate section for deferred inflows of resources. This separate financial statement element, deferred inflows of resources, represents an acquisition of net assets that applies to a future period(s) and so will not be recognized as an inflow of resources (revenue) until that time. The government has several items that qualify for reporting in this category:

1. Unavailable revenue is reported only in the governmental funds balance sheet. The governmental funds report unavailable revenues for revenues that are measurable but not collected within 60 days of the end of the current fiscal period or 180 days for sales tax and grant revenues. These amounts are deferred and recognized as an inflow of resources in the period that the amounts become available.
2. Pension and OPEB related deferred inflows are reported only on the Statement of Net Position. The government reports deferred inflows of resources related to pensions arising from certain changes in the net pension liability, net pension asset, or net OPEB asset. Deferred inflows and outflows of resources related to changes in the net pension liability, net pension asset, and net OPEB asset are recognized systematically over time. Amounts are first recognized in the year the change occurs. The remaining amounts are to be recognized in future periods. The recognition period differs depending on the source of the change, and they currently are amortized over 5 years or the average remaining service life time.

## Note 1: Organization and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

3. A deferred inflow of resources related to leases is reported for the value of lease receivable payments to be recognized as an inflow of resources in a systematic and rational manner over the term of the lease agreements.

Pension
For purposes of measuring the net pension liability, net pension asset, deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions, and pension expense, information about the fiduciary net position of the plans and additions to/deductions from the plans' fiduciary net position have been determined on the same basis as they are reported by the CaIPERS Financial Office. For this purpose, benefit payments (including refunds of employee contributions) are recognized when currently due and payable in accordance with the benefit terms. Investments are reported at fair value.

GASB Statement No. 68 requires that the reported results must pertain to liability and asset information within certain defined timeframes. For this report, the following timeframes are used.

| Valuation Date | June 30, 2020 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Measurement Date | June 30, 2021 |
| Measurement Period | July 1, 2020 to June 30, 2021 |

## PARS Retirement Enhancement Plan

For purposes of measuring the net pension liability, net pension asset, deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to the retirement enhancement plan, and retirement enhancement plan expense, information about the fiduciary net position of the plan and additions to/deductions from the plan's fiduciary net position have been determined by an independent actuary.

Contributions are recognized in the period in which the contributions are due and there exists a formal commitment to provide the contributions. Liabilities related to investment and administrative expenses are recognized when incurred. Those related to obligations for employee benefits and refunds are recognized when due and payable in accordance with the terms of the plan.

GASB Statement No. 68 requires that the reported results must pertain to liability and asset information within certain defined timeframes. For this report, the following timeframes are used.

Valuation Date<br>Measurement Date<br>Measurement Period

June 30, 2020
June 30, 2021
July 1, 2020 to June 30, 2021

## Note 1: Organization and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

Other Post-Employment Benefits (OPEB)

For purposes of measuring the net OPEB asset, deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to OPEB, and OPEB expense, information about the fiduciary net position of the OPEB Plan, the assets of which are held by the California Employers' Retiree Benefit Trust (CERBT), an agent multiple-employer defined benefit healthcare plan administered by the California Public Employees' Retirement System (CaIPERS), and additions to/deductions from the OPEB plan's fiduciary net position have been determined by an independent actuary. For this purpose, benefit payments are recognized when currently due and payable in accordance with the benefit terms. Investments are reported at fair value.

GASB Statement No. 75 requires that the reported results must pertain to liability and asset information within certain defined timeframes. For this report, the following timeframes are used:

Valuation Date<br>Measurement Date<br>Measurement Period

June 30, 2021
June 30, 2021
July 1, 2020 to June 30, 2021

## Accrued Employee Benefits

The City's policy permits employees to accumulate earned but unused vacation and sick pay benefits. The total amount of liability for unused vacation and sick pay benefits is accrued when incurred in the government-wide financial statements. The City utilizes the General Fund and the Fire District Special Revenue Fund in the governmental fund financial statements to account for the short-term portion of its liability. The short-term portion is the unused reimbursable leave still outstanding following an employee's resignation or retirement.

Vacation pay is payable to employees at the time a vacation is taken or upon termination of employment. Fire District employees cannot accrue more than one and one-half times their regular annual entitlement.

Sick leave is payable when an employee is unable to work because of illness. For City employees, those who terminate their employment after five years of continuous service and have at least 120 hours of accrued leave.

For Fire District employees, sick leave may be accumulated indefinitely or an employee with ten or more years of service is eligible to convert unused sick leave to vacation in accordance with the following and with any remainder of hours to still remain unused sick time:

| Employee <br> Type | Accumulated Sick <br> Leave Balance <br> Prior Calendar Year |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | | Vacation |
| :---: |
| Conversion Rate |

## Note 1: Organization and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

Upon service retirement of a public safety employee, the option exists to sell back up to one-half of total accumulated sick leave, have the leave credited toward service in accordance with the Public Retirement Law, or apply the cash value of up to $100 \%$ of the leave to the employee's VEBA account. All unused sick leave is forfeited upon termination, other than for normal retirement.

Long-Term Obligations
In the government-wide financial statements and proprietary fund types in the fund financial statements, long-term debt and other long-term obligations are reported as liabilities in the applicable governmental activities, business-type activities or proprietary fund type statement of net position. In governmental funds financial statements, the face amount of debt when issued is reported as other financing sources. Repayment of debt is reported as debt service expenditures.

Fund Balance
Fund balance is essentially the difference between the assets, liabilities, and deferred inflows reported in a governmental fund. There are five separate components of fund balance, each of which identifies the extent to which the City is bound to honor constraints on the specific purposes for which amounts can be spent.

- Non-spendable fund balance (inherently non-spendable)
- Restricted fund balance (externally enforceable limitations on use)
- Committed fund balance (self-imposed limitations on use)
- Assigned fund balance (limitation resulting from intended use)
- Unassigned fund balance (residual net resources)

The General Fund is the only fund that can report a positive unassigned fund balance amount. In governmental funds other than the General Fund, if expenditures incurred for specific purposes exceed the amounts that are restricted, committed or assigned to these purposes, it may be necessary to report a negative unassigned fund balance in that fund.

The City Council, as the City's highest level of decision-making authority, may commit fund balance for specific purposes pursuant to constraints imposed by the adoption of a resolution. These committed amounts cannot be used for any other purpose unless the City Council removes or changes the specified use through the same type of formal action taken to establish the commitment. City Council action to commit fund balance needs to occur within the fiscal reporting period; however, the amount can be determined subsequently. Fund balance commitments are as follows:

## Changes in Economic Circumstances

The City's General Fund balance committed for changes in economic circumstances is established at a goal of a nine month reserve, or $75 \%$ of the City General Fund operating budget for the upcoming fiscal year. The Fire District's fund balance committed for changes in economic circumstances is established at a goal of a nine month reserve, or $75 \%$ of the Fire District's operating budget for the upcoming fiscal year. The specific uses of this commitment include:

## Note 1: Organization and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

1) the declaration of a state or federal state of emergency or a local emergency as defined in Rancho Cucamonga Municipal Code Section 2.36.020; or 2) a change in economic circumstances in a given fiscal year that results in revenues to the City/Fire District being insufficient to cover expenditures for one or more fiscal years. The City Council/Fire Board may, by the affirming vote of four members, change the amount of this commitment and/or the specific uses of these monies.

## City Facilities Capital Repair

The City's General Fund balance committed for City facilities capital repair and property acquisition is established at a minimum goal of $50 \%$ of capital assets value comprised of construction in progress (excluding infrastructure), building improvements, and improvements other than buildings for governmental activities, excluding assets owned by the Fire District.

## Fire District Facilities Capital Repair

The Fire District's fund balance committed for Fire District facilities capital repair to a minimum goal of $50 \%$ of capital assets value comprised of construction in progress (excluding infrastructure), building improvements, and improvements other than building for public safety-fire activities.

## Working Capital

The City's General Fund balance committed for Working Capital is established at a minimum goal of $5 \%$ of the City's General Fund operating budget for the upcoming fiscal year. The Fire District's fund balance committed for Working Capital is established at a minimum goal of $50 \%$ of the District's operating budget for the upcoming fiscal year.

## Self-Insurance

The City's General Fund balance and the Fire District's fund balance committed for payment of Worker's Compensation, General Liability, and Employment Practices Liability claims is established at a minimum goal of eight times the City's and the Fire District's total yearly SIRs for all types of insurance coverage.

## PASIS Worker's Compensation Tail Claims

The Fire District's fund balance committed for payment of outstanding Worker's Compensation claims remaining after the Fire District's withdrawal from PASIS is established at a goal equal to the most recent fiscal year end Claims Cost Detail Report from the Fire District's third-party administrator plus $15 \%$.

## Employee Leave Payouts

The City's General Fund balance and the Fire District's fund balance committed for employee leave payouts is valued in accordance with the City's labor contracts as of the last day of the fiscal year.

## Vehicle and Equipment Replacement

The Fire District's fund balance committed for the replacement of fire safety vehicles and equipment as determined based on the Fire District's replacement

## Note 1: Organization and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

criteria is established at a minimum goal of $50 \%$ of Fire District vehicle and equipment replacement value.

## Law Enforcement

The City's General Fund balance committed for public safety purposes, including operations, equipment, capital outlay, personnel, and booking fees. The funding goal for this reserve is the equivalent of $100 \%$ of the most recently approved Schedule A from the San Bernardino County Sheriff's Department.

## Economic Development Strategic Reserve

The City's General Fund balance committed for the acquisition and development of key properties to promote economic development that will benefit the City as a whole and, potentially, generate ongoing revenues to the City whenever feasible through negotiated agreements with third parties (including but not limited to land leases or public-private partnerships). Establishment of this reserve is a City Council goal, established in the spring of 2021. The funding goal for this reserve is the equivalent of the current value of a 10-acre mixed-use site on Foothill Boulevard as of January 1 of each year.

## Seasonal Weather Emergency Reserve

The City's General Fund balance committed for unanticipated costs incurred due to damage resulting from severe weather emergencies such as wind, flood, fire, extreme heat, extreme cold, and other forces of nature. The reserve will provide funding for these costs without impacting the City's operating budget and will be appropriated by the City Council on an as needed basis when extreme seasonal weather emergencies occur.

## Community Benefit Projects

A portion of the City's General Fund balance, received from projects that include a development agreement, which is committed for addressing projects' expected impacts on affordable housing demand, future greenhouse gas emissions, fire protection services, electric vehicle charging, reduction in vehicle miles traveled, pedestrian safety improvements, carbon capture, alternative energy production, noise reduction, environmental justice, and related impacts typically associated with, but not limited to, large warehouse, industrial, and commercial developments.

## Public Safety Personnel Affordable Housing

A portion of the Fire District's fund balance committed to provide additional funding to match the City's contributions to help with the creation of affordable for-sale housing for public safety personnel including but not limited to Fire District employees. Funding may be used for silent seconds, closing costs, assistance with obtaining financing, or to help buy down the cost of design and construction of single-family housing units. The funding goal for this reserve is established as the value of the affordability gap to construct 50 housing units that are affordable at the 60\% California Tax Credit Allocation Committee (TCAC) median income with a $4 \%$ tax credit scenario per unit, or $\$ 192,600$ per unit, for a total funding goal of $\$ 9,630,000$. The affordability gap was determined as part of the Non- Residential Linkage Fee Nexus Study dated October 5, 2021, prepared by Keyser Marston Associates, Inc.
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## Note 1: Organization and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

Fund Balance Flow Assumptions

Sometimes the government will fund outlays for a particular purpose from both restricted and unrestricted resources (the total of committed, assigned, and unassigned fund balance). In order to calculate the amounts to report as restricted, committed, assigned, and unassigned fund balance in the governmental fund financial statements, a flow assumption must be made about the order in which the resources are considered to be applied. The City considers restricted fund balance to have been spent first when an expenditure is incurred for purposes for which both restricted and unrestricted fund balance is available. Similarly, when an expenditure is incurred for purposes for which amounts in any of the unrestricted classifications of fund balance could be used, the City considers committed amounts to be reduced first, followed by assigned amounts and then unassigned amounts.

## Net Position

In the governmental-wide financial statements and proprietary fund financial statements, net position is classified as follows:

Net Investment in Capital Assets - This amount consists of capital assets net of accumulated depreciation and reduced by outstanding debt attributed to the acquisition, construction, or improvement of the assets.

Restricted Net Position - This amount is restricted by external creditors, grantors, contributors, or laws or regulations of other governments.

Unrestricted Net Position - This amount is all net position that does not meet the definition of "net investment in capital assets" or "restricted net position."

Net Position Flow Assumption
Sometimes the government will fund outlays for a particular purpose from both restricted (e.g., restricted bond or grant proceeds) and unrestricted resources. In order to calculate the amounts to report as restricted and unrestricted net position in the government-wide and proprietary fund financial statements, a flow assumption must be made about the order in which the resources are considered to be applied. It is the government's policy to consider restricted - net position to have been depleted before unrestricted - net position is applied.

## Property Tax

Property tax revenue is recognized on the modified accrual basis, that is, in the fiscal year for which the taxes have been levied providing they become available. Available means then due or past due and receivable within the current period and collected within the current period or expected to be collected soon enough thereafter to be used to pay liabilities of the current period. The County of San Bernardino collects property taxes for the City. Tax liens attach annually as of 12:01 A.M. on the first day in January proceeding the fiscal year for which the taxes are levied. Taxes are levied on both real and personal property as it exists on that date. The tax levy covers the fiscal period July 1 to June 30. All secured personal property taxes and one-half of the taxes on real property are due November 1; the second installment is due February 1. All taxes are delinquent, if unpaid, on December 10 and April 10, respectively. Unsecured personal property taxes become due on the first of March each year and are delinquent, if unpaid, on August 31.

## Note 1: Organization and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

Functional Classifications
Expenditures of the governmental funds are classified by function. Functional classifications are defined as follows:

- General Government includes legislative activities, City Clerk, City Attorney, City Manager as well as management or supportive services across more than one functional area.
- Public Safety - Police includes those activities which involve police protection.
- Public Safety - Fire Protection includes activities of the Fire District which involve the protection of people and property from fire as well as emergency preparedness.
- Public Safety - Animal Center includes those activities which involve animal care and services.
- Community Development includes those activities which involve planning and economic development, as well as building and safety.
- Community Services includes activities which provide recreation, cultural and educational services.
- Engineering and Public Works includes all maintenance, engineering and capital improvements which relate to streets, parks, flood control and other public facilities.


## II. STEWARDSHIP

## Note 2: Stewardship, Compliance and Accountability

## a. Encumbrances

Encumbrances are estimations of costs related to unperformed contracts for goods and services. They represent the estimated amount of the expenditure ultimately to result if unperformed contracts in progress at year-end are completed. They do not constitute expenditures or estimated liabilities.

The following funds have encumbrances at June 30, 2022:

| General Fund | 3,482,602 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Development Impact Fees | 275,070 |
| Lighting Districts | 437 |
| Fire District | $18,164,770$ |
| Federal Grants Fund | 31,534 |
| Other Governmental Funds | $9,139,086$ |
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## b. Deficit Fund Balances or Net Position

The Lighting Districts Fund has a deficit fund balance of $\$ 4,219,819$ at June 30, 2022. The deficit fund balance will be eliminated by the repayment of the interfund advance from the General Fund described in Note 6.

The following nonmajor special revenue funds reported deficits in fund balance at June 30, 2022:

| Recreation | \$ | 5,747 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Pedestrian Grant | 9,237 |  |
| State Grants Fund | 8,108 |  |
| Enhanced Infrastructure Financing District | 241,798 |  |

The deficits in the Recreation, Pedestrian Grant, State Grants, and Enhanced Infrastructure Financing District special revenue funds will be eliminated by future expected revenue sources.

## III. DETAILED NOTES ON ALL FUNDS

## Note 3: Cash and Investments

As of June 30, 2022, cash and investments were reported in the accompanying financial statements as follows:

|  | Governmental activities | Business-Type Activities | Custodial Funds |  | Private- <br> Purpose Trust Fund |  | Total Cash and Investments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cash and Investments | \$439,757,206 | \$ 32,294,698 | \$ | 6,385,200 | \$ | 22,745,691 | \$501,182,795 |
| Restricted: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash with fiscal agent | 412,846 | - |  | 3,772,129 |  | 43 | 4,185,018 |
| Pension rate stabilization fund | 13,120,594 | - |  | - |  | - | 13,120,594 |
| Total Cash and Investments: | \$453,290,646 | \$ 32,294,698 | \$ | 10,157,329 |  | 22,745,734 | \$518,488,407 |

The City follows the practice of pooling cash and investments of all funds, except for funds required to be held by fiscal agents under provisions of bond indentures or funds held in a trust. Interest income earned on pooled cash and investments is allocated quarterly to the various funds based on average daily cash balances. Interest Income from cash and investments with fiscal agents and through a trust are credited directly to the related fund.

Deposits
At June 30, 2022, the carrying amount of the City's deposits was $\$ 32,974,703$ and the bank balance was $\$ 34,867,415$. The $\$ 1,892,712$ difference represents outstanding checks and other reconciling items.

The California Government Code requires California banks and savings and loan associations to secure a City's deposits by pledging government securities with a value of $110 \%$ of a City's deposits. California law also allows financial institutions to secure City deposits by pledging first trust deed mortgage notes having a value of $150 \%$ of a City's total deposits. The City Treasurer may waive the collateral requirement for deposits which

## Note 3: Cash and Investments (Continued)

are fully insured up to $\$ 250,000$ by the FDIC. The collateral for deposits in federal and state chartered banks is held in safekeeping by an authorized Agent of Depository recognized by the State of California Department of Banking. The collateral for deposits with savings and loan associations is generally held in safekeeping by the Federal Home Loan Bank in San Francisco, California as an Agent of Depository. These securities are physically held in an undivided pool for all California public agency depositors. Under Government Code Section 53655, the placement of securities by a bank or savings and loan association with an "Agent of Depository" has the effect of perfecting the security interest in the name of the local governmental agency. Accordingly, all collateral held by California Agents of Depository are considered to be held for, and in the name of, the local governmental agency.

Investments
Under provision of the City's investment policy, and in accordance with the California Government Code, the following investments are authorized:

- United States Treasury Securities
- United States Federal Agencies
- Supranational Securities
- Municipals Notes or Bonds
- Negotiable Certificates of Deposit
- Asset-Backed Securities
- Medium-Term Notes
- Bankers' Acceptances
- Commercial Paper
- Repurchase Agreements (Repos)
- State of California Local Agency Investment Fund (LAIF)
- Joint Powers Authority (JPA) Investment Pool
- Money Market Funds
- Bank Deposits

Investments Authorized by Debt Agreements
The above investments do not address investment of debt proceeds held by a bond trustee. Investments of debt proceeds held by a bond trustee are governed by provisions of the debt agreements, rather than the general provisions of the California Government Code or the City's investment policy.

Investments in State Investment Pool
The City is a voluntary participant in the Local Agency Investment Fund (LAIF) that is regulated by California Government Code Section 16429 under the oversight of the Treasurer of the State of California. LAIF is overseen by the Local Agency Investment Advisory Board, which consists of five members, in accordance with State statute. The State Treasurer's Office audits the fund annually. The fair value of the position in the investment pool is the same as the value of the pool shares.
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## Note 3: Cash and Investments (Continued)

Credit Risk
As of June 30, 2022, the City's investments in corporate bonds were A3 of better by Moody's. As of June 30, 2022, the City invested in Federal Farm Credit Bank, Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation, Federal National Mortgage Association, and municipal bonds which were all rated "Aaa" by Moody's. All securities were investment grade and were legal under State and City law. As of June 30, 2022, the City's investments in external investment pools and money market mutual funds are unrated.

Custodial Credit Risk
The custodial credit risk for deposits is the risk that, in the event of the failure of a depository financial institution, a government will not be able to recover deposits or will not be able to recover collateral securities that are in the possession of an outside party. The custodial credit risk for investments is the risk that, in the event of the failure of the counterparty to a transaction, a government will not be able to recover the value of investment or collateral securities that are in the possession of an outside party.

As of June 30, 2022, the City's deposits (bank balances) were insured by the FDIC up to $\$ 250,000$ and the remaining balances were collateralized under California Law.

## Concentration of Credit Risk

The City is in compliance with restrictions imposed by its investment policy, which limits certain types of investments. As of June 30, 2022, in accordance with GASB Statement No. 40, if the City has invested more than $5 \%$ of its total investments in any one issuer, it is exposed to credit risk. The following investments are considered exposed to credit risk:

Federal National Mortgage Association 5.39\%
Investments guaranteed by the U.S. government and investments in mutual funds and external investment pools are excluded from this.

## Interest Rate Risk

The City's investment policy limits investment maturities as a means of managing its exposure to fair value losses arising from increasing interest rates. The City's investment policy establishes a maximum maturity of 180 days for Banker's Acceptances, 270 days for Commercial Paper, one year for Repurchase Agreements and five years for all other individual investments. The only exception to these maturity limits shall be the investment of the gross proceeds of tax-exempt bonds. The City has elected to use the segmented time distribution method of disclosure for its interest rate risk.
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As of June 30, 2022, the City had the following investments and original maturities:

|  | Investment Maturities (in Years) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Fair Value |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 6 months or less |  | 6 months to 1 year |  | 1 year to 3 years |  | 3 years <br> to 5 years |  |  |  |
| Investments |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Local Agency Investment Fund | \$ | 97,725,874 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 97,725,874 |
| Federal Governmental Agencies |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Federal Farm Credit Bank |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 2,777,211 |  | 2,777,211 |
| Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation |  | - |  | - |  | 6,288,814 |  | 2,110,917 |  | 8,399,731 |
| Federal National Mortgage Association |  | - |  | - |  | 15,690,013 |  | 9,562,239 |  | 25,252,252 |
| Municipal Bonds |  | 499,945 |  | - |  | 490,515 |  | - |  | 990,460 |
| Corporate Notes |  | - |  | - |  | 22,421,596 |  | 41,346,289 |  | 63,767,885 |
| Certificate of Deposit |  | - |  | 489,497 |  | 464,297 |  | 675,815 |  | 1,629,609 |
| Negotiable CD |  | - |  | 2,970,576 |  | - |  | - |  | 2,970,576 |
| US Treasury Note |  | 832,256 |  | 29,364,467 |  | 132,552,620 |  | 78,669,357 |  | 241,418,700 |
| Supernational |  | - |  | - |  | 6,702,714 |  | 1,542,130 |  | 8,244,844 |
| Money Market |  | 3,438,518 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 3,438,518 |
| Asset-Backed Security |  | - |  | - |  | 1,454,168 |  | 10,138,264 |  | 11,592,432 |
| Restricted investments |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mutual Fund |  | 13,120,594 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 13,120,594 |
| Investment with Fiscal Agents: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Money Market Fund |  | 4,185,018 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 4,185,018 |
| Total |  | 119,802,205 | \$ | 32,824,540 |  | 186,064,737 | \$ | 146,822,222 |  | 485,513,704 |

Fair Value Hierarchy

The City categorizes its fair value measurements within the fair value hierarchy established by generally accepted accounting principles. The hierarchy is based on the valuation inputs used to measure the fair value of the asset. Level 1 inputs are quoted prices in active markets for identical assets; Level 2 inputs are significant other observable inputs; Level 3 inputs are significant unobservable inputs.

The City has the following recurring fair value measurements as of June 30, 2022:
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## Note 3: Cash and Investments (Continued)

Deposits and securities classified in Level 1 of the fair value hierarchy are valued using prices quoted in active markets for those securities. Local Agency Investment Funds are valued using specified fair value factors. Federal Agency Securities classified in Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy are valued using institutional bond quotes. There are no Level 3 investments.

## Note 4: $\quad$ Notes and Loans Receivables

|  | Beginning Balance |  | Additions |  | Reductions |  | Ending Balance |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Governmental Activities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NHDC (San Sevaine) | \$ | 45,125,958 | \$ | 404,577 | \$ | $(247,883)$ | \$ | 45,282,652 |
| LINC-Pepperwood Housing Investors, LP |  | 27,830,774 |  | 432,762 |  | $(6,248)$ |  | 28,257,288 |
| HB Housing Partners, L.P. |  | 12,873,008 |  | 270,000 |  | - |  | 13,143,008 |
| SCHDC (Rancho Verde) |  | 7,754,777 |  | 97,498 |  | $(7,470)$ |  | 7,844,805 |
| SCHDC (Heritage Pointe Senior Apartments) |  | 2,944,220 |  | 219,662 |  | - |  | 3,163,882 |
| Rancho Workforce Housing, L.P. |  | 33,347,018 |  | 795,660 |  | - |  | 34,142,678 |
| North Town Housing Partners (Villa Del Norte) |  | 10,568,840 |  | 177,876 |  | $(162,905)$ |  | 10,583,811 |
| NHDC (Olen Jones Senior Apartments) |  | 4,733,225 |  | 128,232 |  | $(409,607)$ |  | 4,451,850 |
| Villa Pacifica II LP |  | 9,572,348 |  | 260,515 |  | - |  | 9,832,863 |
| Day Creek Senior Housing Partners 2, L.P. |  | 5,323,366 |  | 3,763,397 |  | - |  | 9,086,763 |
| Day Creek Senior Housing Partners, L.P. |  | 5,233,370 |  | 152,291 |  | - |  | 5,385,661 |
| First-Time Homebuyer Program |  | 3,066,808 |  | - |  | $(91,000)$ |  | 2,975,808 |
|  | \$ | 168,373,712 | \$ | 6,702,470 | \$ | $(925,113)$ | \$ | 174,151,069 |

Notes and loans receivables consist of the following at June 30, 2022:

## Governmental activities

1. On September 1, 2005, the Agency entered into a loan agreement with Northtown Housing Development Corporation (NHDC) for the purchase of undeveloped real property and the development of an apartment complex (San Sevaine) which will increase the supply of affordable housing to low and moderate income households for a period of ninety-nine (99) years. This loan is a line of credit not-to-exceed $\$ 40,700,000$ with simple interest accruing at $1 \%$ per annum from the date of disbursement for a term of 55 years (2060), as modified on May 6, 2009, with Amendment \#2. Upon dissolution of the Agency, the loan receivable was transferred to the Housing Successor Agency of the City. As of June 30, 2022, the advances paid against this line of credit amount to $\$ 40,457,658$ and accrued interest amounts to $\$ 4,824,994$ for a total of $\$ 45,282,652$. Accrued interest is offset by deferred revenue.
2. On April 19, 2006, the Agency entered into a loan agreement with LINC-Pepperwood Housing Investors, LP to provide financial assistance from the Low and Moderate Housing Set-aside Fund to purchase and rehabilitate the Pepperwood Apartment Homes, which will increase the supply of affordable housing to low and moderate income households, for not less than ninety-nine (99) years. The loan is in the form of a line of credit not-to-exceed $\$ 21,638,113$, which includes the rollover of the BLT Partnership No. 1 loan of $\$ 2,350,000$ and an amendment and increase of $\$ 1,288,113$ on May 16, 2007. The outstanding principal balance of the loan will accrue simple interest at $2 \%$ per annum from the date of disbursement for a term of 56 years (2062). In addition to the extent there are Residual Receipts, the Developer shall pay to the Agency $50 \%$ of the Residual Receipts from the
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## Note 4: Notes and Loans Receivables (Continued)

preceding year. Upon dissolution of the Agency, the loan receivable was transferred to the Housing Successor Agency of the City. As of June 30, 2022, advances paid against this line of credit amounts to $\$ 21,638,113$ and accrued interest amounts to $\$ 6,619,175$ for a total balance of $\$ 28,257,288$. Accrued interest is offset by deferred revenue.
3. On September 1, 2005, the Agency entered into a loan agreement with HB Housing Partners, L.P. to provide financial assistance from the Low and Moderate Housing Set-aside Fund to purchase and rehabilitate the Woodhaven Manor Apartments, which will increase the supply of affordable housing to low and moderate income households for not less than ninety-nine (99) years. The loan is in the form of a line of credit not-to-exceed $\$ 9,000,000$. Simple interest accrues on the advances as follows: 1) $3 \%$ per annum from the date of disbursement through and including the date immediately prior to September 21, 2022; and 2) $2 \%$ per annum from September 21, 2022 through September 21, 2060. In addition, to the extent there are Residual Receipts-, the Developer shall pay to the Agency either 33\% or $50 \%$ of the Residual Receipts from the preceding year. Upon dissolution of the Agency, the loan receivable was transferred to the Housing Successor Agency of the City. As of June 30, 2022, the advances paid against this line of credit amounted to $\$ 9,000,000$ and accrued interest amounts to $\$ 4,143,008$ for a total of $\$ 13,143,008$. Accrued interest is offset by deferred revenue.
4. On March 9, 2006, the Agency entered into a loan agreement with The Southern California Housing Development Corporation (SCHDC) for the acquisition, construction and operation of affordable housing apartments, referred to as the Rancho Verde Expansion project, which will increase the supply of very-low, low and moderate income households. This loan is a line of credit not-to-exceed $\$ 6,500,000$ with simple interest accruing at $1.5 \%$ per annum until June 27, 2035, and $2 \%$ per annum thereafter and payable without demand or notice on June 27, 2060. Upon dissolution of the Agency, the loan receivable was transferred to the Housing Successor Agency of the City. As of June 30, 2022, the advances paid against this line of credit amounted to $\$ 6,499,910$ and accrued interest amounts to $1,344,895$ for a total of $\$ 7,844,805$. Accrued interest is offset by deferred revenue.
5. On December 1, 2001, the Agency entered into a residual receipts promissory note loan agreement in the form of a line of credit not-to-exceed \$4,000,000 with Malvern Housing Partners, L.P. and Southern California Housing Development Corporation (SCHDC) for the acquisition, construction and operation of a 49-unit senior multifamily apartment project, known as Heritage Pointe Senior Apartments. A portion of the necessary funding was provided from proceeds of a $\$ 4,000,000$ bond issue by Southern California Housing Development Corporation. Funding provided by the Agency was in the form of semi-annual principal payments toward these bonds from the Agency's low and moderate income housing fund. As advances were made by the Agency, beginning April 1, 2003, these amounts were added to and became the principal balance of this Residual Receipts Note, and are accruing simple interest at $1 \%$ per annum from the date of payment through December 2056. Annual payments of principal and accrued interest shall not commence until the operation of the project has generated residual receipts. On December 5, 2007, the residual receipts promissory note was amended and restated in connection with the refunding of the Southern California Housing Development Corporation's bond with the proceed of the Agency Housing Set-Aside Tax Allocation Bonds, Series 2007A and Series 2007B. All residual receipts in excess of fifteen percent of the gross operating income of the
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## Note 4: Notes and Loans Receivables (Continued)

project shall be paid to the Agency annually. All principal and accrued interest at the simple interest rate of $1 \%$ per annum shall be due and payable in April 2056. Upon dissolution of the Agency, the loan receivable was transferred to the Housing Successor Agency of the City. As of June 30, 2022, the advances paid against this line of credit amounted to $\$ 3,038,021$ and accrued interest amounts to $\$ 125,861$ for a total of $\$ 3,163,882$. Accrued interest is offset by deferred revenue.
6. On September 1, 2008, the Agency entered into a residual receipts promissory note loan agreement in the form of a line of credit not-to-exceed $\$ 27,565,000$ with Rancho Workforce Housing, L.P. for the acquisition, construction and development of a 166 -unit rental housing development, including 131 residential units for low and moderate income residents. This loan bears simple interest of $2.386 \%$ compounded annually from the date of disbursement, with a term commencing on the date of this agreement and continuing for fifty-five (55) years from the date of the recordation of the Certificate of Completion. Commencing after Borrower's fiscal year first ending after the completion of construction of the development, Borrower shall make repayments to the Agency equal to $50 \%$ of the Residual Receipts. Upon dissolution of the Agency, the loan receivable was transferred to the Housing Successor Agency of the City. As of June 30, 2022, the advances paid against this line of credit amounted to $\$ 25,868,857$ and accrued interest amounts to $\$ 8,273,821$ for a total of $\$ 34,142,678$.
7. On September 26, 1994, the Agency entered into a Disposition and Development Agreement (DDA) and loan agreement (as modified on March 22, 1996) for \$5,929,181 with North Town Housing Partners for the acquisition of the 88 -unit multifamily rental Villa Del Norte housing project for low and moderate income households. Payments of principal and interest on the loan are due and payable only to the extent that net annual cash flow from the development is available. Upon dissolution of the Agency, the loan receivable was transferred to the Housing Successor Agency of the City. On October 9, 2014, the Loan was modified as a result of a refinancing of the project in order to provide funding for significant rehabilitation improvements to the development. As a result of the refinancing, the term of the Loan and the affordability covenant for the affordable units was extended by 55 years beginning September 1, 2014. The term of the Loan will now terminate on September 1, 2069. The note carries the same interest rate of $3 \%$ and the original principal amount of $\$ 5,929,181$ remains the same. As of June 30, 2022, the outstanding balance amounts to $\$ 10,583,811$, including accrued interest of $\$ 4,654,630$. Accrued interest is offset by deferred revenue.
8. On June 6, 2001, the Agency entered into a loan agreement (as updated on December 1, 2002) for $\$ 4,700,000$ with Northtown Housing Development Corporation (NHDC) for the development of the Olen Jones Senior Apartments. The term of the loan is 55 years, with zero interest accruing for the first 15 years, then accruing simple interest at $3 \%$ per annum for the remainder of the term. Payments of principal and interest on the loan are due and payable only to the extent that net annual cash flow from the development is available. Upon dissolution of the Agency, the loan receivable was transferred to the Housing Successor Agency of the City. As of June 30, 2022, the outstanding balance amounts to $\$ 4,274,400$ and accrued interest amounts to including $\$ 177,450$ for a total of $\$ 4,451,850$. Accrued interest is offset by deferred revenue.
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9. On July 11, 2014, the City entered into a loan agreement with 7418 Archibald LLC ("Developer") in the amount of $\$ 42,913$ ("City Predevelopment Loan"), pursuant to certain Acquisition, Disposition, Development and Loan Agreement dated February 19, 2014, between Developer and the City (the "ADDLA"), to develop a 60 -unit affordable senior housing project at 7418 Archibald Avenue, referred to as Villa Pacifica II. The interest of the loan is zero percent ( $0 \%$ ) per annum. The principal and any interest due under this Note shall be repaid or forgiven as set forth in the ADDLA, as amended by a first Implementation and Amendment to Acquisition, Disposition, Development and Loan Agreement dated February 17, 2016 between the Borrower's predecessor-in-interest and City and a Second Implementation and Amendment to Acquisition, Disposition, Development and Loan Agreement dated April 1, 2017. The loan may be prepaid in full or in part, at any time without penalty or premium. On April 1, 2017, the City entered into a Promissory Note Secured By Deed of Trust with Villa Pacifica II LP ("Borrower"), not to exceed the sum of $\$ 8,683,821$ consisting of the existing Predevelopment Loan of $\$ 42,913$ made by the City to the Developer, a $\$ 2,880,000$ purchase money loan in connection with the acquisition of land from City, a $\$ 2,760,908$ construction loan that is being partially disbursed on the date of the closing for the City impact fees and to reimburse Villa Pacifica II LP for construction costs accrued prior to the date of the loan, and a permanent loan of up to $\$ 3,000,000$ ("Perm Loan Principal") to be disbursed as described in the ADDLA from Villa Pacifica I Funds actually received by the City under the Villa Pacifica I Note. The term of the loan is 55 years, with simple interest accruing at $3 \%$ per annum on the outstanding principal balance. Payment of principal and interest is $50 \%$ of the Residual Receipts, with payments credited toward accrued interest and then to outstanding principal, on an annual basis on June 1 of each calendar year.

As of June 30, 2022, the advances paid against this line of credit amounted to $\$ 8,683,821$ and accrued interest amounted of $\$ 1,149,042$, for a total amount of \$9,832,863.
10. On May 4, 2016, the City approved a Disposition, Development, and Loan Agreement (DDLA) with Day Creek Senior Housing Partners, LP, also known as National CORE, for the development of a 140-unit senior rental affordable housing project at west of Day Creek Boulevard and north of Base Line Road. The DDLA was amended in June 2017, June 2018, and March 2019.

On March 6, 2019, the City entered into land and construction loans in connection with the DDLA:

The City Land Loan valued at $\$ 7,700,000$, consisting of a purchase money loan for the acquisition of the property from the City, was divided into two separate loans:
(1) City Land Loan to Day Creek Senior Housing Partners, LP in the amount of $\$ 4,896,303$ and (2) City Land Loan to Day Creek Senior Housing Partners 2, L.P. in the amount of $\$ 2,803,697$. Both loans bear $2.91 \%$ interest compounded annually for 55 years. Payment of principal and interest is $50 \%$ of the Residual Receipts, with payments credited toward accrued interest and then to the outstanding principal, on an annual basis on June 1 of each calendar year. As of June 30, 2022, the outstanding balances of the land loans are as follows: (a) Day Creek Senior Housing Partners, LP amounts to $\$ 5,385,661$ including $\$ 489,358$ accrued interest and (b) Day Creek Senior Housing Partners 2, L.P. amounts to $\$ 3,083,911$ including $\$ 280,214$ accrued interest. Accrued interest is offset by deferred revenue.
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The City Construction Loan valued at $\$ 5,700,000$ with Day Creek Senior Housing Partners 2, L.P. was deposited to JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.(Escrow) held and disbursed pursuant to the terms of the Escrow Agreement. The loan bears simple interest of $3 \%$ per annum from the date of disbursement from the Escrow fund for a term of 55 years. Payment of principal and interest is $50 \%$ of the Residual Receipts, with payments credited toward accrued interest and then to outstanding principal, on an annual basis on June 1 of each calendar year. As of June 30, 2022, the outstanding balance is $\$ 6,002,852$ including accrued interest of $\$ 302,852$. Accrued interest is offset by deferred revenue.
11. First-time homebuyer loans represent the loans made under the First Time Homebuyer's Program. The payment of the loan is not due until the property is sold. As of June 30, 2022, the outstanding balance amounts to $\$ 2,975,808$ with no interest due.

Total notes and loans receivables for governmental activities at June 30, 2022, including accrued interest of $\$ 32,385,300$ amounted to $\$ 174,151,069$.

## Business-type activities

12. In October 2015, the City entered into an unsecured promissory note for the costs of constructing an electric utility line extension related to the development of a hotel on Haven Avenue. The costs to construct the electric utility line extension amounted to $\$ 337,480$. The note accrues simple interest at $1.46 \%$ per month (17.52\% per annum) beginning September 1, 2018 and is fully due and payable on February 1, 2022. Outstanding principal may be prepaid in whole or in part at any time. Principal may be partially reduced on February 1 of each year based on average hotel occupancy for the preceding calendar year exceeding thresholds established in the note. The promissory note was paid off during fiscal year 2021-22.

## Note 5: Leases

The City implemented GASB Statement No. 87 in the fiscal year ended June 30, 2022. The primary objective of this statement is to enhance the relevance and consistency of information about governments' leasing activities. This statement establishes a single model for lease accounting based on the principle that leases are financings of the right to use an underlying asset. Under this Statement, a lessee is required to recognize a lease liability and an intangible right-to-use lease asset, and a lessor is required to recognize a lease receivable and a deferred inflow of resources.
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## Note 5: Leases (Continued)

## a. Leases Receivable and Deferred Inflows of Resources

The City leases land to various companies for installation of cellular towers and fiberoptic communications. The terms range from 3 years to 25 years as of the contract commencement date. The City also leases land to Goals Soccer Centers, Inc. to operate a soccer sports complex. The term is 45 years as of the contract commencement date. Some leases have extension options of ranging from five to 20 years. An initial lease receivable was recorded in the amount of $\$ 8,639,000$. As of June 30,2022 , the value of the lease receivable is $\$ 8,234,892$. The value of the deferred inflow of resources as of June 30, 2022 was $\$ 8,110,964$, and the City recognized lease revenue of $\$ 528,038$ during the fiscal year. The amount of revenues recognized during the fiscal year for variable and other payments not previously included in the measurement of the lease receivable was $\$ 123,928$.

The principal and interest payments that are expected to maturity are as follows:

| Fiscal Year | Governmental Activities |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Principal Payments |  | Interest Payments |  | Total Payments |  |
| 2023 | \$ | 289,259 | \$ | 90,704 | \$ | 379,963 |
| 2024 |  | 305,186 |  | 85,503 |  | 390,689 |
| 2025 |  | 315,017 |  | 80,037 |  | 395,054 |
| 2026 |  | 311,963 |  | 74,430 |  | 386,393 |
| 2027 |  | 328,550 |  | 68,626 |  | 397,176 |
| 2028-2032 |  | 1,803,378 |  | 246,834 |  | 2,050,212 |
| 2033-2037 |  | 775,124 |  | 112,377 |  | 887,501 |
| 2038-2042 |  | 368,759 |  | 54,668 |  | 423,427 |
| 2043-2047 |  | 269,582 |  | 12,188 |  | 281,770 |
|  | S | 4,766,818 | \$ | 825,367 | \$ | 5,592,185 |


| Fiscal Year | Business-Type Activities |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Principal Payments |  | Interest Payments |  | Total Payments |  |
| 2023 | \$ | 115,235 | \$ | 84,206 | \$ | 199,441 |
| 2024 |  | 117,547 |  | 82,295 |  | 199,842 |
| 2025 |  | 119,907 |  | 80,340 |  | 200,247 |
| 2026 |  | 95,174 |  | 78,341 |  | 173,515 |
| 2027 |  | 82,216 |  | 76,538 |  | 158,754 |
| 2028-2032 |  | 267,677 |  | 361,269 |  | 628,946 |
| 2033-2037 |  | 284,149 |  | 327,126 |  | 611,275 |
| 2038-2042 |  | 325,939 |  | 288,140 |  | 614,079 |
| 2043-2047 |  | 340,779 |  | 244,536 |  | 585,315 |
| 2048-2052 |  | 376,305 |  | 198,694 |  | 574,999 |
| 2053-2057 |  | 428,124 |  | 146,876 |  | 575,000 |
| 2058-2062 |  | 487,078 |  | 87,922 |  | 575,000 |
| 2063-2067 |  | 427,944 |  | 22,472 |  | 450,416 |
|  | \$ | 3,468,074 | \$ | 2,078,755 | \$ | 5,546,829 |

## Note 5: Leases (Continued)

## b. Lease Payable and Right to Use Lease Assets

On July 1, 2021, the City entered into a 76 month lease as Lessee for the use of land owned by Chaffey Joint Union School District. An initial lease liability was recorded in the amount of $\$ 226,622$. As of June 30, 2022, the value of the lease liability is $\$ 188,493$. The City is required to make annual fixed payments of $\$ 39,086$. The lease has an interest rate of $1.217 \%$.

Right-to-use leased assets include the following at June 30, 2022:

| Lease Type | Major Class of Underlying Asset | Amount of Leased Capital Assets |  | Accumulated Amortization |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Land Lease | Right-to-Use Lease - Land | \$ | 226,622 | \$ | 35,704 |
|  | Total | \$ | 226,622 | \$ | 35,704 |

Future principal and interest requirements to maturity for each lease liability are as follows:
Governmental Activities

| Fiscal Year | Principal Payments |  | Interest Payments |  | Total Payments |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2023 | \$ | 36,792 | \$ | 2,294 | \$ | 39,086 |
| 2024 |  | 37,240 |  | 1,846 |  | 39,086 |
| 2025 |  | 37,693 |  | 1,393 |  | 39,086 |
| 2026 |  | 38,152 |  | 934 |  | 39,086 |
| 2027 |  | 38,616 |  | 470 |  | 39,086 |
| Total | \$ | 188,493 | \$ | 6,937 | \$ | 195,430 |
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## Note 6: Capital Assets

Governmental activities capital assets for the year ended June 30, 2022, was as follows:

|  | Beginning Balance |  | Increases |  | Decreases |  | Transfers |  | Ending Balance |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Governmental Activities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Capital assets, not being depreciated: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Land | \$ | 100,707,433 | \$ | 565,299 | \$ | $(1,923,700)$ | \$ | \$ - | \$ | 99,349,032 |
| Right of way |  | 237,230,155 |  |  |  | - |  | - |  | 237,230,155 |
| Construction-in-progress |  | 17,629,468 |  | 20,404,658 |  | $(664,217)$ |  | $(4,468,586)$ |  | 32,901,323 |
| Total Capital Assets, Not Being Depreciated |  | 355,567,056 |  | 20,969,957 |  | $(2,587,917)$ |  | $(4,468,586)$ |  | 369,480,510 |
| Capital assets, being depreciated: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right-to-use land |  | - |  | 226,622 |  | - |  | - |  | 226,622 |
| Building improvements |  | 234,031,546 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 234,031,546 |
| Improvement other than buildings |  | 44,367,385 |  | 72,261 |  | - |  | 428,361 |  | 44,868,007 |
| Equipment and vehicles |  | 58,402,718 |  | 770,082 |  | $(676,741)$ |  | - |  | 58,496,059 |
| Furniture and fixtures |  | 3,547,780 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 3,547,780 |
| Infrastructure |  | 509,040,081 |  | 493,070 |  | $(6,329)$ |  | 4,040,225 |  | 513,567,047 |
| Intangible |  | 3,328,862 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 3,328,862 |
| Total Capital Assets, Being Depreciated |  | 852,718,372 |  | 1,562,035 |  | $(683,070)$ |  | 4,468,586 |  | 858,065,923 |
| Less accumulated depreciation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right-to-use land |  | - |  | 35,704 |  | - |  | - |  | 35,704 |
| Building improvements |  | 80,299,785 |  | 7,861,506 |  | - |  | - |  | 88,161,291 |
| Improvement other than buildings |  | 21,119,423 |  | 1,932,760 |  | - |  | - |  | 23,052,183 |
| Equipment and vehicles |  | 47,044,748 |  | 3,155,349 |  | $(676,741)$ |  | - |  | 49,523,356 |
| Furniture and fixtures |  | 3,423,389 |  | 79,301 |  | - |  | - |  | 3,502,690 |
| Infrastructure |  | 250,952,755 |  | 8,445,429 |  | $(6,329)$ |  | - |  | 259,391,855 |
| Intangible |  | 3,115,660 |  | 204,274 |  | - |  | - |  | 3,319,934 |
| Total Accumulated Depreciation |  | 405,955,760 |  | 21,714,323 |  | $(683,070)$ |  | - |  | 426,987,013 |
| Total Capital Assets, Being Depreciated, Net |  | 446,762,612 |  | $(20,152,288)$ |  | - |  | 4,468,586 |  | 431,078,910 |
| Governmental Activities Capital Assets, Net | \$ | 802,329,668 | \$ | 817,669 | \$ | $(2,587,917)$ | \$ | \$ - | \$ | 800,559,420 |

Depreciation expense was charged to functions/programs of the primary government as follows:

| Governmental Activities: |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| $\quad$ General government | $\$$ | 377,911 |
| Public safety - police |  | 613,636 |
| Public safety - fire protection |  | $3,864,622$ |
| Engineering and public works |  | $10,193,615$ |
| Community development | 67,210 |  |
| Community services | $4,966,605$ |  |
| Internal service | $1,630,724$ |  |
| $\quad$ Total Governmental Activities | $\$ \mathbf{2 1 , 7 1 4 , 3 2 3}$ |  |
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## Note 6: Capital Assets (Continued)

Business-type activities capital assets for the year ended June 30, 2022, was as follows:

|  | Beginning Balance |  | Increases |  | Decreases |  | Transfers |  | Ending Balance |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Business-Type Activities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Capital assets, not being depreciated: Land | \$ | 5,451,015 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 5,451,015 |
| Construction-in-progress |  | 3,407,085 |  | 734,614 |  | - |  | 65) |  | 1,241,434 |
| Total Capital Assets, Not Being Depreciated |  | 8,858,100 |  | 734,614 |  | - |  | 65) |  | 6,692,449 |
| Capital assets, being depreciated: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Building improvements |  | 17,225,973 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 17,225,973 |
| Improvement other than buildings |  | 6,368,130 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 6,368,130 |
| Equipment and vehicles |  | 702,151 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 702,151 |
| Furniture and fixtures |  | 6,004 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 6,004 |
| Infrastructure |  | 39,518,385 |  | 2,270,155 |  | - |  |  |  | 44,688,805 |
| Intangible |  | 25,858 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 25,858 |
| Total Capital Assets, Being Depreciated |  | 63,846,501 |  | 2,270,155 |  | - |  |  |  | 69,016,921 |
| Less accumulated depreciation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Building improvements |  | 12,063,644 |  | 431,198 |  | - |  | - |  | 12,494,842 |
| Improvement other than buildings |  | 4,263,944 |  | 128,834 |  | - |  | - |  | 4,392,778 |
| Equipment and vehicles |  | 566,556 |  | 17,436 |  | - |  | - |  | 583,992 |
| Furniture and fixtures |  | 6,004 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 6,004 |
| Infrastructure |  | 13,892,107 |  | 1,561,584 |  | - |  | - |  | 15,453,691 |
| Intangible |  | 25,858 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 25,858 |
| Total Accumulated Depreciation |  | 30,818,113 |  | 2,139,052 |  | - |  | - |  | 32,957,165 |
| Total Capital Assets, Being Depreciated, Net |  | 33,028,388 |  | 131,103 |  | - |  |  |  | 36,059,756 |
| Business-Type Activities Capital Assets, Net | \$ | 41,886,488 | \$ | 865,717 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 42,752,205 |

Depreciation expense was charged to funds of the business-type activities as follows:
Business-Type Activities:

| Sports Complex | $\$$ | 560,032 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Municipal Utility |  | $1,341,460$ |
| Fiber Optic Network | 237,560 |  |
| $\quad$ Total Business-Type Activities | $\$ \quad 2,139,052$ |  |
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## Note 7: Interfund Receivable, Payable and Transfers

The composition of interfund balances as of June 30, 2022, was as follows:

## Due To/From Other Funds

| Funds | Due to other funds |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | ghting istricts | Federal Grants Fund |  | Other Governmental Funds |  |  |  |
| Due from other funds: General Fund | \$ | 105,873 | \$ | 21,219 | \$ | 7,995,683 | \$ | 8,122,775 |

Due to/from other funds were the results of routine interfund transactions not cleared prior to the end of the fiscal year or to cover negative cash balances at June 30, 2022.

Advances To/From Other Funds

|  | Advances to Other Funds |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Funds | Lighting Districts |  | Fire istrict | Fiber Optic Network |  | Sports Complex |  |
| Advance from other funds: General Fund | \$ 8,285,030 | \$ | 495,714 | \$ 12,001,791 | \$ | 1,597,064 | \$ 22,379,599 |

On August 16, 2017, the City Council authorized an advance of $\$ 14,400,340$ from the General Fund to the Lighting Districts Fund to provide funding for the purchase and acquisition of Southern California Edison owned streetlights and the installation of LED lighting to streetlights, intersections, and bridges, and other one-time costs necessary to inventory the streetlights. The advance was completed in phases and bears interest at $1.0 \%$ on the outstanding balance. The advance is to be repaid to the General Fund at such time as funds are available by each street lighting district at the end of each fiscal year. At June 30, 2022, the outstanding balance amounted to $\$ 8,285,030$.

On June 21, 2012, the General Fund advanced $\$ 4,556,198$ to the Fire District to provide funding for the prepayment of the Fire District's side fund liability with CaIPERS. The advance bears interest at $4.5 \%$ and is payable in monthly installments. The final payment will occur in October 2023. At June 30, 2022, the outstanding balance amounted to \$495,714.

On June 16, 2022, the General Fund advanced \$12,001,791 to the Fiber Optic Network Fund to provide funding for the repayment of the 2019 lease Revenue Bonds Series A and Series B. The advance will be repaid from service revenues derived from broadband subscriptions over a 17-years period. Any outstanding amount will be converted to a rollover period to allow for an additional 10 years of repayment. The advance accrues interest equal to the quarterly LAIF rate. The entire amount will be due and payable to the General Fund at the end of the rollover period in June 2049.

On September 2, 2015, the General Fund advanced \$3,215,612 to the Sports Complex to provide funding for the installation of a solar photovoltaic system at the Epicenter. The advance bears interest at $1.0 \%$ and is payable in monthly installments. The final payment will occur in February 2035. At June 30, 2022, the outstanding balance amounted to \$1,597,064.

## NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)

JUNE 30, 2022

Note 7: Interfund Receivable, Payable and Transfers (Continued)
Interfund Transfers

| Funds | Transfers Out |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | General Fund | Fire District | Federal Grants Fund |  | Municipal Utility | Nonmajor Governmental |  |  |
| Transfers In |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Fund | \$ | \$ | \$ | 27,484,512 | \$ 1,457,300 | \$ | 676,047 | \$ 29,617,859 |
| Lighting Districts | 118,712 | - |  | - | - |  | 26,450 | 145,162 |
| Fire District | - | - |  | 14,591 | - |  | - | 14,591 |
| Fiber Optic Network | 937,291 | - |  | - | - |  | - | 937,291 |
| Internal Service Funds | 5,730,184 | 105,466 |  | - | - |  | - | 5,835,650 |
| Nonmajor Governmental | 408,594 | - |  | - | - |  | 56,860 | 465,454 |
| Nonmajor Enterprise | 1,581,226 | - |  | - | - |  | - | 1,581,226 |
|  | \$8,776,007 | \$ 105,466 | \$ | 27,499,103 | \$ 1,457,300 | \$ | 759,357 | \$ 38,597,233 |

The General Fund transferred $\$ 118,712$, $\$ 937,291, \$ 5,730,184, \$ 408,594$ and $\$ 1,581,226$ to the Lighting Districts Fund, Fiber Optic Network Fund, Internal Service Funds, Nonmajor Governmental Funds, and Nonmajor Enterprise Funds, respectively, to cover the costs of operations.

The Fire District Fund transferred $\$ 105,466$ to the Internal Service Funds to cover the cost of operations.

The Federal Grants Fund transferred $\$ 27,848,512$ and $\$ 14,591$ to the General Fund and Fire District respectively to reimburse for eligible expenditures of Federal grant programs.

The Municipal Utility transferred $\$ 1,457,300$ to the General Fund to cover the cost of operations.

The Nonmajor Governmental Funds transferred \$676,047, \$26,450 and \$56,680 to the General Fund, Lighting Districts and other Nonmajor Governmental Funds, respectively, to cover budgeted expenditures for operations.

## Note 8: Long-Term Debt Obligations

## a. Long-Term Debt - Governmental Activities

The following is a schedule of changes in governmental activities long-term debt for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2022:

|  | Beginning Balance |  | Additions |  | Repayments |  | Ending <br> Balance |  | Due Within One Year |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Financed Purchase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dell Blade Servers | \$ | 995,689 | \$ | - | \$ | 488,349 | \$ | 507,340 | \$ | 507,340 |
| Lease Liability (Note 5) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Land Lease - Chaffey Joint USD |  | - |  | 226,662 |  | 38,169 |  | 188,493 |  | 36,792 |
| Total | \$ | 995,689 | \$ | 226,662 | \$ | 526,518 | \$ | 695,833 | \$ | 544,132 |

## Note 8: Long-Term Debt Obligations (Continued)

## Financed Purchase

Dell Blade Servers

On December 5, 2018, the City entered into financed purchase agreements with Dell Financial Services to finance the acquisition of hardware equipment and software for the replacement of the City's data center infrastructure. The total cost of the equipment and related software acquired amounts to $\$ 2,446,503$. The agreement requires annual payments of $\$ 527,330$ with an interest component of 4.715\% per annum due February $1^{\text {st }}$ of each year with the final payment due February 2023.

The future minimum financed obligations and the net present value of these minimum lease payments as of June 30, 2022 are as follows:

| Year Ended June 30, | Annual Payment |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2023 | \$ | 527,330 |
| Total Payments |  | 527,330 |
| Interest Portion |  | $(19,990)$ |
| Present value of payments | \$ | 507,340 |

b. Long-Term Debt - Business-Type Activities

The following is a schedule of changes in business-type activities long-term debt for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2022:

|  |  | eginning <br> Balance | Addtions |  | Repayments |  | Ending Balance |  | Due Within One Year |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lease Revenue Bonds |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2019 Series A | \$ | 9,875,000 | \$ | - | \$ | 9,875,000 | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| 2019 Series A |  | 1,585,000 |  | - |  | 1,585,000 |  | - |  | - |
| Unamortized premuim/(discount) |  | 1,227,378 |  | - |  | 1,227,378 |  | - |  | - |
| Total |  | 2,687,378 | \$ | - |  | 2,687,378 | \$ | - | \$ | - |

## 2019 Lease Revenue Bonds

On January 30, 2019, the Financing Authority issued the 2019 Lease Revenue Bonds Series A (tax-exempt) in the amount of $\$ 9,875,000$ and 2019 Lease Revenue Bonds Series B (taxable) in the amount of $\$ 2,320,000$ to finance the acquisition, design, construction and equipment of an expansion to the City's existing fiber optic network pursuant to a lease agreement between the City and the Financing Authority. Series A Bonds were issued with a premium of $\$ 1,371,795$ and Series B Bonds were issued with a discount of $\$ 10,857$.

The Series A and B Bonds mature annually on May $1^{\text {st }}$, with Series A beginning in 2025 and through 2039 and Series B beginning in 2020 and through 2025. Interest on the Series A and B Bonds is paid on May $1^{\text {st }}$ and November $1^{\text {st }}$ of each year, commencing November 1, 2019 with interest ranging from $2.85 \%$ to $5.00 \%$.
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## Note 8: Long-Term Debt Obligations (Continued)

The Series A Bonds maturing on or before May 1, 2019 are not subject to redemption prior to their maturities, while the Series A Bonds maturing on or after May 1, 2030 are subject to optional redemption at the option of the Financing Authority as a whole or in part, on any date on or after May 1, 2019, at a redemption price equal to the principal amount of the Bonds. The Series B Bonds are not subject to optional redemption.

On June 28, 2022, the City with the Public Financing Authority defeased the 2019 Lease Revenue Bonds Series A and Series B by depositing into an Escrow Fund the total amount of $\$ 12,001,795$. The amount was used to purchase certain permitted securities, the aggregate principal amount together with all interest earned on such securities will be sufficient to make the payments required on the 2019 lease Revenue bonds Series A and Series B as they become due. As of June 30, 2022, the 2019 Lease Revenue Bonds Series A and Series B is defeased. By accelerating principal and interest payments on the debt, the City will save approximately $\$ 1.9$ million in future interest payments with the optional prepayment of the 2019 Lease Revenue Bonds.

## Note 9: Advances from the Successor Agency

During the formation of Community Facilities District CFD 2000-01 (CFD 2000-01), a number of meetings were held with property owners within the proposed boundaries to discuss participation in CFD 2000-01 and benefits to their property. As a result of those meetings, the approved boundary map was modified at the landowners' request to exclude certain properties from the CFD 2000-01 boundaries. Property owners that were excluded from CFD 2000-01 boundaries, but will be receiving direct benefit from the improvements constructed by CFD 2001-01, were advised that reimbursement would be required when their properties are developed. The Redevelopment Agency advanced the pro-rata share for properties that will receive benefit from the improvements, but are not participating in CFD 2000-01. At June 30, 2022, the outstanding amount of the advance was $\$ 3,953,624$.

## Note 10: Compensated Absences

The City's policies relating to compensated absences are described in Note 1. The liability will be paid in future years by the General Fund and the Fire District Fund as it becomes due.

|  | Balance June 30, 2021 |  | Addtions |  | Deletions |  | Balance June 30, 2022 |  | Due in One Year |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Governmental Activities: Compensated absences | \$ | 8,617,777 | \$ | 6,573,063 | \$ | 6,561,870 | \$ | 8,628,970 | \$ | 6,572,000 |
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## Note 11: Other Special Obligations

The following issues of Residential Mortgage Revenue Bonds, Special Assessment District Bonds, and Community Facility District Bonds are not reflected in the Statement of Net Position because these are special obligations payable solely from and secured by specific revenue sources described in the resolutions and official statements of the respective issues. Neither the faith and credit nor the taxing power of the City, the State of California or any political subdivision thereof, is pledged for the payment of these bonds.

The outstanding amounts at June 30, 2022, were as follows:

| 㖪 | Outstanding Amount at June 30, 2022 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City of Rancho Cucamonga: |  |  |
| Special Tax Refunding Bond, Series 2015: |  |  |
| Community Facilities District No. 2000-01 | \$ | 196,000 |
| Community Facilities District No. 2000-02 |  | 1,923,000 |
| Community Facilities District No. 2001-01 Series A |  | 5,010,000 |
| Community Facilities District No. 2001-01 Series B |  | 485,000 |
| Community Facilities District No. 2006-01 |  | 2,983,000 |
| Community Facilities District No. 2006-02 |  | 1,772,000 |
| Community Facilities District No. 2000-03 |  | 5,433,000 |
| Community Facilities District No. 2003-01 Series A |  | 11,150,000 |
| Community Facilities District No. 2003-01 Series B |  | 2,153,000 |
| Community Facilities District No. 2004-01 |  | 25,946,000 |
| Successor Agency of the Former Rancho Cucamonga |  |  |
| Redevelopment Agency: |  |  |
| Multi-Family Housing Revenue Bond: |  |  |
| Series 1997A |  | 1,312,940 |
| Total | \$ | 58,363,940 |

## Note 12: Pension Plan Obligations

|  | Net Pension Liability |  | Net Pension Asset |  | Deferred Pension Outflows |  | Deferred Pension Inflows |  | Pension <br> Expense (Revenue) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CalPERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| City Miscellaneous Plan | \$ | $(26,008,806)$ | \$ | - | \$ | 7,653,610 | \$ | $(22,703,126)$ | \$ | 245,289 |
| Fire District Miscellaneous Plan |  | $(1,809,262)$ |  | - |  | 658,801 |  | $(1,655,330)$ |  | 739,562 |
| Fire District Safety Plan |  | $(19,569,265)$ |  |  |  | 15,020,281 |  | $(13,736,766)$ |  | 38,765 |
| Total CalPERS |  | $(47,387,333)$ |  | - |  | 23,332,692 |  | $(38,095,222)$ |  | 1,023,616 |
| PARS (see Note 13) |  | - |  | 9,657,127 |  | 1,015,673 |  | $(5,987,376)$ |  | 1,139,389) |
| Total Pension Plans | \$ | $(47,387,333)$ | \$ | 9,657,127 | \$ | 24,348,365 | \$ | $(44,082,598)$ | \$ | $(115,773)$ |

## Note 12: Pension Plan Obligations (Continued)

a. City Miscellaneous Employee Pension Plan

## Plan Description

The City of Rancho Cucamonga contributes to the California Public Employees Retirement System (PERS), an agent multiple-employer public employee defined benefit pension plan. PERS provides retirement and disability benefits, annual cost-of-living adjustments and death benefits to plan members and beneficiaries. PERS acts as a common investment and administrative agent for participating public entities within the State of California. Benefit provisions and all other requirements are established by state statute and City ordinance. Copies of PERS' annual financial report may be obtained on the CaIPERS' website.

## Benefits Provided

CaIPERS provides service retirement and disability benefits, annual cost of living adjustments and death benefits to plan members, who must be public employees and beneficiaries. Benefits are based on years of credited service, equal to one year of full time employment. Members with five years of total service are eligible to retire at age 50 with statutorily reduced benefits. All members are eligible for non-duty disability benefits after 10 years of service. The death benefit is one of the following: the Basic Death Benefit, the 1957 Survivor Benefit, or the Optional Settlement 2W Death Benefit. The cost of living adjustments for each plan are applied as specified by the Public Employees' Retirement Law.

|  | Miscellaneous Plan |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Tier 1 * | Tier 2* | Tier 3 | PEPRA |
| Hire date | Prior to or on September 1, 2010 | On or after September 1, 2010 but prior to July 3, 2011 | July 4, 2011 and after | On or after <br> January 1, 2013 |
| Benefit formula | 2.5\% @ 55 | 2.5\% @ 55 | 2.0\% @ 55 | 2.0\% @ 62 |
| Benefit vesting schedule | 5 years of service | 5 years of service | 5 years of service | 5 years of service |
| Benefit payments | monthly for life | monthly for life | monthly for life | monthly for life |
| Retirement age | minimum 50 yrs | minimum 50 yrs | minimum 50 yrs | minimum 52 yrs |
| Monthly benefits, as a \% of eligible compensation | $\begin{aligned} & 2.000 \%-2.500 \% \\ & 50 \text { yrs }-55+\text { yrs, } \\ & \text { respectively } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.000 \%-2.500 \% \text {, } \\ 50 \text { yrs - } 55+\text { yrs, } \\ \text { respectively } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.426 \%-2.418 \%, \\ & 50 \text { yrs }-63+\text { yrs, } \\ & \text { respectively } \end{aligned}$ | 1.000\% - 2.500\%, 52 yrs - 67+ yrs, respectively |
| Required employee contribution rates | 8.000\% | 8.000\% | 7.000\% | 6.500\% |
| Required employer contribution rates | 26.967\% | 26.967\% | 26.967\% | 26.967\% |

## Note 12: Pension Plan Obligations (Continued)

## Employees Covered

As of June 30, 2021, the following employees were covered by the benefit terms of the Plan:

| Description |  | Number of Members |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | City Miscellaneous Plan |
| Active members |  | 417 |
| Transferred members |  | 342 |
| Separated members |  | 369 |
| Retired members and beneficiaries |  | $\mathbf{3 7 7}$ |
| Total |  |  |

## Contribution Description

Section 20814(c) of the California Public Employees' Retirement Law (PERL) requires that the employer contribution rates for all public employers are determined on an annual basis by the actuary and shall be effective on the July 1 following notice of a change in the rate. The total plan contributions are determined through CaIPERS' annual actuarial valuation process. The actuarially determined rate is the estimated amount necessary to finance the costs of benefits earned by employees during the year, with an additional amount to finance any unfunded accrued liability. The employer is required to contribute the difference between the actuarially determined rate and the contribution rate of employees. Employer contribution rates may change if plan contracts are amended. Payments made by the employer to satisfy contribution requirements that are identified by the pension plan terms as plan member contribution requirements are classified as plan member contributions.

For the year ended June 30, 2022, the employer contributions recognized as a reduction to net pension liability for the Plan were $\$ 6,250,129$.

## Net Pension Liability

The net pension liability is measured as the total pension liability, less the pension plan's fiduciary net position. The net pension liability of each Plan is measured as of June 30, 2021, using an annual actuarial valuation as of June 30, 2020 rolled forward to June 30, 2021 using standard update procedures. A summary of principal assumptions and methods used to determine the net pension liability is shown below.

## Note 12: Pension Plan Obligations (Continued)

## Actuarial Methods and Assumptions Used to Determine Total Pension Liability

The June 30, 2020 valuation was rolled forward to determine the June 30, 2021 total pension liability, based on the following actuarial methods and assumptions:

Valuation Date<br>Measurement Date<br>Actuarial Cost Method<br>Actuarial Assumptions<br>Discount Rate 7.15\%<br>Inflation 2.50\%<br>Projected Salary Increases Varies by Entry Age and Service<br>Mortality Rate Table (1)<br>Post Retirement Benefit<br>Increase<br>June 30, 2020<br>June 30, 2021<br>Entry Age Normal Cost Method<br>Derived using CalPERS' Membership Data for all Funds<br>Contract COLA up to $2.50 \%$ until Purchasing Power Protection Allowance Floor on Purchasing Power applies, $2.50 \%$ thereafter

(1) The mortality table used was developed based on CalPERS-specific data. The probabilities of mortality are based on the 2017 CaIPERS Experience Study for the period from 1997 to 2015. Pre- retirement and Post-retirement mortality rates include 15 years of projected mortality improvement using $90 \%$ of Scale MP-2016 published by the Society of Actuaries. For more details on this table, please refer to the CaIPERS Experience Study and Review of Actuarial Assumptions report from December 2017 that can be found on the CaIPERS website.

## Long-term Expected Rate of Return

The long-term expected rate of return on pension plan investments was determined using a building-block method in which expected future real rates of return (expected returns, net of pension plan investment expense and inflation) are developed for each major asset class.

In determining the long-term expected rate of return, CalPERS took into account both short-term and long-term market return expectations as well as the expected pension fund cash flows. Using historical returns of all of the funds' asset classes, expected compound (geometric) returns were calculated over the short-term (first 10 years) and the long-term (11+ years) using a building-block approach. Using the expected nominal returns for both short-term and long-term, the present value of benefits was calculated for each fund. The expected rate of return was set by calculating the rounded single equivalent expected return that arrived at the same present value of benefits for cash flows as the one calculated using both short-term and long-term returns. The expected rate of return was then set equal to the single equivalent rate calculated above and adjusted to account for assumed administrative expenses. The expected real rates of return by asset class are as followed:

## NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)

 JUNE 30, 2022
## Note 12: Pension Plan Obligations (Continued)

| Asset Class (1) | Assumed Asset Allocation | Real Return Years 1-10 (2) | Real Return <br> Years 11+ (3) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Global Equity | 50.00\% | 4.80\% | 5.98\% |
| Fixed Income | 28.00\% | 1.00\% | 2.62\% |
| Inflation Assets | 0.00\% | 0.77\% | 1.81\% |
| Private Equity | 8.00\% | 6.30\% | 7.23\% |
| Real Estate | 13.00\% | 3.75\% | 4.93\% |
| Liquidity | 1.00\% | 0.00\% | -0.92\% |
| Total | 100.00\% |  |  |

(1) In the System's ACFR, Fixed Income is included in Global Debt Securities; Liquidity is included in Short-term Investments; Inflation Assets are included in both Global Equity Securities and Global Debt Securities.
(2) An expected inflation of $2.0 \%$ used for this period.
(3) An expected inflation of $2.92 \%$ used for this period.

## Discount Rate

The discount rate used to measure the total pension liability was $7.15 \%$. The projection of cash flows used to determine the discount rate assumed that contributions from plan members will be made at the current member contribution rates and that contributions from employers will be made at statutorily required rates, actuarially determined. Based on those assumptions, the Plan's fiduciary net position was projected to be available to make all projected future benefit payments of current plan members. Therefore, the long-term expected rate of return on plan investments was applied to all periods of projected benefit payments to determine the total pension liability.

## Subsequent Events

On July 12, 2021, CalPERS reported a preliminary $21.3 \%$ net return on investments for fiscal year 2020-21. Based on the thresholds specified in CaIPERS Funding Risk Mitigation policy, the excess return of $14.3 \%$ prescribes a reduction in investment volatility that corresponds to a reduction in the discount rate used for funding purposes of $0.20 \%$, from $7.00 \%$ to $6.80 \%$. Since CaIPERS was in the final stages of the four-year Asset Liability Management (ALM) cycle, the board elected to defer any changes to the asset allocation until the ALM process concluded, and the board could make its final decision on the asset allocation in November 2021.

On November 17, 2021, the board adopted a new strategic asset allocation. The new asset allocation along with the new capital market assumptions, economic assumptions and administrative expense assumption support a discount rate of $6.90 \%$ (net of investment expense but without a reduction for administrative expense) for financial reporting purposes. This includes a reduction in the price inflation assumption from $2.50 \%$ to $2.30 \%$ as recommended in the November 2021 CalPERS Experience Study and Review of Actuarial Assumptions. This study also recommended modifications to retirement rates, termination rates, mortality rates and rates of salary increases that were adopted by the board. These new assumptions will be reflected in the GASB 68 accounting valuation reports for the June 30, 2022, measurement date.

## Note 12: Pension Plan Obligations (Continued)

## Changes in the Net Pension Liability

The following table shows the changes in net pension liability recognized over the measurement period for the City Miscellaneous Plan.

|  | Increase (Decrease) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total Pension Liability <br> (a) | Plan Fiduciary Net Position (b) | Net Pension Liability/(Assets)$(\mathrm{c})=(\mathrm{a})-(\mathrm{b})$ |  |
| Balance at: 6/30/2020 (Valuation Date) | \$ 247,420,475 | \$ 191,094,703 | \$ | 56,325,772 |
| Changes Recognized for the Measurement Period: |  |  |  |  |
| Service Cost | 4,313,387 | - |  | 4,313,387 |
| Interest on the Total Pension Liability | 17,333,452 | - |  | 17,333,452 |
| Changes of Benefit Terms | - | - |  |  |
| Changes of Assumptions | - | - |  | - |
| Difference between Expected and Actual Experience | $(1,220,230)$ | - |  | $(1,220,230)$ |
| Net Plan to Plan Resource Movement | - | - |  | - |
| Contribution from the Employer | - | 6,250,129 |  | $(6,250,129)$ |
| Contributions from Employees | - | 1,921,495 |  | $(1,921,495)$ |
| Net Investment Income | - | 42,762,843 |  | $(42,762,843)$ |
| Benefit Payments including Refunds of Employee Contributions | $(11,862,100)$ | $(11,862,100)$ |  | - |
| Administrative Expense | - | $(190,892)$ |  | 190,892 |
| Net Changes During 2020-21 | 8,564,509 | 38,881,475 |  | $(30,316,966)$ |
| Balance at: 6/30/2021 (Measurement Date) | \$ 255,984,984 | \$ 229,976,178 | \$ | 26,008,806 |

## Sensitivity of the Net Pension Liability to Changes in the Discount Rate

The following presents the net pension liability of the Plan's as of the measurement date, calculated using the discount rate of 7.15 percent, as well as what the net pension liability would be if it were calculated using a discount rate that is 1 percentage-point lower ( 6.15 percent) or 1 percentage-point higher ( 8.15 percent) than the current rate:


## Pension Plan Fiduciary Net Position

The plan fiduciary net position disclosed in the GASB Statement No. 68 accounting valuation report may differ from the plan assets reported in the funding actuarial valuation report due to several reasons. First, for the accounting valuations, CaIPERS must keep items such as deficiency reserves, fiduciary self-insurance and OPEB expense included as assets. These amounts are excluded for rate setting purposes in the funding actuarial valuation. In addition, differences may result from early Comprehensive Annual Financial Report closing and final reconciled reserves. Detailed information about each pension plan's fiduciary net position is available in the separately issued CaIPERS financial reports. See CaIPERS website for additional information.

## Note 12: Pension Plan Obligations (Continued)

## Pension Expense and Deferred Outflows and Deferred Inflows of Resources Related to Pensions

As of the start of the measurement period (July 1, 2020), the net pension liability was $\$ 56,325,772$. For the measurement period ending June 30, 2021 (the measurement date), the City incurred a pension expense of $\$ 245,289$ for the Plan.

As of June 30, 2022, the following were the reported deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to the pension plan:

|  | Miscellaneous Pension Plan |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Deferred Outflows of Resources |  | Deferred Inflows of Resources |  |
| Current year contributions that occurred after the measurement date of June 30, 2021 | \$ | 6,734,618 | \$ | - |
| Changes of assumptions |  | - |  | $(136,001)$ |
| Difference between expected and actual experience |  | 918,992 |  | $(1,480,062)$ |
| Net Difference between Projected and Actual Earnings on Pension Plan Investments |  | - |  | $(21,087,063)$ |
| Total | \$ | 7,653,610 | \$ | $(22,703,126)$ |

Contributions subsequent to the measurement date in the amount of $\$ 6,734,618$ are reported as deferred outflows of resources and will be recognized as a reduction of the net pension liability in the year ended June 30, 2023. Other amounts reported as deferred outflows or deferred inflows of resources related to pensions will be recognized as pension expense as follows:

| Measurement <br> Period ended <br> June 30: | Net Deferred <br> Outflows/(Inflows) of <br> Resources |  |
| :---: | :---: | ---: |
| 2022 | $\$$ | $(5,306,560)$ |
| 2023 |  | $(5,393,368)$ |
| 2024 | $(5,232,818)$ |  |
| 2025 | $(5,851,388)$ |  |
|  | $\$$ | $(21,784,134)$ |
|  |  |  |

## Note 12: Pension Plan Obligations (Continued)

## b. Fire District Miscellaneous and Safety Employee Pension Plans

## Plan Description

All qualified permanent and probationary Fire District's employees are eligible to participate in the Safety Employee Pension Plan or Miscellaneous Employee Pension Plan, both cost-sharing multiple employer defined benefit pension plans administered by the California Public Employees' Retirement System (CaIPERS). Benefit provisions under the Plans are established by State statute and Local Government resolution. CaIPERS issues publicly available reports that include a full description of the pension plans regarding benefit provisions, assumptions and membership information that can be found on the CaIPERS website. Copies of PERS' annual financial report may be obtained from its executive office at 400 P Street, Sacramento, California 95814.

## Benefits Provided

CaIPERS provides service retirement and disability benefits, annual cost of living adjustments and death benefits to plan members, who must be public employees and beneficiaries. Benefits are based on years of credited service, equal to one year of full time employment. Members with five years of total service are eligible to retire at age 50 with statutorily reduced benefits. All members are eligible for non-duty disability benefits after 10 years of service. The death benefit is one of the following: the Basic Death Benefit, the 1957 Survivor Benefit, or the Optional Settlement 2W Death Benefit. The cost of living adjustments for each plan are applied as specified by the Public Employees' Retirement Law.

Fire District Miscellaneous Cost-Sharing Plans

|  | Tier 1 * |  | Tier 2 * |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |$c$ PEPRA

## Note 12: Pension Plan Obligations (Continued)

| Fire District Safety Cost-Sharing Plans |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Tier 1* |  | Tier 2 * |$\quad$ PEPRA

*Plan is closed to new entrants

## Employees Covered

As of the valuation date of June 30, 2020, the following employees were covered by the benefit terms of the Plans:

| Description | Number of Members |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fire <br> Miscellaneous Plan | Fire Safety Plan |
| Active members | 23 | 98 |
| Transferred members | 7 | 11 |
| Separated members | 11 | 3 |
| Retired members and beneficiaries | 27 | 82 |
| Total | 68 | 194 |

## Contribution Description

Section 20814(c) of the California Public Employees' Retirement Law (PERL) requires that the employer contribution rates for all public employers be determined on an annual basis by the actuary and shall be effective on the July 1 following notice of a change in the rate. The total plan contributions are determined through the CaIPERS' annual actuarial valuation process. The actuarially determined rate is based on the estimated amount necessary to pay the Plans' allocated share of the risk pool's costs of benefits earned by employees during the year, and any unfunded accrued liability. The employer is required to contribute the difference between the actuarially determined rate and the contribution rate of employees.

For the year ended June 30, 2022, the employer contributions recognized as a reduction to net pension liability was $\$ 372,168$ for the Miscellaneous Plan and $\$ 10,065,699$ for the Safety Plan for a total of $\$ 10,437,867$ for the plans.

## Note 12: Pension Plan Obligations (Continued)

## Net Pension Liability

The net pension liability is measured as the total pension liability, less the pension plan's fiduciary net position. The net pension liability of each of the Plans is measured as of June 30, 2021, using an annual actuarial valuation as of June 30, 2020 rolled forward to June 30, 2021 using standard update procedures. A summary of principal assumptions and methods used to determine the net pension liability is shown below.

## Actuarial Methods and Assumptions Used to Determine Total Pension Liability

The June 30, 2020 valuation was rolled forward to determine the June 30, 2021 total pension liability, based on the following actuarial methods and assumptions:

| Valuation Date | June 30, 2020 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Measurement Date | June 30, 2021 |
| Actuarial Cost Method | Entry Age Normal Cost Method |
| Actuarial Assumptions |  |
| Discount Rate | 7.15\% |
| Inflation | 2.50\% |
| Projected Salary Increases | Varies by Entry Age and Service |
| Mortality Rate Table (1) | Derived using CalPERS' Membership Data for all Funds |
| Post Retirement Benefit | Contract COLA up to $2.50 \%$ until Purchasing Power |
| Increase | Protection Allowance Floor on Purchasing Power applies, $2.50 \%$ thereafter |
| (1) The mortality table used was developed based on CaIPERS-specific data. The probabilities |  |
| Pre- retirement and Post-retirem improvement using $90 \%$ of Scal details on this table, please refe Assumptions report from Decem | mortality rates include 15 years of projected mortality MP-2016 published by the Society of Actuaries. For more the CalPERS Experience Study and Review of Actuaria 2017 that can be found on the CaIPERS website. |

## Long-term Expected Rate of Return

The long-term expected rate of return on pension plan investments was determined using a building-block method in which expected future real rates of return (expected returns, net of pension plan investment expense and inflation) are developed for each major asset class.

In determining the long-term expected rate of return, CaIPERS took into account both short-term and long-term market return expectations as well as the expected pension fund cash flows. Using historical returns of all of the funds' asset classes, expected compound (geometric) returns were calculated over the short-term (first 10 years) and the long-term (11+ years) using a building-block approach. Using the expected nominal returns for both short-term and long-term, the present value of benefits was calculated for each fund. The expected rate of return was set by calculating the rounded single equivalent expected return that arrived at the same present value of benefits for cash flows as the one calculated using both short-term and long-term returns. The expected rate of return was then set equal to the single equivalent rate calculated above and adjusted to account for assumed administrative expenses. The expected real rates of return by asset class are as followed:
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## Note 12: Pension Plan Obligations (Continued)

| Asset Class (1) | Assume Asset Allocation | Real Return Years 1-10 (2) | Real Return Years 11+ (3) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Public Equity | 50.00\% | 4.80\% | 5.98\% |
| Fixed Income | 28.00\% | 1.00\% | 2.62\% |
| Inflation Assets | 0.00\% | 0.77\% | 1.81\% |
| Private Equity | 8.00\% | 6.30\% | 7.23\% |
| Real Estate | 13.00\% | 3.75\% | 4.93\% |
| Liquidity | 1.00\% | 0.00\% | -0.92\% |
| Total | 100.00\% |  |  |

(1) In the System's ACFR, Fixed Income is included in Global Debt Securities; Liquidity is included in Short-term Investments; Inflation Assets are included in both Global Equity Securities and Global Debt Securities.
(2) An expected inflation of $2.0 \%$ used for this period.
(3) An expected inflation of $2.92 \%$ used for this period.

## Discount Rate

The discount rate used to measure the total pension liability was $7.15 \%$. The projection of cash flows used to determine the discount rate assumed that contributions from plan members will be made at the current member contribution rates and that contributions from employers will be made at statutorily required rates, actuarially determined. Based on those assumptions, the Plan's fiduciary net position was projected to be available to make all projected future benefit payments of current plan members. Therefore, the long-term expected rate of return on plan investments was applied to all periods of projected benefit payments to determine the total pension liability.

## Pension Liabilities, Pension Expense and Deferred Outflows and Deferred Inflows of Resources Related to Pensions

As of June 30, 2022, the Fire District reported a net pension liability of $\$ 1,809,262$ for its proportionate shares of the Miscellaneous Plan and $\$ 19,569,265$ for its proportionate shares of the Safety Plan for a total of $\$ 21,378,527$ for the cost-sharing plans.

The Fire District's net pension liability for each rate Plan is measured as the proportionate share of the net pension liability. The net pension liability of each of the rate Plans is measured as of June 30, 2021, and the total pension liability for each rate Plan used to calculate the net pension liability was determined by an actuarial valuation as of June 30, 2020, rolled forward to June 30, 2021, using standard update procedures. The Fire District's proportion of the net pension liability was based on a projection of the Fire District's long-term share of contributions to the pension plans relative to the projected contributions of all participating employers, actuarially determined. The Fire District's proportionate share of the net pension liability for each rate Plan as of June 30, 2020 and 2021, was as follows:

Proportion - June 30, 2020
Proportion - June 30, 2021

| Miscellaneous <br> Plan |  | Fire <br> Safety Plan |
| :---: | :---: | ---: |
|  | $0.0730 \%$ | $0.6273 \%$ |
| $0.0953 \%$ | $0.5576 \%$ |  |
| $0.0223 \%$ | $-0.0697 \%$ |  |

## Note 12: Pension Plan Obligations (Continued)

For the year ended June 30, 2022, the Fire District recognized pension expense of $\$ 739,562$ and $\$ 38,765$ for the Miscellaneous Plan and the Safety Plan, respectively.

As of June 30, 2022, the following were the reported deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to the pension plans:

|  | Miscellaneous |  |  |  | Safety |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Deferred Outflows of Resources |  | Deferred Inflows of Resources |  | Deferred Outflows of Resources |  | Deferred Inflows of Resources |  |
| Current year contributions that occurred after the measurement date of June 30, 2021 | \$ | 406,745 | \$ | - | \$ | 9,113,770 | \$ | - |
| Change of assumptions |  | - |  | - |  | 3,343,392 |  |  |
| Difference between expected and actual experience |  | 202,889 |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Net difference between projected and actual earnings on pension plan investments |  | - |  | 1,579,390 |  | - |  | 11,647,475 |
| Adjustment due to difference in proportions |  | 49,167 |  | 3,955 |  | 729,860 |  | 1,471,671 |
| Difference in actual contribution and proportionate share of contribution calculation |  | - |  | 71,985 |  | 1,833,259 |  | 617,620 |
| Total | \$ | 658,801 | \$ | 1,655,330 | \$ | 15,020,281 | \$ | 13,736,766 |

The Miscellaneous Plan reported $\$ 406,745$ and the Safety Plan reported $\$ 9,113,770$ as deferred outflows of resources related to contributions subsequent to the measurement date will be recognized as a reduction of the net pension liability in the year ended June 30, 2023. Other amounts reported as deferred outflows or deferred inflows of resources related to pensions will be recognized as pension expense as follows:

| Measurement Period Ended June 30, | Net Deferred Outflows/ (Inflows) of Resources |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Miscellaneous Plan |  | Safety Plan |  |
| 2023 | \$ | $(291,803)$ | \$ | $(967,490)$ |
| 2024 |  | $(316,347)$ |  | $(1,421,903)$ |
| 2025 |  | $(358,662)$ |  | $(2,235,639)$ |
| 2026 |  | $(436,462)$ |  | $(3,205,223)$ |
| Total | \$ | $(1,403,274)$ | \$ | $(7,830,255)$ |

## Note 12: Pension Plan Obligations (Continued)

## Sensitivity of the Net Pension Liability to Changes in the Discount Rate

The following presents the net pension liability of the Plan's as of the measurement date, calculated using the discount rate of 7.15 percent, as well as what the net pension liability would be if it were calculated using a discount rate that is 1 percentage-point lower ( 6.15 percent) or 1 percentage-point higher ( 8.15 percent) than the current rate:

| Net pension Liability | Discount Rate$6.15 \%$ |  | Current Discount$7.15 \%$ |  | Discount Rate$8.15 \%$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fire District Miscellaneous Plan | \$ | 3,455,672 | \$ | 1,809,262 | \$ | 448,198 |
| Fire District Safety Plan |  | 41,234,862 |  | 19,569,265 |  | 1,773,654 |

## Pension Plan Fiduciary Net Position

The plan fiduciary net position disclosed in the GASB Statement No. 68 accounting valuation report may differ from the plan assets reported in the funding actuarial valuation report due to several reasons. First, for the accounting valuations, CaIPERS must keep items such as deficiency reserves, fiduciary self-insurance and OPEB expense included as assets. These amounts are excluded for rate setting purposes in the funding actuarial valuation. In addition, differences may result from early Comprehensive Annual Financial Report closing and final reconciled reserves. Detailed information about each pension plan's fiduciary net position is available in the separately issued CaIPERS financial reports. See CaIPERS website for additional information.

## Note 13: PARS Retirement Enhancement Plan

## 1. General Information About the Plan

## Plan Description

The City of Rancho Cucamonga sponsors the PARS Retirement Enhancement Plan, an agent multiple-employer defined benefit pension plan. The Plan provides pension benefits to miscellaneous members (Tier 1) and city council members (Tier 2). Benefits are equal to a percentage of highest pay multiplied by years of service, with the percentage varying by retirement age based on the total combined CalPERS age factor, but not exceeding $3 \%$ at 60. Sample rates are as follows:

| Age |  | Tier 1 and Tier 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 55 | $0.000 \%$ |
| 56 | 0.100 |  |
| 57 |  | 0.200 |
| 58 |  | 0.300 |
| 59 |  | 0.400 |
| $60+$ | 0.500 |  |

The City and the Fire District have the right to amend, modify or terminate the plan at any time. Separate audited financial statements are not prepared.

Benefits are increased by a $2 \%$ annual cost of living adjustment after retirement. There are no employee contributions for either tier.

## Note 13: PARS Retirement Enhancement Plan (Continued)

## Benefits Provided

PARS provides supplemental retirement benefits to eligible employees of the City. Employees are eligible to receive benefits under the plan if they meet the following requirements: 1) a miscellaneous employee of the City or City Council on or after December 1, 2002, 2) at least 56 years of age, 3) has completed 10 or more years of full-time continuous employment at the City, 4) has terminated employment with the City and has concurrently retired under CaIPERS if an active CaIPERS member, and 5) has applied for benefits under the plan. Benefits shall be in an amount equal to one-twelfth of the product of the number of full and partial years of full-time continuous employment with the City completed as of the Member's retirement times the Member's final pay, times the PARS benefit factor. The total combined CaIPERS age factor and PARS benefit factor at retirement may not exceed three percent.

PARS Retirement Enhancement Plan *
Hire date on or after December 1, 2002

Benefit formula

Benefit vesting schedule
Benefit payments
Retirement age
Monthly benefits, as a \% of
eligible compensation
Required employee contribution rates
Required employer contribution rates

* This plan is closed to new entrants
one-twelfth of the product of the number of full and partial years of full-time continuous employment with the City completed as of the Member's retirement times the Member's final pay, times the PARS benefit factor
10 years service
monthly for life
minimum 56 yrs
N/A - not based on \% of eligible compensation
0.000\%
4.400\%


## Employees Covered

As of the measurement date of June 30, 2021, the following employees were covered by the benefit terms of the Plan:

| Description |  | Number of <br> Members |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Active employees |  | 158 |
| Inactives currently receiving benefits | $\mathbf{1 4 7}$ |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{3 0 5}$ |  |

## Contribution Description

The total plan contributions are determined through the PARS' annual actuarial valuation process. The actuarially determined rate is the estimated amount necessary to finance the costs of benefits earned by employees during the year, with an additional amount to finance any unfunded accrued liability. Due to the City's pre-funding of its pension liability with PARS, the City's Plan had a net pension asset as of the June 30, 2021, actuarial valuation which positively impacted the actuarially determined rate.

For the year ended June 30, 2022, the employer contributions recognized as a decrease to the pension liability were $\$ 563,099$.

## Note 13: PARS Retirement Enhancement Plan (Continued)

## 2. Net Pension Asset

The net pension asset for the Plan is measured as the total pension liability, less the pension plan's fiduciary net position. The net pension liability of the Plan is measured as of June 30, 2021 using an annual actuarial valuation as of June 30, 2020, rolled forward to June 30, 2021, using standard update procedures. A summary of principal assumptions and methods used to determine the net pension liability is shown below.

## Actuarial Methods and Assumptions Used to Determine Total Pension Liability

The June 30, 2021 total pension liabilities were based on the following actuarial methods and assumptions:

Actuarial Valuation Date
Actuarial Cost Method
Actuarial Assumptions
Discount Rate
Inflation
Salary Increases
Investment Rate of Return

Mortality Rate Table
Post Retirement Benefit Increase

June 30, 2020
Entry Age Normal Cost Method
6.00\%
2.75\% annually

Aggregate-3.00\%
$6.00 \%$ net of pension investment and administrative expenses, including inflation
CaIPERS 1997-2015 Experience Study
Post-retirement mortality projected fully generational with Society of Actuaries Scale MP-2020

## Discount Rate

The discount rate used to measure the total pension liability was $6.00 \%$. The expected long-term rate of return on investments was updated from $5.75 \%$ to $6.00 \%$. Future contributions based on the funding policy will be made at contractually required rates, actuarily determined. Based on these assumptions, the fiduciary net position was projected to be available to make all projected future benefit payments. Therefore, the long-term expected rate of return on plan investments was applied to all periods of projected benefit payments.

The table below reflects long-term expected real rate of return by asset class. The rate of return was calculated using the capital market assumptions applied to determine the discount rate and asset allocation. These geometric rates of return are net of administrative expenses.

|  |  | Target <br> Allocation |  | Real Return |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  |  | $48.25 \%$ |  | $4.82 \%$ |
| Global Equity |  |  | $1.47 \%$ |  |
| Fixed Income |  | $45.00 \%$ |  | $3.76 \%$ |
| REITs | $1.75 \%$ |  | $0.06 \%$ |  |

## Note 13: PARS Retirement Enhancement Plan (Continued)

## Changes in the Net Pension Asset

The following table shows the changes in net pension asset recognized over the measurement period.

|  | Total Pension Liability <br> (a) |  | Plan Fiduciary Net Position <br> (b) |  | Net Pension Liability (Asset)$(c)=(a)-(b)$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Balance at: June 30, 2020 (measurement date) | \$ | 30,084,466 | \$ | 33,961,221 | \$ | $(3,876,755)$ |
| Changes Recognized for the Measurement Period: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Service Cost |  | 561,042 |  | - |  | 561,042 |
| Interest on the Total Pension Liability |  | 1,803,717 |  | - |  | 1,803,717 |
| Changes of Benefit Terms |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Difference between Expected and Actual Experience |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Changes of Assumptions |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Contributions from the Employer |  | - |  | 563,099 |  | $(563,099)$ |
| Contributions from Employees |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Net Investment Income |  | - |  | 7,631,818 |  | $(7,631,818)$ |
| Benefit Payments including Refunds of Employee Contributions |  | $(1,167,119)$ |  | $(1,167,119)$ |  | - |
| Administrative Expenses |  | - |  | $(49,786)$ |  | 49,786 |
| Net Changes During 2020/21 |  | 1,197,640 |  | 6,978,012 |  | $(5,780,372)$ |
| Balance at: June 30, 2021 (measurement date) | \$ | 31,282,106 | \$ | 40,939,233 | \$ | $(9,657,127)$ |

## Sensitivity of the Net Pension Asset to Changes in the Discount Rate

The following presents the net pension asset of the Plan as of the measurement date, calculated using the discount rate of 6.00 percent, as well as what the net pension liability would be if it were calculated using a discount rate that is 1 percentage-point lower ( 5.00 percent) or 1 percentage-point higher ( 7.00 percent) than the current rate:

|  | Discount Rate $-1 \%$ <br> $(5.00 \%)$ | Current Discount <br> Rate $(6.00 \%)$ | Discount Rate $+1 \%$ <br> $(7.00 \%)$ |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plan's Net Pension Liability (Assets) | $\$$ | $(5,307,436)$ | $\$$ | $(9,657,127)$ | $\$$ |$(13,254,825)$

## 3. Pension Expense and Deferred Outflows and Deferred Inflows of Resources Related to Pensions

As of the start of the measurement period, July 1, 2020, the net pension asset was $\$ 3,876,755$. For the measurement period ending June 30, 2021, the measurement date, the City incurred a pension income of $\$ 1,139,389$ for the Plan.

## Note 13: PARS Retirement Enhancement Plan (Continued)

As of June 30, 2022, the City has deferred outflows and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions as follows:

|  | Deferred Outflows of Resources |  | Deferred Inflows of Resources |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Current year contributions that occurred after the measurement date of June 30, 2021 | \$ | 547,799 | \$ |  |
| Difference between Expected and Actual Experiences |  | - |  | 1,519,182 |
| Change of Assumption |  | 467,874 |  | 490,642 |
| Net Difference between Projected and Actual Earnings on Pension Plan Investments |  | - |  | 3,977,552 |
| Total | \$ | 1,015,673 | S | 5,987,376 |

$\$ 547,799$ reported as deferred outflows of resources related to contributions subsequent to the measurement date will be recognized as a reduction of the net pension liability in the year ended June 30, 2023. Other amounts reported as deferred outflows or deferred inflows of resources related to pensions will be recognized as pension expense as follows:

| Measurement <br> Period ended <br> June 30: |  | Deferred <br> Outflows/(Inflows) of <br> Resources |
| :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Res |  |  |
| 2023 |  | $(1,281,244)$ |
| 2024 |  | $(1,327,119)$ |
| 2025 |  | $(1,515,000)$ |
| 2026 |  | $(1,356,883)$ |
| 2027 |  | $(3,519,256)$ |
| Total | $\$$ |  |

## Note 14: Other Post-Employment Benefits

## Plan Description

The City does not provide post-employment benefits; however, medical coverage is provided to Fire District personnel and their dependents upon retirement under the Rancho Cucamonga Fire Protection District Memorandum of Understanding. The Fire District provides other post-employment benefits (OPEB) through the California Employers' Retiree Benefit Trust (CERBT), an agent multiple-employer defined benefit healthcare plan administered by the California Public Employees' Retirement System (CaIPERS). For Tier 1 employees, the Fire District pays 100\% of the medical insurance premium for the participant and their family. For Tier 2 employees, the Fire District contributes a predetermined monthly maximum for each eligible retiree towards health insurance. These benefits are provided per contract between the Fire District and the employee associations. Separate financial statements for the CERBT may be obtained by writing to CaIPERS at Lincoln Plaza North 400 Q Street, Sacramento, California 95814 or by visiting the CaIPERS website at www.calpers.ca.gov.

## Note 14: Other Post-Employment Benefits (Continued)

## Employees Covered

As of the June 30, 2021, measurement date, the following current and former employees were covered by the benefit terms under the Plan:

| Description | Number of <br> Members |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Active employees |  | 120 |
| Inactives currently receiving benefits | 78 |  |
| Inactives entitled to but not yet receiving benefits | 7 |  |
| $\quad$ Total | $\mathbf{2 0 5}$ |  |

## Funding Policy

The contribution requirement of plan members and the Fire District are established and may be amended by the City Council. Currently, contributions are not required from plan members. Contributions to the Plan include all amounts paid by the City directly to the Plan, cash benefit payments made directly to plan members, and an implied subsidy payment as determined by the June 30, 2021, actuarial valuation. These contributions are netted against the reimbursements received from the CERBT. During the June 30, 2021, measurement period, the City paid $\$ 1,047,840$ in premiums for retiree medical insurance and was reimbursed $\$ 1,265,174$, and the implied subsidy was $\$ 264,000$, for a total contribution of $\$ 46,665$.

## Net OPEB Asset

The City's net OPEB asset was measured as of June 30, 2021 and the total OPEB liability used to calculate the net OPEB asset was determined by an actuarial valuation dated June 30, 2021 based on the following actuarial methods and assumptions:

| Actuarial Cost Method | Entry Age Normal |
| :---: | :---: |
| Actuarial Assumptions: |  |
| Discount Rate | 5.25\% at June 30, 2021 |
| Inflation | 2.50\% |
| Salary Increases | Aggregate - 2.75\% annually |
|  | Merit - CalPERS 2000-2019 experience study |
| Mortality, Retirement, Disability, Termination | CalPERS 2000-2019 Experience Study |
| Mortality Improvement | Mortality projected fully generational with Scale MP-2021 |
| Healthcare Trend Rate | Non-Medicare $-6.50 \%$ for 2023, decreasing to an ultimate rate of $3.75 \%$ in 2076 |
|  | Medicare (Non-Kaiser) - $5.65 \%$ for 2023, decreasing to an ultimate rate of $3.75 \%$ in 2076 |
|  | Medicare (Kaiser) - 4.60\% for 2023, decreasing to an ultimate rate of $3.75 \%$ in 2076 |
| Other Assumptions | PEMHCA minimum Increases of 4.00\% annually |
|  | Healthcare participation for future retirees: 100\% for Tier 1 |
|  | 75\% for Tier 2 if currently covered, otherwise 50\% |
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## Note 14: Other Post-Employment Benefits (Continued)

The long-term expected rate of return on OPEB plan investments was determined using a building-block method in which expected future real rates of return (expected returns, net of OPEB plan investment expense and inflation) are developed for each major asset class. These ranges are combined to produce the long-term expected rate of return by weighting the expected future real rates of return by the target asset allocation percentage and by adding expected inflation.

The target allocation and best estimates of arithmetic real rates of return for each major asset class are summarized in the following table for the CERBT Strategy 3. CalPERS approved new CERBT asset allocations in March 2022. Estimated impact is an increase to the expected long-term rate of return assumption for CERBT Strategy 3 of $0.50 \%$.
$\left.\begin{array}{llll} & & \begin{array}{c}\text { Target } \\ \text { Asset Class }\end{array} & \end{array} \begin{array}{c}\text { Long-term } \\ \text { Expected Real } \\ \text { Rate of Return }\end{array}\right]$.

## Discount Rate

The discount rate used to measure the total OPEB liability was 5.25 percent. The projection of cash flows used to determine the discount rate assumed that City contributions will be made at rates equal to the actuarially determined contribution rates. Based on those assumptions, the OPEB plan's fiduciary net position was projected to be available to make all projected OPEB payments for current active and inactive employees and beneficiaries. Therefore, the long-term expected rate of return on OPEB plan investments was applied to all periods of projected benefit payments to determine the total OPEB liability.

## Changes in Assumptions

For the measurement date of June 30, 2021, the following changes of assumptions were made:

- Discount rate was updated based on newer capital market assumptions.
- Inflation assumption dropped from $2.75 \%$ to $2.50 \%$. which dropped the discount rate, medical trend, and aggregate payroll increase by $0.25 \%$.
- Decreased medical trend rate for Kaiser Senior Advantage.
- New rates from CaIPERS Experience Study.
- Updated assumption for medical eligible implied subsidy.
- Mortality improvement scale was updated to Scale MP-2021.


## Note 14: Other Post-Employment Benefits (Continued)

Changes in the OPEB Liability/(Asset)
The changes in the net OPEB liability/asset for the Plan are as follows:

|  | Increase (Decrease) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total OPEB Liability (a) |  | Plan Fiduciary Net Position (b) |  | Net OPEB Liability/(Asset) (c) $=(a)-(b)$ |  |
| Balance at June 30, 2020 | \$ | 26,138,324 | \$ | 31,862,067 | \$ | $(5,723,743)$ |
| Changes Recognized for the Measurement Period: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Service Cost |  | 351,997 |  | - |  | 351,997 |
| Interest on the Total OPEB Liability |  | 1,421,351 |  | - |  | 1,421,351 |
| Difference between Expected and Annual Experience |  | $(107,488)$ |  | - |  | $(107,488)$ |
| Changes in Assumptions |  | $(729,439)$ |  | - |  | $(729,439)$ |
| Contributions from Employer |  | - |  | 32,589 |  | $(32,589)$ |
| Net Investment Income |  | - |  | 4,356,510 |  | $(4,356,510)$ |
| Benefit Payments |  | $(1,295,174)$ |  | $(1,295,174)$ |  | - |
| Administrative expenses |  | - |  | $(13,863)$ |  | 13,863 |
| Net Changes During Fiscal Year 2020-21 |  | $(358,753)$ |  | 3,080,062 |  | $(3,438,815)$ |
| Balance at June 30, 2021 | \$ | 25,779,571 | \$ | 34,942,129 | \$ | $(9,162,558)$ |

## Sensitivity of the Net OPEB Liability/(Asset) to Changes in the Discount Rate

The following presents the net OPEB liability/asset of the City if it were calculated using a discount rate that is one percentage point lower or one percentage point higher than the current rate, for measurement period ended June 30, 2021:


## Sensitivity of the Net OPEB Liability to Changes in the Health Care Cost Trend Rates

The following presents the net OPEB liability/(asset) of the City if it were calculated using health care cost trend rates that are one percentage point lower or one percentage point higher than the current rate, for measurement period ended June 30, 2021:

|  | Healthcare Trend Rate |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1\% Decrease to Healtcare Trend Assumption | Current Healtcare Trend Assumption | 1\% Increase to Healtcare Trend Assumption |
| Net OPEB Liability/(Asset) | \$ (12,036,980) | \$ (9,162,558) | \$ (5,690,246) |

## Note 14: Other Post-Employment Benefits (Continued)

## OPEB Plan Fiduciary Net Position

CERBT issues a publicly available financial report that includes financial statements and required supplementary information.

## OPEB Expense and Deferred Outflows/Inflows of Resources Related to OPEB

For the fiscal year ended June 30, 2022, the City recognized OPEB income of \$1,261,623. As of June 30, 2022, the City reported deferred outflows of resources related to OPEB from the following sources:

|  | Deferred Outflows of Resources |  | Deferred Inflows of Resources |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OPEB contributions subsequent to measurement date | \$ | 15,729 | \$ |  |
| Differences between expected and actual experiences |  | - |  | 2,529,595 |
| Changes of assumptions |  | - |  | 1,099,715 |
| Net difference between projected and actual earnings on OPEB plan investments |  | - |  | 2,409,594 |
| Total | \$ | 15,729 | \$ | 6,038,904 |

The $\$ 15,729$ reported as deferred outflows of resources related to contributions subsequent to the measurement date will be recognized as an increase of the net OPEB asset during the fiscal year ending June 30, 2023. Other amounts reported as deferred outflows of resources related to OPEB will be recognized as expense as follows:

| Measurement <br> Period Ended June 30, | Deferred Outflows/(Inflows) of Resources |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2022 | \$ | $(1,309,730)$ |
| 2023 |  | $(1,352,485)$ |
| 2024 |  | $(1,245,461)$ |
| 2025 |  | $(1,193,870)$ |
| 2026 |  | $(672,987)$ |
| Thereafter |  | $(264,371)$ |
| Total | \$ | $(6,038,904)$ |
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## Note 15: Summary Disclosure of Self-Insurance Contingencies

The City and the Fire District are exposed to various risks of loss related to torts; theft of, damage to, and destruction of assets; errors and omissions; and natural disasters for which the City and Fire District obtains insurance coverage.

The City and the Fire District are a members of the California Intergovernmental Risk Authority (CIRA), a joint powers authority, which provides joint protection programs for public entities covering automobile, general liability, errors and omission losses, workers' compensation, and property claims. Under the program, the City and Fire District have a $\$ 500,000$ retention limit for liability, which is similar to a deductible, with the Authority being responsible for losses above that amount up to $\$ 1,000,000$. The Authority carries an excess commercial liability policy of $\$ 25,000,000$ in excess of its $\$ 1,000,000$ retention limit to cover losses through affiliated risk management authorities. The Authority also provides one billion dollars aggregate per occurrence property coverage to its members with such coverage provided by purchased insurance.

Liabilities of the City and the Fire District are reported when it is probable that a loss has occurred and the amount of the loss can be reasonably estimated. Liabilities include an amount for claims that have been incurred but not reported (IBNRs). The result of the process to estimate the claims liability is not an exact amount as it depends on many complex factors, such as inflation, changes in legal doctrines, and damage awards. Accordingly, claims are reevaluated periodically to consider the effects of economic and social factors. The estimate of the claims liability also includes amounts for incremental claim adjustment expenses related to specific claims and other claim adjustment expenses regardless of whether allocated to specific claims. Estimated recoveries, for example from salvage or subrogation, are another component of the claims liability estimate.

The City and Fire District have a $\$ 250,000$ retention limit for workers compensation. The Authority covers workers' compensation claims in excess of the $\$ 250,000$ retention limit up to $\$ 500,000$. The Local Agency Workers Compensation Excess Pool provides excess coverage to statutory limits. The City pays an annual premium to the Authority and may share in any surplus revenues or may be required to pay additional assessments based upon the Authority's operating results.

Effective July 1, 2015, the Fire District became a member of the Public Agency Risk Sharing Authority of California (Authority) for its workers compensation insurance and concurrently separated from the Public Agency Self-Insurance System (PASIS) of San Bernardino County. The Fire District will maintain reserves to cover its June 30, 2022, estimated claims liability for workers compensation up to its self-insured retention of $\$ 250,000$. Claims in excess of the self-insured amount will be covered by California State Association of Counties- Excess Insurance Authority. All workers compensation coverage from July 1, 2015, forward will be provided by the Authority. Under the program, the Fire District has a $\$ 250,000$ retention limit for workers compensation. The Authority covers workers compensation claims in excess of the $\$ 250,000$ retention limit up to $\$ 5,000,000$. The Local Agency Workers Compensation Excess Pool provides excess coverage to statutory limits. The Fire District pays an annual premium to the Authority and may share in any surplus revenues or may be required to pay additional assessments based upon the Authority's operating results.

Financial statements of the Authority may be obtained from its administrative office located at 2330 East Bidwell, Suite 150, Folsom, California, 95630; www.cira-jpa.org; or by calling (916)927-7727.
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## Note 15: Summary Disclosure of Self-Insurance Contingencies (Continued)

The City and the Fire District are involved in litigation arising in the normal course of business. Although the legal responsibility and financial impact with respect to such litigation cannot be presently ascertained, based on information from the service agent and others involved with the administration of the programs, the City believes that the self-insurance commitment of $\$ 9,722,248$ is adequate to cover such losses. The liability will be paid as it becomes due by the General Fund and the Fire District Fund.

The following is a summary of the changes in the claims liability over the past two fiscal years for the City and the Fire District combined:

Current Year Claims

| Ended | Balance | and Changes in Estimates |  | Claim Payments | Ending <br> Balance | Due in One Year |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| June 30, 2021 | \$ 2,720,826 | \$ | 1,055,446 | \$ (1,217,288) | \$ 2,558,984 | \$ 645,377 |
| June 30, 2022 | 2,558,984 |  | 1,715,378 | $(1,145,966)$ | 3,128,396 | 767,975 |

Note 16: Commitments and Contingencies
The following schedule summarizes the major contractual commitments as of June 30, 2022:

| Project Name | Contract Amount |  | Expenditures to date as of June 30, 2022 |  | Remaining Commitments |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Town Center Station | \$ | 18,319,460 | \$ | 2,729,346 | \$ | 15,590,114 |
| Fiber Optic Network |  | 8,822,930 |  | 677,985 |  | 8,144,945 |
| Etiwanda Grade Separation |  | 12,500,000 |  | 7,482,075 |  | 5,017,925 |
| Etiwanda from Arrow-Whittram |  | 5,005,400 |  | 7,730 |  | 4,997,670 |
| Community Dog Park |  | 4,383,880 |  | 820,325 |  | 3,563,555 |

## CITY OF RANCHO CUCAMONGA
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## Note 17: Fund Balance Classifications

Fund balances are presented in the following categories: nonspendable, restricted, committed, assigned, and unassigned (see Note 1 for a description of these categories). A detailed schedule of fund balances at June 30, 2022, is as follows:
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## Note 18: Successor Agency Trust for Assets of Former Redevelopment Agency

On December 29, 2011, the California Supreme Court upheld Assembly Bill 1X 26 ("the Bill") that provides for the dissolution of all redevelopment agencies in the State of California. This action impacted the reporting entity of the City of Rancho Cucamonga that previously had reported a redevelopment agency within the reporting entity of the City as a blended component unit.

The Bill provides that upon dissolution of a redevelopment agency, either the city or another unit of local government will agree to serve as the "successor agency" to hold the assets until they are distributed to other units of state and local government. On January 11, 2012, the City elected to become the Successor Agency for the former redevelopment agency in accordance with the Bill as part of City resolution number 12-001.

After enactment of the law, which occurred on June 28, 2011, redevelopment agencies in the State of California cannot enter into new projects, obligations or commitments. Subject to the control of a newly established oversight board, remaining assets can only be used to pay enforceable obligations in existence at the date of dissolution (including the completion of any unfinished projects that were subject to legally enforceable contractual commitments).

In future fiscal years, successor agencies will only be allocated revenue in the amount that is necessary to pay the estimated annual installment payments on enforceable obligations of the former redevelopment agency until all enforceable obligations of the prior redevelopment agency have been paid in full and all assets have been liquidated.

The Bill directs the State Controller of the State of California to review the propriety of any transfers of assets between redevelopment agencies and other public bodies that occurred after January 1, 2011. If the public body that received such transfers is not contractually committed to a third party for the expenditure or encumbrance of those assets, the State Controller is required to order the available assets to be transferred to the public body designated as the successor agency by the Bill.

In accordance with the timeline set forth in the Bill (as modified by the California Supreme Court on December 29, 2011) all redevelopment agencies in the State of California were dissolved and ceased to operate as a legal entity as of February 1, 2012.

## a. Cash and investments

Cash and investments reported in the accompanying financial statements consisted of the following:

Cash and investments
Cash and investments with fiscal agent
\$ 22,745,691
43
\$ 22,745,734
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## Note 18: Successor Agency Trust for Assets of Former Redevelopment Agency (Continued)

## b. Loans Receivable

Notes and loans receivables consist of the following at June 30, 2022:
On July 21, 2003, the Agency entered into a Disposition and Developer Agreement with Victoria Gardens, LLC. The Agency conveyed 147 acres generally located north of Foothill Boulevard, west of the I-15 Freeway and east of Day Creek Road in the City of Rancho Cucamonga in order for the Developer to construct an open air mixed use complex. The Agency conveyed the site to the Developer upon the execution of a promissory note to pay a cumulative sum of $\$ 13,000,000$ to the Agency over a term of thirty (30) years. The note stipulates the following payment structure: (1) the Developer shall make annual payments to the Agency equal to the amount required to amortize the excess return at the Agency's cost of funds; (2) the Developer shall pay the Agency fifteen percent ( $15 \%$ ) of the difference between the net sale proceeds and the higher of the project cost, or the initial gross proceeds of any loan; and (3) the Developer shall pay the Agency fifteen percent (15\%) of any positive net refinance proceeds. As of June 30, 2022, the outstanding balance was $\$ 10,259,967$.

## c. Long-Term Debt

A description of long-term debt outstanding (excluding defeased debt) of the Successor Agency as of June 30, 2022, follows:

|  |  | Balance <br> July 1, 2021 | Additions |  | Repayments |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Balance } \\ \text { June 30, } 2022 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | Due Within One Year |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tax Allocation Bonds |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tax Allocation Refunding |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bonds - 2007 Issue | \$ | 55,045,000 | \$ |  | \$ | 2,855,000 | \$ | 52,190,000 | \$ | 3,040,000 |
| Tax Allocation Refunding |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bonds - 2014 Issue |  | 132,455,000 |  |  |  | 8,320,000 |  | 124,135,000 |  | 8,735,000 |
| Tax Allocation Refunding |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bonds - 2016 Issue |  | 49,960,000 |  |  |  | 1,800,000 |  | 48,160,000 |  | 1,870,000 |
| Total Bonds |  | 237,460,000 |  |  |  | 12,975,000 |  | 224,485,000 |  | 13,645,000 |
| Developer Loans |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bank of New York |  | 5,568,981 |  |  |  | 931,041 |  | 4,637,940 |  | 1,014,580 |
| Total Developer Loans |  | 5,568,981 |  |  |  | 931,041 |  | 4,637,940 |  | 1,014,580 |
| Total | \$ | 243,028,981 | \$ |  |  | 13,906,041 |  | 229,122,940 | \$ | 14,659,580 |

Unamortized Premium $\qquad$
Total \$ 249,168,536

## Note 18: Successor Agency Trust for Assets of Former Redevelopment Agency (Continued)

## Tax Allocation Bonds

1. Rancho Cucamonga Redevelopment Agency, Rancho Redevelopment Project, Housing Set-aside Tax Allocation Bonds, Tax Exempt Series 2007A and Taxable Series 2007B. \$155,620,000. In November 2007, the Rancho Cucamonga Redevelopment Agency issued \$73,305,000 Rancho Redevelopment Project Housing Set-Aside Tax Allocation Bonds Tax-Exempt Series 2007A and \$82,315,000 Rancho Redevelopment Project Housing Set-Aside Tax Allocation Bonds Taxable series 2007B to (a) refund and redeem the Agency's outstanding Rancho Redevelopment Project 1996 Housing Set-Aside Tax Allocation Bonds, provide for the refunding and defeasance of the California Statewide Communities Development Authority Multifamily Housing Revenue Bonds, (c) extend set-aside and affordability restriction on 558 units within four apartment projects located in the City of Rancho Cucamonga pursuant to an Extended Affordability Agreement, and (d) finance other low and moderate income housing projects in or of benefit to the Project Area.

The Series A issue consists of $\$ 29,950,000$ in Serial bonds with maturities beginning September 1, 2008 through September 1, 2026, bearing interest ranging from $3.25 \%$ through $5.0 \%$; and $\$ 43,355,000$ in Term bonds due September 1, 2034, bearing interest at $5 \%$. The Series B issue consists of $\$ 19,675,000$ Term bonds due September 1, 2018, bearing interest at $5.529 \%$; and $\$ 62,640,000$ Term bonds due September 1, 2031, bearing interest at 6.262\%. Interest on both Series A and B bonds is payable semi-annually on March 1 and September 1 of each year, commencing March 1, 2008.

The 2007 bonds are secured and payable from Tax Revenues on a subordinate basis with respect to a Loan Agreement dated as of December 15, 1997, between the Agency, Northtown Housing Development Corporation and Pacific Life Insurance Company (Loan Payable-Bank of New York) - the Senior Loan. The Indenture does not permit additional senior obligations. The Agency is permitted under the Indenture to incur additional obligations - Parity Bonds - secured by a pledge of Tax Revenues on a parity basis with the pledge of Tax Revenues to the 2007 Bonds. Tax Revenues which secure the 2007 Bonds consist solely of the Housing Set-Aside.

On July 20, 2016, the Successor Agency issued Tax Allocation Refunding Bonds, Series 2016 to refund the Series A. The refunding resulted in the recognition of an accounting loss of $\$ 2,716,427$. However, it reduced the total debt service payments by $\$ 14$ million and an economic gain (the difference between the present values of the debt service payments on the old and new debt) of $\$ 11$ million.

The Taxable Series B Bonds are subject to optional redemption, on any date prior to their maturity.

## Note 18: Successor Agency Trust for Assets of Former Redevelopment Agency (Continued)

The balance at June 30, 2022, amounted to $\$ 52,190,000$ plus unamortized bond premium of $\$ 204,961$. The following schedule illustrates the debt service requirements to maturity for the 2007 Tax Allocation Refunding Bonds as of June 30, 2022:

| Year Ending June 30 | Principal | Interest |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2023 | \$ 3,040,000 | \$ 3,172,955 |
| 2024 | 3,225,000 | 2,976,798 |
| 2025 | 3,430,000 | 2,768,430 |
| 2026 | 3,640,000 | 2,547,069 |
| 2027 | 3,870,000 | 2,311,930 |
| 2028-2032 | 34,985,000 | 4,577,365 |
| Total | \$ 52,190,000 | \$ 18,354,547 |

If an Event of Default shall occur, then, and in each and every such case during the continuance of such Event of Default, the Trustee may, with the consent of the Insurer and if requested in writing by the Owners of a majority in aggregate principal amount of the Bonds then Outstanding or if directed by the Insurer, the Trustee shall (a) declare the principal of the Bonds, together with the accrued interest thereon, to be due and payable immediately, and upon any such declaration the same shall become immediately due and payable, anything in the Indenture or in the Bonds to the contrary notwithstanding, and (b) subject to the provisions of the Indenture, exercise any other remedies available to the Trustee and the bond owners in law or at equity.
2. Rancho Cucamonga Redevelopment Agency, Rancho Redevelopment Project Area Tax Allocation Refunding Bonds, Series 2014. \$174,050,000. These bonds are dated July 15, 2014, and were issued to refinance certain obligations of the Project Area including the 1999 Tax Allocation Refunding Bonds, 2001 Tax Allocation Bonds and 2004 Tax Allocation Bonds. The Bonds will be payable from and secured by, designated property tax revenues (formerly tax increment revenues) related to the Rancho Redevelopment Project, which will consist of moneys deposited, from time to time, in the Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund ("RPTTF") established under the Dissolution Act, defined below, but exclude those amounts which were, prior to the Dissolution Act, required to be deposited into the Former Agency's Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund to the extent required to pay debt service on existing Housing Obligations. Interest is payable semi-annually on March 1 and September 1, of each year commencing March 1, 2015. The bonds mature in annual installments ranging from $\$ 2,750,000$ to $\$ 14,235,000$ starting September 1, 2015, to September 1, 2032, and bear interest ranging from $3 \%$ to $5 \%$.

The balance at June 30, 2022, amounted to $\$ 124,135,000$ plus unamortized bond premium of $\$ 14,827,715$ and unamortized gain on defeasance of $\$ 1,394,667$.

## Note 18: Successor Agency Trust for Assets of Former Redevelopment Agency (Continued)

The following schedule illustrates the debt service requirements to maturity for the 2014 Tax Allocation Refunding Bonds as of June 30, 2022:

Year Ending

| June 30 | Principal | Interest |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2023 | \$ 8,735,000 | \$ 5,988,375 |
| 2024 | 9,175,000 | 5,540,625 |
| 2025 | 9,635,000 | 5,070,375 |
| 2026 | 10,115,000 | 4,576,625 |
| 2027 | 10,620,000 | 4,058,250 |
| 2028-2032 | 61,620,000 | 11,561,750 |
| 2033 | 14,235,000 | 355,875 |
| Total | \$ 124,135,000 | \$ 37,151,875 |

If an Event of Default has occurred and is continuing, the Trustee may (but only with the consent of the Insurer), and if requested in writing by the Owners of a majority in aggregate principal amount of the Bonds then Outstanding, the Trustee shall (but only with the consent of the Insurer), (a) declare the principal of the Bonds, together with the accrued interest thereon, to be due and payable immediately, and upon any such declaration the same shall become immediately due and payable, anything in the Indenture or in the Bonds to the contrary notwithstanding, and (b) exercise any other remedies available to the Trustee and the bond owners in law or at equity.
3. Rancho Cucamonga Redevelopment Agency, Rancho Redevelopment Project Area Tax Allocation Refunding Bonds, Series 2016. $\$ 56,860,000$. These bonds are dated October 5, 2016, and were issued to refinance certain obligations of the Rancho Redevelopment Project Housing Set-Aside Tax Allocation Bonds 2007 Series A. The Bonds will be payable from and secured by, designated property tax revenues (formerly tax increment revenues) related to the Rancho Redevelopment Project, which will consist of moneys deposited, from time to time, in the Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund ("RPTTF") established under the Dissolution Act, but exclude those amounts which were, prior to the Dissolution Act, required to be deposited into the Former Agency's Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund to the extent required to pay debt service on existing Housing Obligations. Interest is payable semi-annually on March 1 and September 1, of each year commencing March 1, 2017. The bonds mature in annual installments ranging from $\$ 1,615,000$ to $\$ 10,060,000$ starting September 1, 2017, to September 1, 2034, and bear interest ranging from $2 \%$ to $5 \%$.

The balance at June 30, 2022, amounted to \$48,160,000 plus unamortized bond premium of $\$ 5,012,919$ and unamortized loss on defeasance of $\$ 1,940,606$.

## Note 18: Successor Agency Trust for Assets of Former Redevelopment Agency (Continued)

The following schedule illustrates the debt service requirements to maturity for the 2016 Tax Allocation Refunding Bonds as of June 30, 2022:

| Year Ending <br> June 30 | Principal |  | Interest |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2023 | \$ | 1,870,000 | \$ | 1,983,050 |
| 2024 |  | 1,965,000 |  | 1,887,175 |
| 2025 |  | 2,060,000 |  | 1,786,550 |
| 2026 |  | 2,170,000 |  | 1,680,800 |
| 2027 |  | 2,275,000 |  | 1,569,675 |
| 2028-2032 |  | 8,780,000 |  | 7,388,400 |
| 2033-2035 |  | 29,040,000 |  | 1,772,600 |
| Total | \$ | 48,160,000 |  | 18,068,250 |

If an Event of Default has occurred and is continuing, the Trustee may (but only with the consent of the Insurer), and if requested in writing by the Owners of a majority in aggregate principal amount of the Bonds then Outstanding the Trustee shall (but only with the consent of the Insurer), (a) declare the principal of the Bonds, together with the accrued interest thereon, to be due and payable immediately, and upon any such declaration the same shall become immediately due and payable, anything in the Indenture or in the Bonds to the contrary notwithstanding, and (b) exercise any other remedies available to the Trustee and the bond owners on law or at equity.

## Developer Loans Payable

On August 21, 1996, the Agency executed a note payable to Pacific Life Insurance Company (subsequently assigned to Bank of New York) in the amount of \$9,411,477. The proceeds of the note were paid directly to Northtown Housing Development Corporation for the development of the Northtown Housing project. The outstanding principal bears interest at $8.78 \%$ compounding semi-annually from the date of the note until paid. Interest was added to the principal on each March 15 and September 15 through March 15, 2002, amounting to $\$ 4,210,264$ in addition to principal. Commencing on September 15, 2002, both principal and interest shall be due and payable semi-annually on March 20 and September 20, of each year through March 2026. The balance at June 30, 2022, amounted to $\$ 4,637,940$.

The following schedule illustrates the debt service requirements to maturity for the Bank of New York loan as of June 30, 2022:

| Year Ending June 30 | Principal |  | Interest |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2023 | \$ | 1,014,580 | \$ | 385,420 |
| 2024 |  | 1,105,615 |  | 294,385 |
| 2025 |  | 1,204,820 |  | 195,180 |
| 2026 |  | 1,312,925 |  | 87,075 |
| Total | \$ | 4,637,940 | \$ | 962,060 |

All outstanding principal and interest due under this note shall be due and payable in full on the earliest to occur of: March 15, 2026, or the date of an event of default.

## Note 18: Successor Agency Trust for Assets of Former Redevelopment Agency (Continued)

## Pledged Revenue

The City pledged, as security for bonds issued, either directly or through the Financing Authority, a portion of tax increment revenue (including Low and Moderate Income Housing set-aside and pass through allocations) that it receives. The bonds issued were to provide financing for various capital projects, accomplish Low and Moderate Income Housing projects and to defease previously issued bonds. Assembly Bill 1X 26 provided that upon dissolution of the Redevelopment Agency, property taxes allocated to redevelopment agencies no longer are deemed tax increment but rather property tax revenues and will be allocated first to successor agencies to make payments on the indebtedness incurred by the dissolved redevelopment agency. Total principal and interest remaining on the debt is $\$ 303,659,672$ with annual debt service requirements as indicated above. For the current year, the total property tax revenue recognized by the City for the payment of indebtedness incurred by the dissolved redevelopment agency was $\$ 27,437,399$ and the debt service obligation on the bonds was $\$ 26,213,078$.

In July 1994, the Agency entered into an affordable housing Pledge Agreement with So Cal Housing which they could use to secure affordable housing units. In August 1996, the Agency approved Amendment No. 2 to the 1994 Original Pledge, to commit to pay $\$ 339,200$ annually to the California Housing Finance Agency (CHFA) to benefit the required reserves for three affordable family housing developments up to November 2026. The balance of the commitment at June 30, 2022, is $\$ 1,526,400$.

## d. Insurance

The Successor Agency is covered under the City of Rancho Cucamonga's insurance policies. Therefore, the limitation and self-insured retentions applicable to the City also apply to the Successor Agency. Additional information as to coverage and self-insured retentions can be found in Note 15.

## e. Participation Agreements

In August 2005, the Agency entered into a real estate tax, sales tax, tax increment and business license tax participation agreement with Bass Outdoor World, LLC (Bass Pro), 80 VGL, LLC and 20 VGL, LLC ( 80 VGL, LLC and 20 VGL, LLC are collectively referred to as Landlord). Under the terms of the agreement, the Agency is required to make annual payments equal to one hundred percent ( $100 \%$ ) of the tax increment revenues, sales tax revenues and business license tax paid during each year. However, Landlord has the priority for reimbursements of real estate taxes paid for each year prior to any payments being made to Bass Pro. The total amount paid to Landlord and Bass Pro shall not exceed $\$ 1,100,000$ in any given year. The agreement terminates in fiscal year 2032-2033. However, due to ERAF payment made, the agreement was extended to December 2034. During the year ended June 30, 2022, the Agency made payments totaling \$694,792.

## Note 19: Net Position Restatement

The beginning net position of the Custodial Funds was restated by $\$ 61,635,178$ to remove the bond liability and the related interest payable that should not have been recognized in the Custodial Funds per exclusion related to GASB 84 for reporting of debt payable from special assessments against benefited property owners. The City did not incur the debt and is not liable for the repayment of the obligations.
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## Budgetary Comparison Information

## a. Budget Data

## General Budget Policies

The annual budget adopted by the City Council provides for the general operation of the City. It includes proposed expenditures and the means of financing them. The City Council approves each year's budget submitted by the City Manager prior to the beginning of the new fiscal year. The Council conducts budget study sessions prior to holding a public hearing to adopt the budget. When required during the fiscal year, the Council also approves supplemental appropriations. There were several supplemental appropriations required during the year. A comprehensive update to budgeted figures occurs once per year as part of the Amended Budget process which is presented to the Council in May each fiscal year for approval. There were no significant non-budgeted financial activities during the year.

The City Council may transfer funds between funds or activities set forth in the budget. The City Manager may transfer funds between line items within an appropriation as set forth in the budget and may transfer appropriations between activities within any fund. The level of budgetary control (that is the level at which expenditures cannot legally exceed the appropriated amount) is established at the fund level within the General Fund, Special Revenue Funds, and Capital Project Funds.

## Encumbrances

Encumbrances are estimations of costs related to unperformed contracts for goods and services. They represent the estimated amount of the expenditure ultimately to result if unperformed contracts in process at year-end are completed. They do not constitute expenditures or estimated liabilities.

## Basis of Budgeting

Budgets for governmental funds are adopted on a basis consistent with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) except that for budgeting purposes only encumbrances are treated as expenditures. A reconciliation has been provided on the applicable schedule when the basis of budgeting differs from GAAP.

For the fiscal year ended June 30, 2022, the following funds had no adopted budget due to the timing of the usage of these funds:

## SB 140 <br> AB 2928 Traffic Congestion Relief <br> Energy Efficient and Conservation Block Grant

Money will be budgeted as needed based on specific projects.
For the fiscal year ended June 30, 2022, the following funds had excess expenditures over appropriations:

## Special revenue funds

| Library Services Grant | $\$$ | 89,103 | $\$$ | 88,080 | $\$$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| AD 91-2 Redemption-Day Canyon |  | 21,171 |  | 20,820 | 1,023 |
| Enhanced Infrastructure Financing District |  | 248,932 |  | 246,830 | 351 |


|  |  |  |  |  | (Negative) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Budgetary Fund Balance, July 1 | \$ 126,756,685 | \$ 126,756,685 | \$ | 126,756,685 | \$ |
| Resources (Inflows): |  |  |  |  |  |
| Taxes | 75,134,610 | 84,570,040 |  | 87,561,737 | 2,991,697 |
| Licenses and permits | 4,597,540 | 4,792,260 |  | 6,207,356 | 1,415,096 |
| Intergovernmental | 848,050 | 739,170 |  | 687,958 | $(51,212)$ |
| Charges for services | 8,053,070 | 5,713,310 |  | 5,663,958 | $(49,352)$ |
| Use of money and property | 2,499,920 | 1,437,410 |  | $(4,575,630)$ | $(6,013,040)$ |
| Fines and forfeitures | 1,039,850 | 1,279,580 |  | 1,287,454 | 7,874 |
| Contributions | 91,000 | 84,690 |  | 59,070 | $(25,620)$ |
| Miscellaneous | 3,932,020 | 7,498,550 |  | 14,971,128 | 7,472,578 |
| Transfers in | 1,632,400 | 29,619,170 |  | 29,617,859 | $(1,311)$ |
| Leases | - |  |  | 226,622 | 226,622 |
| Proceeds from sale of capital assetls | 25,990 | 3,265,410 |  | 3,318,081 | 52,671 |
| Amounts Available for Appropriations | 224,611,135 | 265,756,275 |  | 271,782,278 | 6,026,003 |
| Charges to Appropriations (Outflow): |  |  |  |  |  |
| General government |  |  |  |  |  |
| General overhead | 3,542,920 | 4,235,450 |  | 3,675,243 | 560,207 |
| Personnel overhead | 1,066,030 | 1,516,030 |  | 1,580,851 | $(64,821)$ |
| City council | 137,600 | 138,430 |  | 133,542 | 4,888 |
| City management | 1,079,110 | 1,044,910 |  | 1,018,341 | 26,569 |
| City clerk | 1,980 | 2,080 |  | 2,073 | 7 |
| Administrative services | 190,750 | 198,820 |  | 196,618 | 2,202 |
| Business licensing | 378,360 | 369,000 |  | 364,902 | 4,098 |
| City facilities | 1,009,270 | 1,085,000 |  | 952,246 | 132,754 |
| Finance | 1,598,930 | 1,636,950 |  | 1,628,840 | 8,110 |
| Innovation and technology | 4,159,040 | 4,375,530 |  | 4,159,948 | 215,582 |
| Human resources | 596,590 | 611,890 |  | 576,197 | 35,693 |
| Procurement | 266,770 | 229,400 |  | 226,377 | 3,023 |
| Risk management | 298,600 | 328,420 |  | 268,155 | 60,265 |
| Treasury management | 6,140 | 31,620 |  | 31,014 | 606 |
| Community affairs | 548,640 | 595,300 |  | 492,372 | 102,928 |
| Records management | 519,850 | 547,690 |  | 533,545 | 14,145 |
| Healthy RC program | 620,230 | 526,650 |  | 518,948 | 7,702 |
| Public safety - police |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sheriff contract services | 47,540,230 | 47,540,940 |  | 45,017,346 | 2,523,594 |
| Public safety - animal center |  |  |  |  |  |
| Animal center | 3,179,380 | 2,958,810 |  | 2,772,844 | 185,966 |
| Community development |  |  |  |  |  |
| Planning | 1,976,590 | 2,182,190 |  | 1,599,322 | 582,868 |
| Planning commission | 20,390 | 20,420 |  | 15,057 | 5,363 |
| Community improvement | 849,820 | 864,020 |  | 782,696 | 81,324 |
| Administration | 1,088,880 | 2,073,750 |  | 1,955,818 | 117,932 |
| Building and safety | 1,949,420 | 2,103,940 |  | 2,026,090 | 77,850 |
| Community services |  |  |  |  |  |
| Administration | 1,811,190 | 1,757,760 |  | 1,645,778 | 111,982 |
| Central Park | 1,401,760 | 786,970 |  | 685,026 | 101,944 |
| Lions Center | 891,170 | 166,540 |  | 126,946 | 39,594 |
| RC Family Resource Center | 177,830 | 151,940 |  | 132,828 | 19,112 |
| RC Sports Center | 673,810 | 364,210 |  | 346,370 | 17,840 |
| Special events | 277,710 | 310,030 |  | 264,090 | 45,940 |
| Victoria Gardens Cultural Center | 2,412,180 | 1,205,670 |  | 1,024,562 | 181,108 |
| Contract classes | 601,020 | 279,970 |  | 261,016 | 18,954 |
| Park services | 394,350 | 268,000 |  | 256,109 | 11,891 |
| Engineering and public works |  |  |  |  |  |
| Engineering administration | 587,750 | 580,250 |  | 550,353 | 29,897 |
| Development management | 1,023,390 | 1,142,520 |  | 1,116,795 | 25,725 |
| NPDES | 246,430 | 196,470 |  | 194,505 | 1,965 |
| Project management | 686,180 | 466,030 |  | 410,283 | 55,747 |
| Traffic management | 241,050 | 248,120 |  | 231,312 | 16,808 |
| Park maintenance | 3,016,970 | 2,794,070 |  | 2,577,463 | 216,607 |
| Vehicle and equipment maintenance | 1,181,990 | 2,071,840 |  | 2,006,948 | 64,892 |
| City facilities maintenance | 3,747,020 | 3,664,440 |  | 3,325,046 | 339,394 |
| Street maintenance | 2,263,580 | 2,026,930 |  | 1,918,594 | 108,336 |
| Fire facilities maintenance | 372,330 | 372,970 |  | 353,538 | 19,432 |
| Capital outlay | 4,748,650 | 6,261,640 |  | 5,405,482 | 856,158 |
| Debt service: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest and fiscal charges | 7,210 | 7,210 |  | 7,208 | 2 |
| Transfers out | 4,266,580 | 9,176,880 |  | 8,776,007 | 400,873 |
| Total Charges to Appropriations | 103,655,670 | 109,517,700 |  | 102,144,644 | 7,373,056 |
| Budgetary Fund Balance, June 30 (Budgetary Basis) | \$ 120,955,465 | \$ 156,238,575 |  | 169,637,634 | \$ 13,399,059 |
| Encumbrances |  |  |  | 3,482,602 |  |
| Budgetary Fund Balance, June 30 (GAAP Basis) |  |  | \$ | 173,120,236 |  |

BUDGETARY COMPARISON SCHEDULE (BUDGETARY BASIS)
DEVELOPMENT IMPACT FEES
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2022

Budgetary Fund Balance, July 1
Resources (Inflows):
Use of money and property
Developer participation
Amounts Available for Appropriations
Charges to Appropriation (Outflow):
Public safety - police
Public safety - animal services
Community development
Community services
Engineering and public works
Capital outlay
Total Charges to Appropriations
Budgetary Fund Balance, June 30 (Budgetary Basis)
Encumbrances
Budgetary Fund Balance, June 30 (GAAP Basis)

| Budget Amounts |  | Actual Amounts | Variance with Final Budget Positive (Negative) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Original | Final |  |  |
| \$ 69,635,049 | \$ 69,635,049 | \$ 69,635,049 | \$ |
| 757,220 | 702,120 | $(3,058,974)$ | $(3,761,094)$ |
| 9,037,930 | 8,664,410 | 18,019,461 | 9,355,051 |
| 79,430,199 | 79,001,579 | 84,595,536 | 5,593,957 |
| 200 | 200 | 200 |  |
| 60 | 60 | 60 | - |
| 195,600 | 62,920 | 43,443 | 19,477 |
| 4,400 | 9,080 | 4,400 | 4,680 |
| 730,680 | 735,050 | 734,871 | 179 |
| 10,443,040 | 3,975,200 | 1,736,635 | 2,238,565 |
| 11,373,980 | 4,782,510 | 2,519,609 | 2,262,901 |
| \$ 68,056,219 | \$ 74,219,069 | 82,075,927 | \$ 7,856,858 |
|  |  | 275,070 |  |
|  |  | \$ 82,350,997 |  |

BUDGETARY COMPARISON SCHEDULE (BUDGETARY BASIS)
LIGHTING DISTRICTS
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2022

| Budgetary Fund Balance, July 1 | \$ (4,276,307) | \$ $(4,276,307)$ | \$ (4,276,307) | \$ | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Resources (Inflows): |  |  |  |  |  |
| Taxes | 2,134,470 | 2,134,470 | 2,142,744 |  | 8,274 |
| Use of money and property | 63,880 | 31,870 | $(151,567)$ |  | $(183,437)$ |
| Miscellaneous | - | - | 62,813 |  | 62,813 |
| Transfers in | 148,380 | 237,970 | 145,162 |  | $(92,808)$ |
| Amounts Available for Appropriations | $(1,929,577)$ | $(1,871,997)$ | $(2,077,155)$ |  | $(205,158)$ |
| Charges to Appropriation (Outflow): |  |  |  |  |  |
| General government | 2,088,110 | 2,293,030 | 2,139,399 |  | 153,631 |
| Debt service: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest and fiscal charges | 3,690 | 3,720 | 3,702 |  | 18 |
| Total Charges to Appropriations | 2,091,800 | 2,296,750 | 2,143,101 |  | 153,649 |
| Budgetary Fund Balance, June 30 (Budgetary Basis) | \$(4,021,377) | \$ (4,168,747) | $(4,220,256)$ | \$ | $(51,509)$ |
| Encumbrances |  |  | 437 |  |  |
| Budgetary Fund Balance, June 30 (GAAP Basis) |  |  | \$ (4,219,819) |  |  |

BUDGETARY COMPARISON SCHEDULE (BUDGETARY BASIS)
HOUSING SUCCESSOR AGENCY
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2022

|  | Budget Amounts |  | Actual Amounts | Variance with Final Budget Positive (Negative) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Original | Final |  |  |
| Budgetary Fund Balance, July 1 | \$ 139,198,670 | \$ 139,198,670 | \$ 139,198,670 | \$ |
| Resources (Inflows): |  |  |  |  |
| Use of money and property | 22,820 | 852,510 | 737,005 | $(115,505)$ |
| Miscellaneous | 12,000 | 121,200 | 121,192 | (8) |
| Amounts Available for Appropriations | 139,233,490 | 140,172,380 | 140,056,867 | $(115,513)$ |
| Charges to Appropriation (Outflow): |  |  |  |  |
| Community development | 387,200 | 383,600 | 382,971 | 629 |
| Capital outlay | - | 3,505,200 | - | 3,505,200 |
| Total Charges to Appropriations | 387,200 | 3,888,800 | 382,971 | 3,505,829 |
| Budgetary Fund Balance, June 30 (Budgetary Basis) | \$ 138,846,290 | \$ 136,283,580 | 139,673,896 | \$ 3,390,316 |
| Encumbrances |  |  | - |  |
| Budgetary Fund Balance, June 30 (GAAP Basis) |  |  | \$ 139,673,896 |  |

BUDGETARY COMPARISON SCHEDULE (BUDGETARY BASIS)
FIRE DISTRICT
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2022

| Budgetary Fund Balance, July 1 | \$ | 88,134,204 | \$ 88,134,204 | \$ 88,134,204 | \$ | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Resources (Inflows): |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Taxes |  | 51,964,260 | 55,128,820 | 55,490,495 |  | 361,675 |
| Licenses and permits |  | 16,500 | 16,500 | 15,455 |  | $(1,045)$ |
| Intergovernmental |  | - | - | 12,405 |  | 12,405 |
| Charges for services |  | 1,860 | 1,900 | 819 |  | $(1,081)$ |
| Use of money and property |  | 434,690 | 548,610 | $(3,275,090)$ |  | $(3,823,700)$ |
| Fines and forfeitures |  | 148,000 | 143,000 | 119,672 |  | $(23,328)$ |
| Miscellaneous |  | 2,273,630 | 2,291,040 | 2,192,362 |  | $(98,678)$ |
| Transfers in |  |  | 82,390 | 14,591 |  | $(67,799)$ |
| Proceeds from sale of capital asset |  | - | 500 | 270 |  | (230) |
| Amounts Available for Appropriations |  | 142,973,144 | 146,346,964 | 142,705,183 |  | $(3,641,781)$ |
| Charges to Appropriation (Outflow): |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Public safety - fire protection |  | 45,840,500 | 48,443,920 | 45,422,348 |  | 3,021,572 |
| Capital outlay |  | 18,243,000 | 23,171,690 | 21,669,598 |  | 1,502,092 |
| Debt service: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest and fiscal charges |  | 31,370 | 31,370 | 31,368 |  | 2 |
| Transfers out |  | 105,470 | 105,470 | 105,466 |  | 4 |
| Total Charges to Appropriations |  | 64,220,340 | 71,752,450 | 67,228,780 |  | 4,523,670 |
| Budgetary Fund Balance, June 30 (Budgetary Basis) | \$ | 78,752,804 | \$ 74,594,514 | 75,476,403 | \$ | 881,889 |
| Encumbrances |  |  |  | 18,164,770 |  |  |
| Budgetary Fund Balance, June 30 (GAAP Basis) |  |  |  | \$ 93,641,173 |  |  |

BUDGETARY COMPARISON SCHEDULE (BUDGETARY BASIS)
FEDERAL GRANTS FUND
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2022

|  | Budget Amounts |  |  | Actual Amounts |  | Variance with Final Budget Positive (Negative) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Original |  | Final |  |  |  |  |
| Budgetary Fund Balance, July 1 | \$ | - | \$ | \$ | - | \$ |  |
| Resources (Inflows): |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intergovernmental |  | 13,417,770 |  |  | 27,540,271 |  | $(99,149)$ |
| Use of money and property |  | 15,620 |  |  | - |  | - |
| Amounts Available for Appropriations |  | 13,433,390 |  |  | 27,540,271 |  | $(99,149)$ |
| Charges to Appropriation (Outflow): |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General government |  | - |  |  | 70,237 |  | 1,763 |
| Transfers out |  | - |  |  | 27,499,103 |  | 68,317 |
| Total Charges to Appropriations |  | - |  |  | 27,569,340 |  | 70,080 |
| Budgetary Fund Balance, June 30 (Budgetary Basis) | \$ | 13,433,390 | \$ |  | $(29,069)$ | \$ | $(29,069)$ |
| Encumbrances |  |  |  |  | 31,534 |  |  |
| Budgetary Fund Balance, June 30 (GAAP Basis) |  |  |  |  | 2,465 |  |  |


|  | 2015 |  | 2016 |  | 2017 |  | 2018 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TOTAL PENSION LIABILITY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Service Cost | \$ | 4,661,973 | \$ | 4,342,707 | \$ | 4,193,507 | \$ | 4,743,810 |
| Interest |  | 12,370,506 |  | 12,931,479 |  | 13,651,750 |  | 14,301,966 |
| Difference between Expected and Actual Experience |  |  |  | $(3,882,722)$ |  | $(1,557,585)$ |  | $(1,926,722)$ |
| Changes in Assumptions |  |  |  | $(3,352,733)$ |  | - |  | 12,495,866 |
| Benefit Payments, Including |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Refunds of Employee Contributions |  | $(5,229,846)$ |  | $(5,847,197)$ |  | $(6,606,205)$ |  | $(7,626,368)$ |
| Net Change in Total Pension Liability |  | 11,802,633 |  | 4,191,534 |  | 9,681,467 |  | 21,988,552 |
| Total Pension Liability - Beginning |  | 165,224,012 |  | 177,026,645 |  | 181,218,179 |  | 190,899,646 |
| Total Pension Liability - Ending (a) | \$ | 177,026,645 | \$ | 181,218,179 | \$ | 190,899,646 | \$ | 212,888,198 |
| PLAN FIDUCIARY NET POSITION |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Contributions - Employer | \$ | 3,520,721 | \$ | 3,433,074 | \$ | 3,745,698 | \$ | 4,207,753 |
| Contributions - Employee |  | 2,156,312 |  | 2,074,191 |  | 2,120,443 |  | 2,150,126 |
| Net Investment Income $21,772,350$ $3,320,843$ 782,082 16,691,043 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Administrative Expense |  | - |  | $(168,508)$ |  | $(91,249)$ |  | $(220,985)$ |
| Net Plan to Plan Resource Movement |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other Miscellaneous Income/(Expense) |  |  |  |  |  | - |  | - |
| Net Change in Fiduciary Net Position |  | 22,219,537 |  | 2,812,403 |  | $(49,231)$ |  | 15,201,569 |
| Plan Fiduciary Net Position - Beginning |  | 124,692,088 |  | 146,911,625 |  | 149,724,028 |  | 149,674,797 |
| Plan Fiduciary Net Position - Ending (b) | \$ | 146,911,625 | \$ | 149,724,028 | \$ | 149,674,797 | \$ | 164,876,366 |
| Plan Net Pension Liability/(Assets) - Ending (a) - (b) | \$ | 30,115,020 | \$ | 31,494,151 | \$ | 41,224,849 | \$ | 48,011,832 |
| Plan Fiduciary Net Position as a Percentage of the Total Pension Liability |  | 82.99\% |  | 82.62\% |  | 78.40\% |  | 77.45\% |
| Covered Payroll | \$ | 25,819,515 | \$ | 25,082,858 | \$ | 25,682,090 | \$ | 26,459,567 |
| Plan Net Pension Liability/(Asset) as a Percentage of Covered Payroll |  | 116.64\% |  | 125.56\% |  | 160.52\% |  | 181.45\% |

(1) Historical information is required only for measurement years for which GASB 68 is applicable. Fiscal Year 2015 was the first year of implementation, therefore only eight years are shown.

Notes to Schedule:
Benefit Changes:
The figures above include any liability impact that may have resulted from voluntary benefit changes that occurred after the June 30, 2019 valuation. However, offers of Two Years Additional Service Credit (a.k.a. Golden Handshakes) that occurred after the June 30, 2019 valuation date are not included in the figures above, unless the liability impact is deemed to be material by the plan actuary.

Changes of Assumptions:
In 2018, demographic assumptions and inflation rate were changed in accordance to the CaIPERS Experience Study and Review of Actuarial Assumptions December 2017.
In 2017, the accounting discount rate reduced from 7.65 percent to 7.15 percent.
In 2015, amounts reported reflect an adjustment of the discount rate from 7.5 percent (net of administrative expense) to 7.65 percent (without a reduction for pension plan administrative expense).
In 2014, amounts reported were based on the 7.5 percent discount rate.

|  | 2019 |  | 2020 |  | 2021 |  | 2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$ | 4,869,644 | \$ | 4,749,046 | \$ | 4,521,001 | \$ | 4,313,387 |
|  | 15,134,775 |  | 16,072,077 |  | 16,720,224 |  | 17,333,452 |
|  | $(1,496,029)$ |  |  |  | $(1,248,961)$ |  | $(1,220,230)$ |
|  | 2,212,199 |  | 2,512,596 |  |  |  |  |
|  | $(8,728,016)$ |  | $(9,967,183)$ |  | $(10,819,096)$ |  | $(11,862,110)$ |
|  | 11,992,573 |  | 13,366,536 |  | 9,173,168 |  | 8,564,499 |
|  | 212,888,198 |  | 224,880,771 |  | 238,247,307 |  | 247,420,475 |
| \$ | 224,880,771 | \$ | 238,247,307 |  | 247,420,475 |  | 255,984,974 |
| \$ | 4,622,851 | \$ | 5,133,141 | \$ | 5,863,963 | \$ | 6,250,129 |
|  | 2,032,448 |  | 1,976,074 |  | 1,971,470 |  | 1,921,495 |
|  | 13,809,497 |  | 11,584,539 |  | 9,140,223 |  | 42,762,843 |
|  | $(8,728,016)$ |  | $(9,967,183)$ |  | $(10,819,096)$ |  | $(11,862,110)$ |
|  | $(256,923)$ |  | $(126,024)$ |  | $(261,085)$ |  | $(190,892)$ |
|  | (407) |  |  |  |  |  | - |
|  | 242,458 |  | 407 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 11,721,908 |  | 8,600,954 |  | 5,895,475 |  | 38,881,465 |
|  | 164,876,366 |  | 176,598,274 |  | 185,199,228 |  | 191,094,703 |
| \$ | 176,598,274 | \$ | 185,199,228 |  | 191,094,703 |  | 229,976,168 |
| \$ | 48,282,497 | \$ | 53,048,079 | \$ | 56,325,772 | \$ | 26,008,806 |
|  | 78.53\% |  | 77.73\% |  | 77.23\% |  | 89.84\% |
| \$ | 27,268,038 | \$ | 27,077,712 | \$ | 26,743,856 | \$ | 24,764,323 |
|  | 177.07\% |  | 195.91\% |  | 210.61\% |  | 105.03\% |


|  |  | 2015 |  | 2016 |  | 2017 | 2018 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Actuarially Determined Contribution | \$ | 3,433,074 |  | 3,745,756 | \$ | 4,192,505 |  | \$ 4,642,132 |
| Contribution in Relation to the Actuarially Determined Contribution |  | $(3,433,074)$ |  | $(3,745,756)$ |  | $(4,192,505)$ |  | $(4,642,132)$ |
| Contribution Deficiency (Excess) | \$ | - | \$ | \$ - | \$ | - | \$ | \$ - |
| Covered Payroll | \$ | 25,082,858 |  | \$ 25,682,090 | \$ | 26,459,567 |  | \$ 27,268,038 |
| Contributions as a Percentage of Covered Payroll |  | 13.69\% |  | 14.59\% |  | 15.84\% |  | 17.02\% |

(1) Historical information is required only for measurement for which GASB 68 is applicable. Fiscal Year 2015 was the first year of implementation, therefore only eight years are shown.

## Note to Schedule

Valuation Date:
June 30, 2020
Methods and assumptions used to determine contribution rates:

Actuarial Cost Method
Amortization method
Asset valuation method
Inflation
Payroll Growth
Projected Salary Increases

Investment Rate of Return
Retirement Age

Mortality

Entry Age Normal Cost Method
Level percentage of payroll, closed
Fair Value of Assets
2.500\%
2.750\%

Varies by Entry Age and Service
7.00\% Net of Pension Plan Investment and Administrative Expenses; includes Inflation.
The probabilities of Retirement are based on the 2017 CaIPERS Experience Study for the period from 1997 to 2015.
The probabilities of mortality are based on the 2017 CaIPERS Experience Study for the period from 1997 to 2015 . Pre-retirement and Post-retirement mortality rates include 15 years of projected mortality improvement using 90\% of Scale MP-2016 published by the Society of Actuaries.


COST SHARING MULTIPLE-EMPLOYER PLAN - MISCELLANEOUS RATE PLAN
SCHEDULE OF PROPORTIONATE SHARE OF THE NET PENSION LIABILITY
AS OF JUNE 30, FOR THE LAST TEN FISCAL YEARS (1)

|  |  | 2015 |  | 2016 |  | 2017 |  | 2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Proportion of the Net Pension Liability |  | 0.02166\% |  | 0.02652\% |  | 0.06568\% |  | 0.06723\% |
| Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability | \$ | 1,348,194 | \$ | 1,819,909 | \$ | 2,281,501 | \$ | 2,650,258 |
| Covered Payroll | \$ | 1,474,657 | \$ | 1,437,227 | \$ | 1,524,047 | \$ | 1,577,007 |
| Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability as |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percentage of Covered Payroll |  | 91.42\% |  | 126.63\% |  | 149.70\% |  | 168.06\% |
| Plan Fiduciary Net Position as a Percentage of the Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pension Liability |  | 79.82\% |  | 78.40\% |  | 75.87\% |  | 75.39\% |

(1) Historical information is required only for measurement years for which GASB 68 is applicable. Fiscal Year 2015 was the first year of implementation, therefore only eight years are shown.

## Notes to Schedule:

Benefit Changes:
The figures above include any liability impact that may have resulted from voluntary benefit changes that occurred after the June 30 , 2020 valuation. However, offers of Two Years Additional Service Credit (a.k.a. Golden Handshakes) that occurred after the June 30, 2020 valuation date are not included in the figures above, unless the liability impact is deemed to be material by the plan actuary.

## Changes of Assumptions:

In 2018, demographic assumptions and inflation rate were changed in accordance to the CaIPERS Experience Study and Review of Actuarial Assumptions December 2017.
In 2017, the accounting discount rate reduced from 7.65 percent to 7.15 percent. In 2015, amounts reported reflect an adjustment of the discount rate from 7.5 percent (net of administrative expense) to 7.65 percent (without a reduction for pension plan administrative expense).
In 2014, amounts reported were based on the 7.5 percent discount rate.

|  | 2019 |  | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.06897\% |  | 0.07095\% | 0.07296\% | 0.09528\% |
| \$ | 2,599,301 | \$ | 2,841,232 | \$ 3,077,476 | \$ 1,809,262 |
| \$ | 1,619,191 | \$ | 1,593,099 | \$ 1,771,563 | \$ 1,798,563 |
|  | 160.53\% |  | 178.35\% | 173.72\% | 100.59\% |
|  | 77.69\% |  | 77.73\% | 77.71\% | 90.49\% |


|  |  | 2015 |  | 2016 |  | 2017 | 2018 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Actuarially Determined Contribution | \$ | 210,430 | \$ | 219,901 | \$ | 238,202 | \$ | 254,681 |
| Contribution in Relation to the Actuarially Determined Contribution |  | $(210,430)$ |  | $(219,901)$ |  | $(238,202)$ |  | $(254,681)$ |
| Contribution Deficiency (Excess) | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| Covered Payroll |  | 1,437,227 | \$ | 1,524,047 | \$ | 1,577,007 | \$ | 1,619,191 |
| Contributions as a Percentage of Covered Payroll |  | 14.64\% |  | 14.43\% |  | 15.10\% |  | 15.73\% |

(1) Historical information is required only for measurement years for which GASB 68 is applicable. Fiscal Year 2015 was the first year of implementation, therefore only eight years are shown.

## Note to Schedule:

Valuation Date:
Methods and assumptions used to determine contribution rates:
Actuarial Cost Method
Amortization method
Asset valuation method
Inflation
Payroll Growth
Projected Salary Increases
Investment Rate of Return
Retirement Age

Mortality

June 30, 2020

Entry Age Normal Cost Method
Level percentage of payroll, closed
Fair Value of Assets
2.500\%
2.750\%

Varies by Entry Age and Service
$7.00 \%$ Net of Pension Plan Investment and Administrative Expenses; includes Inflation.
The probabilities of Retirement are based on the 2017 CaIPERS Experience Study for the period from 1997 to 2015.
The probabilities of mortality are based on the 2017 CaIPERS Experience Study for the period from 1997 to 2015. Pre-retirement and Postretirement mortality rates include 15 years of projected mortality improvement using $90 \%$ of Scale MP-2016 published by the Society of Actuaries.

|  | 2019 |  | 2020 |  | 2021 | 2022 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$ | $\begin{gathered} 283,247 \\ (283,247) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | \$ | $\begin{gathered} 332,407 \\ (332,407) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | \$ | $\begin{array}{r} 372,168 \\ (372,168) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | \$ | $\begin{gathered} 406,745 \\ (406,745) \end{gathered}$ |
| \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | \$ - | \$ | - |
| \$ | 1,593,099 | \$ | 1,771,563 |  | \$ 1,798,563 |  | 1,851,717 |
|  | 17.78\% |  | 18.76\% |  | 20.69\% |  | 21.97\% |

COST SHARING MULTIPLE-EMPLOYER PLAN - SAFETY RATE PLAN
SCHEDULE OF PROPORTIONATE SHARE OF THE NET PENSION LIABILITY
AS OF JUNE 30, FOR THE LAST TEN FISCAL YEARS (1)

|  | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Proportion of the Net Pension Liability | 0.31131\% | 0.33146\% | 0.57027\% | 0.57286\% |
| Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability | \$ 19,373,864 | \$ 22,750,560 | \$ 29,535,666 | \$ 34,229,524 |
| Covered Payroll | \$ 10,396,960 | \$ 10,554,523 | \$ 11,373,722 | \$ 11,451,394 |
| Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability as |  |  |  |  |
| Percentage of Covered Payroll | 186.34\% | 215.55\% | 259.68\% | 298.91\% |
| Plan Fiduciary Net Position as a Percentage of the Total |  |  |  |  |
| Pension Liability | 79.82\% | 78.40\% | 72.69\% | 71.74\% |

(1) Historical information is required only for measurement years for which GASB 68 is applicable. Fiscal Year 2015 was the first year of implementation, therefore only eight years are shown.

## Notes to Schedule:

Benefit Changes:
The figures above include any liability impact that may have resulted from voluntary benefit changes that occurred after the June 30, 2020 valuation. However, offers of Two Years Additional Service Credit (a.k.a. Golden Handshakes) that occurred after the June 30, 2020 valuation date are not included in the figures above, unless the liability impact is deemed to be material by the plan actuary.

Changes of Assumptions:
In 2018, demographic assumptions and inflation rate were changed in accordance to the CaIPERS Experience Study and Review of Actuarial Assumptions December 2017.
In 2017, the accounting discount rate reduced from 7.65 percent to 7.15 percent.
In 2015, amounts reported reflect an adjustment of the discount rate from 7.5 percent (net of administrative expense) to 7.65 percent (without a reduction for pension plan administrative expense).

In 2014, amounts reported were based on the 7.5 percent discount rate.

| 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.59184\% | 0.60633\% | 0.62729\% | 0.55761\% |
| \$ 34,726,501 | \$ 37,850,274 | \$ 41,792,462 | \$ 19,529,265 |
| \$ 11,663,014 | \$ 12,029,495 | \$ 12,348,120 | \$ 13,128,489 |
| 297.75\% | 314.65\% | 338.45\% | 148.75\% |
| 73.39\% | 73.37\% | 73.12\% | 86.61\% |


|  | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Actuarially Determined Contribution | \$ 2,827,842 | \$ 3,007,980 | \$ 3,273,056 | \$ 3,577,900 |
| Contribution in Relation to the Actuarially Determined Contribution | $(2,827,842)$ | $(3,007,980)$ | $(3,273,056)$ | $(3,577,900)$ |
| Contribution Deficiency (Excess) | \$ | \$ - | \$ - | \$ |
| Covered Payroll | \$ 10,554,523 | \$ 11,373,722 | \$ 11,451,394 | \$ 11,663,014 |
| Contributions as a Percentage of Covered Payroll | 26.79\% | 26.45\% | 28.58\% | 30.68\% |

(1) Historical information is required only for measurement years for which GASB 68 is applicable. Fiscal Year 2015 was the first year of implementation, therefore only eight years are shown.

## Note to Schedule:

Valuation Date:
Methods and assumptions used to determine contribution rates:

Actuarial Cost Method
Amortization method
Asset valuation method
Inflation
Payroll Growth
Projected Salary Increases
Investment Rate of Return
Retirement Age

Mortality

June 30, 2020

Entry Age Normal Cost Method
Level percentage of payroll, closed
Fair Value of Assets
2.500\%
2.750\%

Varies by Entry Age and Service
$7.00 \%$ Net of Pension Plan Investment and Administrative Expenses; includes Inflation.
The probabilities of Retirement are based on the 2017 CaIPERS Experience Study for the period from 1997 to 2015.
The probabilities of mortality are based on the 2017 CalPERS Experience Study for the period from 1997 to 2015. Pre-retirement and Post-retirement mortality rates include 15 years of projected mortality improvement using $90 \%$ of Scale MP-2016 published by the Society of Actuaries.

| 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{r} \$ 4,101,079 \\ (4,101,079) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 4,727,134 \\ (4,727,134) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 10,065,699 \\ (10,065,699) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 9,113,770 \\ (9,113,770) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| \$ | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - |
| \$ 12,029,495 | \$ 12,348, 120 | \$ 13,128,489 | \$ 13,129,347 |
| 34.09\% | 38.28\% | 76.67\% | 69.42\% |


|  |  | 2015 |  | 2016 |  | 2017 |  | 2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TOTAL PENSION LIABILITY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Service Cost | \$ | 719,000 | \$ | 743,000 |  | \$ 716,000 | \$ | 774,673 |
| Interest |  | 1,329,000 |  | 1,425,000 |  | 1,523,000 |  | 1,639,815 |
| Changes of Benefits Terms |  |  |  |  |  | 538,000 |  | - |
| Difference Between Expected and Actual Experience |  |  |  |  |  | $(110,000)$ |  |  |
| Changes in Assumptions |  |  |  |  |  | 2,100,000 |  | - |
| Changes in Benefit Terms |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $(4,236)$ |
| Benefit Payments, Including Refunds of Employee Contributions |  | $(495,000)$ |  | $(546,000)$ |  | $(631,000)$ |  | $(719,919)$ |
| Net Change in Total Pension Liability |  | 1,553,000 |  | 1,622,000 |  | 4,136,000 |  | 1,690,333 |
| Total Pension Liability - Beginning |  | 20,790,000 |  | 22,343,000 |  | 23,965,000 |  | 28,101,000 |
| Total Pension Liability - Ending (a) |  | \$ 22,343,000 |  | \$ 23,965,000 |  | \$ 28,101,000 | \$ | 29,791,333 |
| PLAN FIDUCIARY NET POSITION |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Contribution - Employer |  | 497,000 |  | 467,000 |  | \$ 312,000 | \$ | 279,830 |
| Net Investment Income |  | 3,177,000 |  | 660,000 |  | 21,000 |  | 2,872,446 |
| Benefit Payments, Including Refunds of Employee Contributions |  | $(495,000)$ |  | $(546,000)$ |  | $(631,000)$ |  | $(719,919)$ |
| Other Changes in Fiduciary Net Position |  | $(33,000)$ |  | $(47,000)$ |  | $(35,000)$ |  | $(52,639)$ |
| Net Change in Fiduciary Net Position |  | 3,146,000 |  | 534,000 |  | $(333,000)$ |  | 2,379,718 |
| Plan Fiduciary Net Position - Beginning |  | 24,536,000 |  | 27,682,000 |  | 28,216,000 |  | 27,883,000 |
| Plan Fiduciary Net Position - Ending (b) |  | \$ 27,682,000 |  | \$ 28,216,000 |  | \$ 27,883,000 | \$ | 30,262,718 |
| Plan Net Pension Liability/(Asset) - Ending (a) - (b) |  | (5,339,000) |  | (4,251,000) |  | \$ 218,000 | \$ | $(471,385)$ |
| Plan Fiduciary Net Position as a Percentage of the Total Pension |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Liability |  | 123.90\% |  | 117.74\% |  | 99.22\% |  | 101.58\% |
| Covered Payroll |  | \$ 24,363,588 |  | \$ 22,739,613 |  | \$ 21,593,214 | \$ | 19,909,987 |
| Plan Net Pension Liability/(Asset) as a Percentage of Covered |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Payroll |  | -21.91\% |  | -18.69\% |  | 1.01\% |  | -2.37\% |

(1) Historical information is required only for measurement for which GASB 68 is applicable. Fiscal Year 2015 was the first year of implementation, therefore only eight years are shown.

## Notes to Schedule:

Benefit Changes
In 2020, employer paid member contributions for Fire Management employee group added. There were no benefit changes in 2019. In 2018, the Benefit Factor used to determine the City Manager's benefit was changed to $0.5 \%$ beginning at age 55 instead of age 60 .

Changes of Assumptions:
In 2020, Mortality improvement scale was updated to Scale MP2020.
In 2018, the discount rate was updated from $5.75 \%$ to $6.00 \%$, the demographic assumptions were updated to CaIPERS 1997-2015 Experience Study, and the mortality improvement scale was updated to Society of Actuaries Scale MP-2018.

|  | 2019 |  | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$ | 736,116 | \$ | 660,456 | \$ 632,103 | 561,042 |
|  | 1,731,246 |  | 1,716,445 | 1,794,641 | 1,803,717 |
|  |  |  |  | 149,388 |  |
|  | $(1,975,546)$ |  |  | $(933,120)$ |  |
|  | $(683,589)$ |  |  | $(283,683)$ |  |
|  | $(323,105)$ |  | - | - |  |
|  | $(837,663)$ |  | $(983,660)$ | $(1,106,896)$ | (1,167,119) |
|  | $(1,352,541)$ |  | 1,393,241 | 252,433 | 1,197,640 |
|  | 29,791,333 |  | 28,438,792 | 29,832,033 | 30,084,466 |
| \$ | 28,438,792 | \$ | 29,832,033 | \$ 30,084,466 | \$ 31,282,106 |
| \$ | 657,424 | \$ | 609,239 | \$ 648,386 | \$ 563,099 |
|  | 1,865,280 |  | 1,890,679 | 1,090,928 | 7,631,818 |
|  | $(837,663)$ |  | $(983,660)$ | $(1,106,896)$ | $(1,167,119)$ |
|  | $(39,500)$ |  | $(38,432)$ | $(57,282)$ | $(49,786)$ |
|  | 1,645,541 |  | 1,477,826 | 575,136 | 6,978,012 |
|  | 30,262,718 |  | 31,908,259 | 33,386,085 | 33,961,221 |
| \$ | 31,908,259 | \$ | 33,386,085 | \$ 33,961,221 | \$ 40,939,233 |
| \$ | $(3,469,467)$ | \$ | $(3,554,052)$ | \$ (3,876,755) | \$ (9,657,127) |
|  | 112.20\% |  | 111.91\% | 112.89\% | 130.87\% |
| \$ | 18,246,690 | \$ | 16,119,382 | \$ 14,750,069 | \$ 13,301,202 |
|  | -19.01\% |  | -22.05\% | -26.28\% | -72.60\% |

## PARS RETIREMENT ENHANCEMENT PLAN <br> SCHEDULE OF PLAN CONTRIBUTIONS <br> AS OF JUNE 30, FOR THE LAST TEN FISCAL YEARS (1)

|  | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Actuarially Determined Contribution | \$ 467,000 | 307,590 | \$ 278,740 | \$ 657,424 |
| Contribution in Relation to the Actuarially Determined Contribution | $(467,000)$ | $(307,590)$ | $(278,740)$ | $(657,424)$ |
| Contribution Deficiency (Excess) | \$ | \$ - | \$ | \$ |
| Covered Payroll | \$ 22,739,613 | \$ 21,593,214 | \$ 19,909,987 | \$ 18,246,690 |
| Contributions as a Percentage of Covered Payroll (2) | 2.05\% | 1.42\% | 1.40\% | 3.60\% |

(1) Historical information is required only for measurement for which GASB 68 is applicable. Fiscal Year 2015 was the first year of implementation, therefore only eight years are shown.
(2) Due to timing differences for when the plan recognizes contributions and a change in the actuarially determined contribution rate from $1.40 \%$ to $3.90 \%$ during the fiscal year, the rate does not report the actuarially determined rate of $3.90 \%$ for 2018 .

## Note to Schedule:

Valuation Date:
June 30, 2020
Methods and assumptions used to determine contribution rates:

| Actuarial cost method | Entry Age Normal Cost Method |
| :--- | :--- |
| Amortization method | Level percentage of payroll |
| Amortization period | 7-year fixed period |
| Assets valuation method | Investment gains and losses spread over 5-years |
| Discount rate | $6.00 \%$ |
| Inflation | $2.75 \%$ |
| Salary Increases | $3.0 \%$ annually |
| Investment rate of return | $6.00 \%$ net of pension investment and administrative expenses, including inflation. |
| Retirement age | CalPERS 1997-2015 Experience Study |
| Mortality | Post-retirement mortality projected fully generational with Scale MP-2020 |


| 2019 |  | 2020 |  | 2021 | 2022 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{lc} \$ & 628,911 \\ & (628,911) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | \$ | $\begin{gathered} 626,595 \\ (626,595) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | \$ | $\begin{array}{r} 563,099 \\ (563,099) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | \$ | $\begin{array}{r} 547,799 \\ (547,799) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| \$ | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| \$ 16,119,382 |  | 14,750,069 |  | 3,301,202 |  | 12,451,110 |
| 3.90\% |  | 4.25\% |  | 4.23\% |  | 4.40\% |

SCHEDULE OF CHANGES IN THE NET OPEB LIABILITY/(ASSET) AND RELATED RATIOS
AS OF JUNE 30, FOR THE LAST TEN FISCAL YEARS (1)

|  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

(1) Historical information is required only for the measurement periods for which GASB 75 is applicable. Fiscal Year 2018 was the first year of implementation. Future years' information will be displayed up to 10 years as information becomes available.

Notes to Schedule: None

Changes in assumptions:
In 2020, the ACA Excise Tax was removed from liabilities.
In 2021, the following changes of assumptions were made:
Discount rate was updated based on newer capital market assumptions
Inflation assumption dropped from $2.75 \%$ to $2.50 \%$. which dropped the discount rate, medical trend, and aggregate payroll increase by $0.25 \%$.
Decreased medical trend rate for Kaiser Senior Advantage.
New rates from CalPERS Experience Study.
Updated assumption for medical eligible implied subsidy.
Mortality improvement scale was updated to Scale MP-2021.

2022
\$ 351,997
1,421,351
$(107,488)$
$(729,439)$ $(1,295,174)$
$(358,753)$ 26,138,324 25,779,571

32,589 4,356,510 $(1,295,174)$ $(13,863)$ 3,080,062 31,862,067
\$ 34,942,129
$\$ \quad(9,162,558)$
135.54\%
\$ 18,018,339
-50.85\%

|  | 2018 |  | 2019 |  | 2020 |  | 2021 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Actuarially Determined Contribution | \$ | 998,969 | \$ | 469,195 | \$ | 454,699 | \$ | 32,589 |
| Contribution in Relation to the Actuarially Determined Contributions |  | $(998,969)$ |  | $(469,195)$ |  | $(454,699)$ |  | $(32,589)$ |
| Contribution Deficiency (Excess) | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| Covered-employee payroll | \$ | 16,635,534 | \$ | 16,440,813 | \$ | 17,940,240 | \$ | 18,018,339 |
| Contributions as a percentage of covered-employee payroll |  | 6.01\% |  | 2.85\% |  | 2.53\% |  | 0.18\% |

(1) Historical information is required only for the measurement periods for which GASB 75 is applicable. Fiscal Year 2018 was the first year of implementation. Future years' information will be displayed up to 10 years as information becomes available.

Methods and assumptions used to determine contributions:
Valuation Date
Actuarial Cost Method
Amortization Valuation Method/Period
Asset Valuation Method
Discount Rate
General Inflation

Payroll Growth
Mortality, Disability, Termination,
Retirement
Medical Trend

Other Assumptions

June 30, 2021
Entry Age Nomal
Level percent of pay over a 17-year fixed period
Investment gains/losses spread over 5-year rolling period
5.25\%
2.50\%
2.75\% per annum, in aggregate

CaIPERS 2000-2019 Experience Study;
Mortality Improvement - Mortality projected fully generational with Scale MP-2021
Non-Medicare - 6.50\% for 2023, decreasing to an ultimate rate of $3.75 \%$ in 2076;
Medicare (Non-Kaiser) - $5.65 \%$ for 2023, decreasing to an ultimate rate of $3.75 \%$ in 2076;
Medicare (Kaiser) - 4.60\%for 2023, decreasing to an ultimate rate of 3.75 in 2076
PEMHCA minimum increases of $4.00 \%$ annually; Healthcare participation for future retirees at $100 \%$ for Tier 1 and $75 \%$ if Tier 2 for currently covered, and $50 \%$ for others

20,503,652 0.08\%
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## CITY OF RANCHO CUCAMONGA

Non-Major Governmental Funds

## Special Revenue Funds

Special Revenue Funds account for revenues derived from specific sources which are required by law or administrative regulation to be accounted for in a separated fund. Funds included are:

Gas Tax Fund - Established to account for the receipts and disbursements of the state gas tax allocations to fund road construction and maintenance of the City network system.

Recreation Fund - Established to account for the receipts and disbursements for community classes, special events, and activities that are sponsored by the Community Services Department, as well as, state and local grants which provide funding for related community services or facilities.

Beautification Fund - Established to account for receipts from development projects to provide proper landscaping and irrigation systems after the construction of parkway and median improvements.

Landscape Maintenance Districts Fund - Established to account for receipts of special assessments which are restricted for providing landscape maintenance within specified geographical boundaries.

Pedestrian Grant Fund - Established to account for the receipts and disbursements of county entitlement funds for the construction of public facilities for the exclusive use of pedestrians and bicycles.

Community Development Block Grant Fund - Established to account for Federal grant funding from the Department of Housing and Urban Development under the Housing and Community Development Act of 1974, as amended.

Assessment Administration Fund - Established to account for the administration of the various special districts within the City.

SB 140 Fund - Established to account for the receipt and disbursement of state matching funds that are restricted for the construction of eligible street construction projects.

Air Quality Improvement Fund - Established to account for the receipt and disbursement of funds received from the South Coast Air Quality Management District as a result of Assembly Bill 2766.

Masi Commerce Center Fund - Established to acquire the necessary infrastructure from the developer after the completion and acceptance of the approved improvements. Financing was provided by the sale of bonds pursuant to the provisions of the Improvement Act of 1915.

Measure I Fund - Established to account for the receipts and disbursements of the City's allocation of the half-cent sales tax collected throughout San Bernardino County for local street construction and maintenance.

Library Services Fund - Established to account for the receipts and disbursements for library-related services provided by the City of Rancho Cucamonga Library. Funding for this service is made possible through a transfer of San Bernardino County library property tax revenues to the City of Rancho Cucamonga for library purposes.

## CITY OF RANCHO CUCAMONGA

Non-Major Governmental Funds

## Special Revenue Funds (Continued)

Public Safety Grants Fund - Established to account for the receipts and disbursements of miscellaneous state, local, and Federal grant monies. These receipts are restricted for law enforcement and public safety-related expenditures.

Used Oil Recycling Fund - Established to account for the receipts and disbursements of the state grant monies for the purpose of establishing and administering used oil collection programs. These receipts are restricted for oil recycling collection and educational programs.

Library Services Grants Fund - Established to account for the receipts and disbursements of miscellaneous state, local, and Federal grant monies not accounted for in other funds. These receipts are restricted for library-related expenditures.

AB 2928 Traffic Congestion Relief Fund - Established fund to account for the receipts and disbursements of funds received as a result of Assembly Bill 2928. These receipts are restricted for transportation projects that relieve congestion, connect transportation systems, and provide better goods movement.

Litter Reduction Grant Fund - Established to account for the receipts and disbursements of the state grant monies that are used for the collection and recycling of beverage containers at large venues, public areas, residential communities or schools.

Energy Efficiency and Conservation Block Grant Fund - Established to account for the receipts and disbursements of Federal grant monies received through the U.S. Department of Energy under the EECBG program. The receipts are restricted for funding projects that reduce energy consumption and promote energy efficiency.

SAFETEA-LU Grant Fund - Established to account for the receipts and disbursements of Federal grant monies received from the Safe, Accountable, Flexible, Efficient Transportation Equity Act: A Legacy for Users (SAFETEA-LU) from the Department of Transportation. The receipts are restricted for funding highways, highway safety, and public transportation projects.

Underground Utilities Fund - Established to account for fees collected from developments for future undergrounding of overhead utilities.

Citywide Infrastructure Improvement Fund - Established to account for capital improvement project reimbursements, primarily from the San Bernardino County Transportation Authority, to provide funds that will be used for general infrastructure throughout the City.

Proposition 1B Fund - Established to account for the receipts and disbursements of Proposition 1B and Proposition 1B State-Local Partnership Program (SLPP) funds which provide for the maintenance and improvement of local transportation facilities. This fund is allocated to the City by the California Transportation Commission.

Integrated Waste Management Fund - Established to account for receipts from Assembly Bill 939 which are generated from refuse haulers. These receipts are restricted for providing funding for the disposal of household hazardous waste.

SB1 - TCEP Fund - Established to account for the receipts and disbursements of SB 1 Trade Corridor Enhancement Program (TCEP) funds which provide for the design and construction of the Etiwanda Grade Separation Project.

## CITY OF RANCHO CUCAMONGA

Non-Major Governmental Funds

## Special Revenue Funds (Continued)

Public Art Trust Fund - Established to account for the receipts of public art in-lieu fees restricted for the selection, purchase, placement, and maintenance of art installed by the City or on City property.

State Grants Fund - Established to account for grant fund received from the State of California and the allowable expenditures for those programs. The State grant programs reported in this special revenue fund are nonrecurring.

Assessment District 91-2 Redemption-Day Canyon Fund - Established to account for Drainage Area No. 91-2 assessments revenues which are restricted for maintenance and servicing of a Day Canyon Drainage Basin.

Park Improvement District No. 85 Maintenance Fund - Established to account for Park and Recreation Improvement District No. PD-85 assessments revenues which are restricted for the maintenance and operations of Heritage and Red Hill Community Parks.

Community Facilities District 2000-03 Park Maintenance Fund - Established to account for Community Facilities District No. 2000-03 special tax revenues which are restricted for the park maintenance and operations of the District.

CFD 2017-01 No. Etiwanda - Established to account for Community Facilities District No. 2017-01 special tax revenues which are restricted for the maintenance and operations of the District.

CFD 2018-01 Empire Lakes - Established to account for Community Facilities District No. 2018-01 special tax revenues which are restricted for the maintenance and operations of the District.

Enhanced Infrastructure Financing District (EIFD) - Established to account for the receipt and use of incremental property tax revenue to finance public facilities, maintenance and operations of those public facilities, and administration of the EIFD within a designated district boundary.

## Capital Projects Fund

Capital Projects Fund - Established to account for major capital improvement projects not accounted for in other funds.

COMBINING BALANCE SHEET
NONMAJOR GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS
JUNE 30, 2022

|  |  |  | Special Revenue Funds |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

COMBINING BALANCE SHEET
NONMAJOR GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS
JUNE 30, 2022
(CONTINUED)

Assets:
Cash and investments
Receivables:
Accounts
Taxes
Accrued interest
Other loans
Grants
Leases
Prepaid costs
Restricted assets:
Cash and investments with fiscal agents

## Total Assets

Liabilities, Deferred Inflows of Resources, and Fund Balances:
Liabilities:
Accounts payable
Accrued liabilities
Unearned revenues
Deposits payable
Due to other governments
Due to other funds

## Total Liabilities

Deferred Inflows of Resources:
Unavailable revenues
Deferred lease revenues inflows
Total Deferred Inflows of Resources
Fund Balances:

## Nonspendable:

Prepaid costs
Restricted for:
Community development projects
Public safety - police
Parks and recreation
Engineering and public works
Capital improvement projects
Underground utilities
Landscape maintenance
Library services
Unassigned
Total Fund Balances
Total Liabilities, Deferred Inflows of Resources, and Fund Balances

COMBINING BALANCE SHEET
NONMAJOR GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS
JUNE 30, 2022

|  | Special Revenue Funds |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Air Quality Improvement |  | Masi Commerce Center |  | Measure I |  | Library Services |  |
| Assets: $\quad$ lom |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Accounts |  | 71,100 |  | - |  | - ${ }^{-}$ |  | - ${ }^{-}$ |
| Taxes |  |  |  | - |  | 1,143,269 |  | 61,122 |
| Accrued interest |  | 1,565 |  | - |  | 15,748 |  | 31,790 |
| Other loans |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grants |  | 9,000 |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Leases |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Prepaid costs |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 11,539 |
| Restricted assets: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash and investments with fiscal agents |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Total Assets | \$ | 561,077 | \$ | - | \$ | 7,274,049 | \$ | 14,962,204 |
| Liabilities, Deferred Inflows of Resources, and Fund Balances: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Liabilities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Accounts payable | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 218,897 | \$ | 200,406 |
| Accrued liabilities |  | - |  | - |  | 6,020 |  | 58,824 |
| Unearned revenues |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 2,025,000 |
| Deposits payable |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 15 |
| Due to other governments |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Due to other funds |  | 22,405 |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Total Liabilities |  | 22,405 |  | - |  | 224,917 |  | 2,284,245 |
| Deferred Inflows of Resources: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unavailable revenues |  | - |  | - |  | 396,524 |  | - |
| Deferred lease revenues inflows |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Total Deferred Inflows of Resources |  | - |  | - |  | 396,524 |  | - |
| Fund Balances: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nonspendable: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prepaid costs |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 11,539 |
| Restricted for: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Community development projects |  | 538,672 |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Public safety - police |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Parks and recreation |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Engineering and public works |  | - |  | - |  | 6,652,608 |  | - ${ }^{-}$ |
| Capital improvement projects |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 2,205,948 |
| Underground utilities |  | - |  | - |  | - |  |  |
| Landscape maintenance |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Library services |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 10,460,472 |
| Unassigned |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Total Fund Balances |  | 538,672 |  | - |  | 6,652,608 |  | 12,677,959 |
| Total Liabilities, Deferred Inflows of Resources, and Fund Balances | \$ | 561,077 | \$ | - | \$ | 7,274,049 | \$ | 14,962,204 |

COMBINING BALANCE SHEET
NONMAJOR GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS
JUNE 30, 2022
(CONTINUED)

|  | Special Revenue Funds |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Public Safety Grants |  | Used Oil Recycling |  | Library Services Grants |  | AB 2928 Traffic Congestion Relief |  |
| Assets: $\quad$ - Recycling - Reants |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash and investments | \$ | 1,392,405 | \$ | 25,144 | \$ | 350,205 | \$ | 281,335 |
| Receivables: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Accounts |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Taxes |  | - |  |  |  | - |  |  |
| Accrued interest |  | 291 |  | 52 |  | 793 |  | - |
| Other loans |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Grants |  | 30,158 |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Leases |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Prepaid costs |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Restricted assets: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash and investments with fiscal agents |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Total Assets | \$ | 1,422,854 | \$ | 25,196 | \$ | 350,998 | \$ | 281,335 |
| Liabilities, Deferred Inflows of Resources, and Fund Balances: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Liabilities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Accounts payable | \$ | 119,662 | \$ | - | \$ | 3,035 | \$ | - |
| Accrued liabilities |  | - |  | 623 |  | - |  | - |
| Unearned revenues |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Deposits payable |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Due to other governments |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Due to other funds |  | 30,159 |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Total Liabilities |  | 149,821 |  | 623 |  | 3,035 |  | - |
| Deferred Inflows of Resources: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unavailable revenues |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Deferred lease revenues inflows |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Total Deferred Inflows of Resources |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Fund Balances: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prepaid costs |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Restricted for: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Community development projects |  | ,273,033- |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Public safety - police |  | 1,273,033 |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Parks and recreation |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Engineering and public works |  | - |  | 24,573 |  | - |  | 281,335 |
| Capital improvement projects |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Underground utilities |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Landscape maintenance |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Library services |  | - |  | - |  | 347,963 |  | - |
| Unassigned |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Total Fund Balances |  | 1,273,033 |  | 24,573 |  | 347,963 |  | 281,335 |
| Total Liabilities, Deferred Inflows of Resources, and Fund Balances | \$ | 1,422,854 | \$ | 25,196 | \$ | 350,998 | \$ | 281,335 |

COMBINING BALANCE SHEET
NONMAJOR GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS
JUNE 30, 2022

Assets:
Cash and investments
Receivables:
Accounts
Taxes
Accrued interest
Other loans
Grants
Leases
Prepaid costs
Restricted assets:
Cash and investments with fiscal agents

## Total Assets

Liabilities, Deferred Inflows of Resources, and Fund Balances:
Liabilities:
Accounts payable
Accrued liabilities
Unearned revenues
Deposits payable
Due to other governments
Due to other funds

## Total Liabilities

Deferred Inflows of Resources:
Unavailable revenues
Deferred lease revenues inflows
Total Deferred Inflows of Resources
Fund Balances:

## Nonspendable:

Prepaid costs
Restricted for:
Community development projects
Public safety - police
Parks and recreation
Engineering and public works
Capital improvement projects
Underground utilities
Landscape maintenance
Library services
Unassigned
Total Fund Balances
Total Liabilities, Deferred Inflows of Resources, and Fund Balances

| Special Revenue Funds |  |  |  |  |  |  | Litter <br> Reduction <br> Grant |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| \$ | 5,874 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 16,706 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 83 |  | - |  | - |  | - |
|  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
|  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
|  | - |  | 121,420 |  | - |  |  |
|  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
|  | 5,957 |  | 121,420 |  | - |  | 16,706 |



COMBINING BALANCE SHEET
NONMAJOR GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS
JUNE 30, 2022
(CONTINUED)

Assets:
Cash and investments
Receivables:
Accounts
Taxes
Accrued interest
Other loans
Grants
Leases
Prepaid costs
Restricted assets:
Cash and investments with fiscal agents
Total Assets
Liabilities, Deferred Inflows of Resources, and Fund Balances:
Liabilities:
Accounts payable
Accrued liabilities
Unearned revenues
Deposits payable
Due to other governments
Due to other funds

## Total Liabilities

Deferred Inflows of Resources:
Unavailable revenues
Deferred lease revenues inflows
Total Deferred Inflows of Resources
Fund Balances:

## Nonspendable:

Prepaid costs
Restricted for:
Community development projects
Public safety - police
Parks and recreation
Engineering and public works
Capital improvement projects
Underground utilities
Landscape maintenance
Library services
Unassigned
Total Fund Balances
Total Liabilities, Deferred Inflows of Resources, and Fund Balances

Special Revenue Funds

| Citywide Infrastructure Improvement | $\begin{gathered} \text { Proposition } \\ \text { 1B } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Integrated } \\ \text { Waste } \\ \text { Management }\end{array}$ |  | SB1-TCEP |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$ 24,857,458 | \$ | 270,584 | \$ | 4,249,992 | \$ | - |
| 183,015 |  | 19,917 |  | 6,399 |  | 7,428,347 |
| - |  |  |  | 435,638 |  |  |
| 78,221 |  | 846 |  | 12,524 |  | - |
| - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| - |  | - |  | - |  | 474,299 |
| - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| - |  | - |  | 2,375 |  | - |
| - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| \$ 25,118,694 | \$ | 291,347 | \$ | 4,706,928 | \$ | 7,902,646 |


| \$ | 75,363 | \$ | - | \$ | 12,427 | \$ | 377,249 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | - |  | - |  | 21,120 |  | - |
|  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
|  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
|  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
|  | 1,433 |  | - |  | - |  | 7,525,397 |
|  | 76,796 |  | - |  | 33,547 |  | 7,902,646 |




COMBINING BALANCE SHEET
NONMAJOR GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS
JUNE 30, 2022

|  | Special Revenue Funds |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Public Art <br> Trust Fund |  | State Grants Fund |  | AD 91-2 <br> Redemption- <br> Day Canyon |  | PD 85 <br> Maintenance |  |
| Assets: <br> Cash and investments | \$ | 559,703 | \$ | 178,523 | \$ | 80,612 | \$ | 3,391,274 |
| Receivables: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Accounts |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 4,995 |
| Taxes |  | - |  | - |  | 399 |  | 12,252 |
| Accrued interest |  | 1,764 |  | - |  | 230 |  | 12,326 |
| Other loans |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Grants |  |  |  | 103,770 |  |  |  |  |
| Leases |  |  |  | - |  |  |  | 1,575,238 |
| Prepaid costs |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Restricted assets: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash and investments with fiscal agents |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Total Assets | \$ | 561,467 | \$ | 282,293 | \$ | 81,241 | \$ | 4,996,085 |
| Liabilities, Deferred Inflows of Resources, and Fund Balances: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Liabilities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Accounts payable | \$ | 2,500 | \$ | 42,561 | \$ | - | \$ | 111,164 |
| Accrued liabilities |  |  |  | - |  | 426 |  | 5,992 |
| Unearned revenues |  |  |  | 247,840 |  | - |  | - |
| Deposits payable |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |
| Due to other governments |  |  |  | - |  |  |  | - |
| Due to other funds |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Total Liabilities |  | 2,500 |  | 290,401 |  | 426 |  | 117,156 |
| Deferred Inflows of Resources: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unavailable revenues |  | - |  | - |  | - |  |  |
| Deferred lease revenues inflows |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 1,546,688 |
| Total Deferred Inflows of Resources |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 1,546,688 |
| Fund Balances: Nonspendable: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prepaid costs |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Restricted for: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Community development projects |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Public safety - police |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Parks and recreation |  | 558,967 |  | - |  |  |  | - |
| Engineering and public works |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Capital improvement projects |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Underground utilities |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Landscape maintenance |  | - |  | - |  | 80,815 |  | 3,332,241 |
| Library services |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Unassigned |  | - |  | $(8,108)$ |  | - |  | - |
| Total Fund Balances |  | 558,967 |  | $(8,108)$ |  | 80,815 |  | 3,332,241 |
| Total Liabilities, Deferred Inflows of Resources, and Fund Balances | \$ | 561,467 | \$ | 282,293 | \$ | 81,241 | \$ | 4,996,085 |

COMBINING BALANCE SHEET
NONMAJOR GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS
JUNE 30, 2022
(CONTINUED)

|  | Special Revenue Funds |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CFD 2000-03 } \\ & \text { Park } \\ & \text { Maintenance } \end{aligned}$ |  | CFD 2017-01 <br> No. Etiwanda |  | CFD 2018-01 <br> Empire Lakes |  | Enhanced Infrastructure Financing District |  |
| Assets: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash and investments | \$ | 523,998 | \$ | 3,591 | \$ | 190,270 | \$ | - |
| Receivables: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Accounts |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Taxes |  | 3,060 |  | - |  | 179 |  | - |
| Accrued interest |  | 1,273 |  | - |  | 522 |  | - |
| Other loans |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Grants |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Leases |  | - |  |  |  | - |  | - |
| Prepaid costs |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Restricted assets: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash and investments with fiscal agents |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Total Assets | \$ | 528,331 | \$ | 3,591 | \$ | 190,971 | \$ | - |
| Liabilities, Deferred Inflows of Resources, and Fund Balances: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Liabilities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Accounts payable | \$ | 36,282 | \$ | - | \$ | 790 | \$ | 60,769 |
| Accrued liabilities |  | 3,665 |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Unearned revenues |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Deposits payable |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Due to other governments |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Due to other funds |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 181,029 |
| Total Liabilities |  | 39,947 |  | - |  | 790 |  | 241,798 |
| Deferred Inflows of Resources: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unavailable revenues |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Deferred lease revenues inflows |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Total Deferred Inflows of Resources |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Fund Balances: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nonspendable: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prepaid costs |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Restricted for: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Community development projects |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Public safety - police |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Parks and recreation |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Engineering and public works |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Capital improvement projects |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Underground utilities |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Landscape maintenance |  | 488,384 |  | 3,591 |  | 190,181 |  | - |
| Library services |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Unassigned |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | $(241,798)$ |
| Total Fund Balances |  | 488,384 |  | 3,591 |  | 190,181 |  | $(241,798)$ |
| Total Liabilities, Deferred Inflows of Resources, and Fund Balances | \$ | 528,331 | \$ | 3,591 | \$ | 190,971 | \$ | - |

COMBINING BALANCE SHEET
NONMAJOR GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS
JUNE 30, 2022

| Capital |
| :---: |
| Projects Fund |

Assets:
Cash and investments
Receivables:
Accounts
Taxes
Accrued interest
Other loans

## Grants

Leases
Prepaid costs
Restricted assets:
Cash and investments with fiscal agents

## Total Assets

Liabilities, Deferred Inflows of Resources, and Fund Balances:
Liabilities:
Accounts payable
Accrued liabilities
Unearned revenues
Deposits payable
Due to other funds

## Total Liabilities

Deferred Inflows of Resources:
Unavailable revenues
Deferred lease revenues inflows
Total Deferred Inflows of Resources
Fund Balances:
Nonspendable:
Prepaid costs
Restricted for:
Community development projects
Public safety - police
Parks and recreation
Engineering and public works
Capital improvement projects
Underground utilities
Landscape maintenance
Library services
Unassigned
Total Fund Balances
Total Liabilities, Deferred Inflows of Resources, and Fund Balances

Total Nonmajor Governmental | Capital |
| :---: |
| Projects Fund | $\qquad$

$\$ 119,607,371$
8,359,864
2,068,796
342,388
1,337,228
1,698,358
3,507,450
15,539

|  | 412,846 |
| :--- | ---: |
|  |  |


| $\$ 2,577,424$ | $\$$ | $6,763,250$ |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| - |  | 184,796 |  |
|  | - |  | $2,272,840$ |
|  | - |  | 15 |
|  | - |  | 530,519 |
| 220,756 |  | $7,995,683$ |  |
|  |  |  | $17,747,103$ |

618,833

| - | 618,833 |
| :---: | :---: |
| - | 3,433,633 |
| - | 4,052,466 |


|  | - | 15,539 |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | - | $2,805,420$ |  |
| - |  | $1,741,328$ |  |
| - | 558,967 |  |  |
| - |  | $28,865,639$ |  |
| $7,133,412$ |  | $34,381,258$ |  |
| - |  | $11,253,331$ |  |
| - |  | $25,385,244$ |  |
| - |  | $10,808,435$ |  |
|  | - |  | $(264,890)$ |
|  |  |  | $115,550,271$ |
| $\mathbf{7 , 1 3 3 , 4 1 2}$ |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathbf{9 , 9 3 1 , 5 9 2}$ |  | $\mathbf{1 3 7 , 3 4 9 , 8 4 0}$ |
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## COMBINING STATEMENTS OF REVENUES,

EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES
NONMAJOR GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2022

|  | Special Revenue Funds |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Gas Tax |  | Recreation |  | Beautification |  | Landscape Maintenance Districts |  |
| Revenues: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Taxes | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 11,472,065 |
| Licenses and permits |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 79,972 |
| Intergovernmental |  | 7,666,916 |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Charges for services |  | (598, ${ }^{-}$ |  | - |  | (20,455) |  | 40,298 |
| Use of money and property |  | $(598,603)$ |  | (468) |  | $(20,455)$ |  | $(637,362)$ |
| Contributions |  | ) |  |  |  | ) |  | ) |
| Developer participation |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Miscellaneous |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 294 |
| Total Revenues |  | 7,068,313 |  | (468) |  | $(20,455)$ |  | 10,955,267 |
| Expenditures: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Current: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General government |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Public safety - police |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Public safety - fire protection |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Community development |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 10,767,496 |
| Community services |  | - ${ }^{-}$ |  | - |  | ${ }^{-}$ |  |  |
| Engineering and public works |  | 2,159,470 |  | - |  | 790 |  | - |
| Capital outlay |  | 5,463,787 |  | - |  | - |  | 1,050,832 |
| Total Expenditures |  | 7,623,257 |  | - |  | 790 |  | 11,818,328 |
| Excess (Deficiency) of Revenues Over (Under) Expenditures |  | $(554,944)$ |  | (468) |  | $(21,245)$ |  | $(863,061)$ |
| Other Financing Sources (Uses): |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Transfers in |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 371,145 |
| Transfers out |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Total Other Financing Sources (Uses) |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 371,145 |
| Net Change in Fund Balances |  | $(554,944)$ |  | (468) |  | $(21,245)$ |  | $(491,916)$ |
| Fund Balances, Beginning of Year |  | 16,888,603 |  | $(5,279)$ |  | 597,252 |  | 21,782,848 |
| Fund Balances, End of Year |  | 16,333,659 | \$ | $(5,747)$ | \$ | 576,007 | \$ | 21,290,932 |

COMBINING STATEMENTS OF REVENUES,
EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES
NONMAJOR GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2022
(CONTINUED)

## Revenues:

Taxes
Licenses and permits
Intergovernmental
Charges for services
Use of money and property
Contributions
Developer participation
Miscellaneous
Total Revenues
Expenditures:
Current:
General government
Public safety - police
Public safety - fire protection
Community development
Community services
Engineering and public works
Capital outlay

## Total Expenditures

Excess (Deficiency) of Revenues
Over (Under) Expenditures
Other Financing Sources (Uses):
Transfers in
Transfers out
Total Other Financing Sources (Uses)

Net Change in Fund Balances
Fund Balances, Beginning of Year
Fund Balances, End of Year

| Special Revenue Funds |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pedestrian } \\ & \text { Grant } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Community Development Block Grant | Assessment Administration | SB 140 |
| \$ | \$ | \$ - | \$ |
| - | 2,260,694 | - | - |
| - | - | - | - |
| - | $(11,811)$ | $(31,490)$ | $(1,432)$ |
| - | - | - | - |
| - | 34,764 | 960,180 | - |
| - | 2,283,647 | 928,690 | $(1,432)$ |


$-\quad(19,688) \quad 35,811 \quad(1,432)$
$\qquad$


## CITY OF RANCHO CUCAMONGA

COMBINING STATEMENTS OF REVENUES,
EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES
NONMAJOR GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2022

|  | Special Revenue Funds |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Air Quality Improvement |  | Masi Commerce Center |  | Measure I |  | Library Services |  |
| Revenues: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Taxes | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 5,326,550 |
| Licenses and permits |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Intergovernmental |  | 248,829 |  | - |  | 4,558,998 |  | 28,519 |
| Charges for services |  |  |  | - |  | - |  | 51,119 |
| Use of money and property |  | $(16,934)$ |  | 46 |  | $(231,450)$ |  | $(573,710)$ |
| Contributions |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 1,641,149 |
| Developer participation |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |
| Miscellaneous |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 27,122 |
| Total Revenues |  | 231,895 |  | 46 |  | 4,327,548 |  | 6,500,749 |
| Expenditures: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Current: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General government |  | 17,792 |  | 180 |  | - |  |  |
| Public safety - police |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |
| Public safety - fire protection |  | - |  | - |  | - |  |  |
| Community development |  | - |  | - |  | - |  |  |
| Community services |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 3,918,784 |
| Engineering and public works |  | - |  | - |  | 1,516,376 |  | - |
| Capital outlay |  | 255,039 |  | - |  | 546,132 |  | 290,263 |
| Total Expenditures |  | 272,831 |  | 180 |  | 2,062,508 |  | 4,209,047 |
| Excess (Deficiency) of Revenues Over (Under) Expenditures |  | $(40,936)$ |  | (134) |  | 2,265,040 |  | 2,291,702 |
| Other Financing Sources (Uses): |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Transfers in |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Transfers out |  | - |  | ,107) |  | - |  | $(140,340)$ |
| Total Other Financing Sources (Uses) |  | - |  | ,107) |  | - |  | $(140,340)$ |
| Net Change in Fund Balances |  | $(40,936)$ |  | 2,241) |  | 2,265,040 |  | 2,151,362 |
| Fund Balances, Beginning of Year |  | 579,608 |  | ,241 |  | 4,387,568 |  | 10,526,597 |
| Fund Balances, End of Year | \$ | 538,672 | \$ | - | \$ | 6,652,608 | \$ | 12,677,959 |

COMBINING STATEMENTS OF REVENUES,
EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES
NONMAJOR GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2022
(CONTINUED)

|  | Special Revenue Funds |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Public Safety Grants |  | Used Oil <br> Recycling |  | Library Services Grants |  | AB 2928 <br> Traffic Congestion Relief |  |
| Revenues: $\quad$ - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Taxes | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| Licenses and permits |  | - |  | - |  | - |  |  |
| Intergovernmental |  | 511,262 |  | 23,326 |  | 70,330 |  | - |
| Charges for services |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |
| Use of money and property |  | $(57,470)$ |  | (869) |  | $(12,850)$ |  | $(11,355)$ |
| Contributions |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Developer participation |  | - |  |  |  | - |  | - |
| Miscellaneous |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Total Revenues |  | 453,792 |  | 22,457 |  | 57,480 |  | $(11,355)$ |
| Expenditures: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Current: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General government |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Public safety - police |  | 213,552 |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Public safety - fire protection |  | 28,018 |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Community development |  | - |  | 16,866 |  | - |  | - |
| Community services |  |  |  | - |  | 89,103 |  | - |
| Engineering and public works |  | - |  |  |  | - |  | - |
| Capital outlay |  | 32,969 |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Total Expenditures |  | 274,539 |  | 16,866 |  | 89,103 |  | - |
| Excess (Deficiency) of Revenues |  | 179,253 |  | 5,591 |  |  |  |  |
| Over (Under) Expenditures |  |  |  |  |  | (31,623) |  | $(11,355)$ |
| Other Financing Sources (Uses): |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Transfers in |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Transfers out |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Total Other Financing Sources (Uses) |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Net Change in Fund Balances |  | 179,253 |  | 5,591 |  | $(31,623)$ |  | $(11,355)$ |
| Fund Balances, Beginning of Year |  | 1,093,780 |  | 18,982 |  | 379,586 |  | 292,690 |
| Fund Balances, End of Year | \$ | 1,273,033 | \$ | 24,573 | \$ | 347,963 | \$ | 281,335 |

COMBINING STATEMENTS OF REVENUES,
EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES
NONMAJOR GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2022

## Revenues:

Taxes
Licenses and permits
Intergovernmental
Charges for services
Use of money and property
Contributions
Developer participation
Miscellaneous

Total Revenues
Expenditures:
Current:
General government
Public safety - police
Public safety - fire protection
Community development
Community development
Community services
Engineering and public works
Capital outlay

## Total Expenditures

Excess (Deficiency) of Revenues
Over (Under) Expenditures
Other Financing Sources (Uses):
Transfers in
Transfers out
Total Other Financing Sources (Uses)

Net Change in Fund Balances

Fund Balances, Beginning of Year
Fund Balances, End of Year

| Special Revenue Funds |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Litter Reduction Grant | Energy Efficiency and Conservation Block Grant |  | SAFETEA-LU <br> Grant |  | Underground Utilities |  |
| \$ | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| 43,482 |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| $(2,367)$ |  | - |  | $(16,050)$ |  | $(401,320)$ |
| - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| - |  | - |  | - |  | 24,832 |
| - |  | 96 |  | - |  | - |
| 41,115 |  | 96 |  | $(16,050)$ |  | $(376,488)$ |

Total Other Financing Sources
und Balances, End of Year


COMBINING STATEMENTS OF REVENUES,
EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES
NONMAJOR GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2022
(CONTINUED)

|  | Special Revenue Funds |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Citywide Infrastructure Improvement |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Proposition } \\ \text { 1B } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | Integrated Waste Management |  | SB1-TCEP |  |
| Revenues: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Taxes | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 1,819,593 | \$ | - |
| Licenses and permits |  | - |  | - |  | 49,800 |  | - |
| Intergovernmental |  | 197,413 |  |  |  | - |  | 7,482,725 |
| Charges for services |  | - |  | - |  | - |  |  |
| Use of money and property |  | $(890,848)$ |  | $(9,468)$ |  | $(154,501)$ |  | - |
| Contributions |  | - |  | - |  | - |  |  |
| Developer participation |  | - |  | - |  | - ${ }^{-}$ |  | - |
| Miscellaneous |  | - |  | - |  | 128,093 |  | - |
| Total Revenues |  | $(693,435)$ |  | $(9,468)$ |  | 1,842,985 |  | 7,482,725 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Expenditures: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General government |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 650 |
| Public safety - police |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |
| Public safety - fire protection |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Community development |  | - |  | 200 |  | - |  |  |
| Community services |  | - |  | - |  | - |  |  |
| Engineering and public works |  | 43,638 |  | - |  | 1,360,917 |  | - |
| Capital outlay |  | 255,706 |  | - |  | - |  | 7,482,075 |
| Total Expenditures |  | 299,344 |  | 200 |  | 1,360,917 |  | 7,482,725 |
| Excess (Deficiency) of Revenues Over (Under) Expenditures |  | $(992,779)$ |  | (9,668) |  | 482,068 |  | - |
| Other Financing Sources (Uses): |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Transfers in |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Transfers out |  | - |  | - |  | $(218,390)$ |  | - |
| Total Other Financing Sources (Uses) |  | - |  | - |  | $(218,390)$ |  | - |
| Net Change in Fund Balances |  | $(992,779)$ |  | $(9,668)$ |  | 263,678 |  | - |
| Fund Balances, Beginning of Year |  | 26,034,677 |  | 301,015 |  | 4,409,703 |  | - |
| Fund Balances, End of Year | \$ | 25,041,898 | \$ | 291,347 | \$ | 4,673,381 | \$ | - |

## COMBINING STATEMENTS OF REVENUES,

EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES
NONMAJOR GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2022

|  | Special Revenue Funds |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Public Art <br> Trust Fund |  | State Grants Fund |  | AD 91-2 RedemptionDay Canyon |  | PD 85 <br> Maintenance |  |
| Revenues: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Taxes | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 25,881 | \$ | 1,163,122 |
| Licenses and permits |  | - |  | 70, ${ }^{-}$ |  | - |  |  |
| Intergovernmental |  | - |  | 70,631 |  | - |  | - |
| Charges for services |  | - |  | - |  |  |  | 34,998 |
| Use of money and property |  | $(19,665)$ |  | $(8,108)$ |  | $(2,768)$ |  | 39,616 |
| Contributions |  | - |  | - |  | - |  |  |
| Developer participation |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Miscellaneous |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 128 |
| Total Revenues |  | $(19,665)$ |  | 62,523 |  | 23,113 |  | 1,237,864 |
| Expenditures: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Current: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General government |  | - |  | 12,154 |  | 21,171 |  | - |
| Public safety - police |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Public safety - fire protection |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Community development |  | 4,163 |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Community services |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Engineering and public works |  | - |  | - ${ }^{-}$ |  | - |  | 1,076,812 |
| Capital outlay |  | - |  | 58,477 |  | - |  | 114,508 |
| Total Expenditures |  | 4,163 |  | 70,631 |  | 21,171 |  | 1,191,320 |
| Excess (Deficiency) of Revenues Over (Under) Expenditures |  | $(23,828)$ |  | $(8,108)$ |  | 1,942 |  | 46,544 |
| Other Financing Sources (Uses): |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Transfers in |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 94,309 |
| Transfers out |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Total Other Financing Sources (Uses) |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 94,309 |
| Net Change in Fund Balances |  | $(23,828)$ |  | $(8,108)$ |  | 1,942 |  | 140,853 |
| Fund Balances, Beginning of Year |  | 582,795 |  | - |  | 78,873 |  | 3,191,388 |
| Fund Balances, End of Year | \$ | 558,967 | \$ | $(8,108)$ | \$ | 80,815 | \$ | 3,332,241 |

COMBINING STATEMENTS OF REVENUES,
EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES
NONMAJOR GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2022

|  | Special Revenue Funds |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | CFD 2000-03 <br> Park <br> Maintenance |  | CFD 2017-01 <br> No. Etiwanda |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { CFD 2018-01 } \\ \text { Empire Lakes } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | Enhanced Infrastructure Financing District |  |
| Revenues: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Taxes | \$ | 549,209 | \$ | 3,015 | \$ | 216,023 | \$ |  |
| Licenses and permits |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intergovernmental |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Charges for services |  | 40 |  |  |  | - |  |  |
| Use of money and property |  | $(19,315)$ |  |  |  | $(7,191)$ |  | (53) |
| Contributions |  | - |  |  |  | - |  | - |
| Developer participation |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |
| Miscellaneous |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Total Revenues |  | 529,934 |  | 3,015 |  | 208,832 |  | (53) |
| Expenditures: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Current: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General government |  | 478,016 |  | 1,220 |  | 13,252 |  | 236,535 |
| Public safety - police |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Public safety - fire protection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Community development |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |
| Community services |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |
| Engineering and public works |  | - |  |  |  | - |  |  |
| Capital outlay |  | 72,261 |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Total Expenditures |  | 550,277 |  | 1,220 |  | 13,252 |  | 236,535 |
| Excess (Deficiency) of Revenues |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Over (Under) Expenditures |  | $(20,343)$ |  | 1,795 |  | 195,580 |  | $(236,588)$ |
| Other Financing Sources (Uses): |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Transfers in |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Transfers out |  | - |  | - |  | $(83,310)$ |  | $(5,210)$ |
| Total Other Financing Sources (Uses) |  | - |  | - |  | $(83,310)$ |  | $(5,210)$ |
| Net Change in Fund Balances |  | $(20,343)$ |  | 1,795 |  | 112,270 |  | $(241,798)$ |
| Fund Balances, Beginning of Year |  | 508,727 |  | 1,796 |  | 77,911 |  | - |
| Fund Balances, End of Year | \$ | 488,384 | \$ | 3,591 | \$ | 190,181 | \$ | $(241,798)$ |

COMBINING STATEMENTS OF REVENUES,
EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES
NONMAJOR GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2022

|  | Capital <br> Projects Fund |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Capital jects Fund | Total Nonmajor Governmental Funds |  |
| Revenues: |  |  |  |  |
| Taxes | \$ | - | \$ | 20,575,458 |
| Licenses and permits |  | - |  | 129,772 |
| Intergovernmental |  | - |  | 23,163,125 |
| Charges for services |  | - |  | 126,455 |
| Use of money and property |  | $(283,094)$ |  | $(3,981,345)$ |
| Contributions |  | - |  | 1,641,149 |
| Developer participation |  | - |  | 24,832 |
| Miscellaneous |  | - |  | 1,150,677 |
| Total Revenues |  | $(283,094)$ |  | 42,830,123 |
| Expenditures: |  |  |  |  |
| Current: |  |  |  |  |
| General government |  | 3,130 |  | 1,676,979 |
| Public safety - police |  | - |  | 213,552 |
| Public safety - fire protection |  | - |  | 28,018 |
| Community development |  | 2,630 |  | 13,094,690 |
| Community services |  | - |  | 4,007,887 |
| Engineering and public works |  | - |  | 6,206,405 |
| Capital outlay |  | - |  | 15,715,635 |
| Total Expenditures |  | 5,760 |  | 40,943,166 |
| Excess (Deficiency) of Revenues |  |  |  |  |
| Other Financing Sources (Uses): |  |  |  |  |
| Transfers in |  | - |  | 465,454 |
| Transfers out |  | - |  | $(759,357)$ |
| Total Other Financing Sources (Uses) |  | - |  | $(293,903)$ |
| Net Change in Fund Balances |  | $(288,854)$ |  | 1,593,054 |
| Fund Balances, Beginning of Year |  | 7,422,266 |  | 113,957,217 |
| Fund Balances, End of Year | \$ | 7,133,412 | \$ | 115,550,271 |

BUDGETARY COMPARISON SCHEDULE (BUDGETARY BASIS)
GAS TAX
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2022

|  | Budget Amounts |  | Actual Amounts | Variance with Final Budget Positive (Negative) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Original | Final |  |  |
| Budgetary Fund Balance, July 1 | \$ 16,888,603 | \$ 16,888,603 | \$ 16,888,603 | \$ |
| Resources (Inflows): |  |  |  |  |
| Intergovernmental | 8,071,100 | 8,198,140 | 7,666,916 | $(531,224)$ |
| Use of money and property | 172,870 | 168,690 | $(598,603)$ | $(767,293)$ |
| Amounts Available for Appropriations | 25,132,573 | 25,255,433 | 23,956,916 | $(1,298,517)$ |
| Charges to Appropriation (Outflow): |  |  |  |  |
| Engineering and public works | 2,380,160 | 2,246,770 | 2,205,120 | 41,650 |
| Capital outlay | 9,159,960 | 10,147,050 | 8,597,649 | 1,549,401 |
| Total Charges to Appropriations | 11,540,120 | 12,393,820 | 10,802,769 | 1,591,051 |
| Budgetary Fund Balance, June 30 (Budgetary Basis) | \$ 13,592,453 | \$ 12,861,613 | 13,154,147 | \$ 292,534 |
| Encumbrances |  |  | 3,179,512 |  |
| Budgetary Fund Balance, June 30 (GAAP Basis) |  |  | \$ 16,333,659 |  |

BUDGETARY COMPARISON SCHEDULE (BUDGETARY BASIS)
RECREATION
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2022

Budgetary Fund Balance, July 1
Resources (Inflows):
Use of money and property
Amounts Available for Appropriations
Budgetary Fund Balance, June 30 (Budgetary Basis)
Encumbrances
Budgetary Fund Balance, June 30 (GAAP Basis)

| Budget Amounts |  |  |  | Actual Amounts |  | Variance with Final Budget Positive (Negative) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Original |  | Final |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$ | $(5,279)$ | \$ | $(5,279)$ | \$ | $(5,279)$ | \$ |  |
|  | - |  | 10 |  | (468) |  | (478) |
|  | $(5,279)$ |  | $(5,269)$ |  | $(5,747)$ |  | (478) |
| \$ | $(5,279)$ | \$ | $(5,269)$ |  | $(5,747)$ | \$ | (478) |

Budgetary Fund Balance, July 1
Resources (Inflows):
Use of money and property
Amounts Available for Appropriations
Charges to Appropriation (Outflow):
Engineering and public works
Capital outlay
Total Charges to Appropriations
Budgetary Fund Balance, June 30 (Budgetary Basis)
Encumbrances
Budgetary Fund Balance, June 30 (GAAP Basis)

| Budget Amounts |  |  |  | Actual Amounts |  | Variance with Final Budget Positive (Negative) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Original |  | Final |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$ | 597,252 | \$ | 597,252 | \$ | 597,252 | \$ | - |
|  | 7,060 |  | 5,610 |  | $(20,455)$ |  | $(26,065)$ |
|  | 604,312 |  | 602,862 |  | 576,797 |  | $(26,065)$ |
|  | 790 |  | 790 |  | 790 |  | - |
|  | 15,000 |  | - |  | - |  | - |
|  | 15,790 |  | 790 |  | 790 |  | - |
| \$ | 588,522 | \$ | 602,072 |  | 576,007 | \$ | $(26,065)$ |


| Budgetary Fund Balance, July 1 | \$ 21,782,848 | \$ | 21,782,848 | \$ 21,782,848 | \$ | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Resources (Inflows): |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Taxes | 11,412,080 |  | 11,412,080 | 11,472,065 |  | 59,985 |
| Licenses and permits | 60,000 |  | 60,000 | 79,972 |  | 19,972 |
| Charges for services | 26,130 |  | 26,130 | 40,298 |  | 14,168 |
| Use of money and property | 327,600 |  | 287,390 | $(637,362)$ |  | $(924,752)$ |
| Miscellaneous | 300 |  | 300 | 294 |  | (6) |
| Transfers in | $(414,350)$ |  | 419,400 | 371,145 |  | $(48,255)$ |
| Amounts Available for Appropriations | 33,194,608 |  | 33,988,148 | 33,109,260 |  | $(878,888)$ |
| Charges to Appropriation (Outflow): |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Community development | 12,241,820 |  | 12,084,490 | 10,819,621 |  | 1,264,869 |
| Capital outlay | 2,232,870 |  | 1,474,210 | 1,192,689 |  | 281,521 |
| Total Charges to Appropriations | 14,474,690 |  | 13,558,700 | 12,012,310 |  | 1,546,390 |
| Budgetary Fund Balance, June 30 (Budgetary Basis) | \$ 18,719,918 | \$ | 20,429,448 | 21,096,950 | \$ | 667,502 |
| Encumbrances |  |  |  | 193,982 |  |  |
| Budgetary Fund Balance, June 30 (GAAP Basis) |  |  |  | \$ 21,290,932 |  |  |

BUDGETARY COMPARISON SCHEDULE (BUDGETARY BASIS)
PEDESTRIAN GRANT
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2022

Budgetary Fund Balance, July 1
Resources (Inflows):
Intergovernmental
Amounts Available for Appropriations
Charges to Appropriation (Outflow):
Capital outlay
Total Charges to Appropriations
Budgetary Fund Balance, June 30 (Budgetary Basis)
Encumbrances
Budgetary Fund Balance, June 30 (GAAP Basis)

| Budget Amounts |  |  |  | Actual Amounts |  | Variance with Final Budget Positive (Negative) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Original |  | Final |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$ | $(9,237)$ | \$ | $(9,237)$ | \$ | $(9,237)$ | \$ | - |
|  | 398,550 |  | - |  | - |  | - |
|  | 389,313 |  | $(9,237)$ |  | $(9,237)$ |  | - |
|  | 398,550 |  | - |  | - |  | - |
|  | 398,550 |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| \$ | $(9,237)$ | \$ | $(9,237)$ |  | $(9,237)$ | \$ | - |


|  | - |
| ---: | ---: |
| $\$$ | $(9,237)$ |

BUDGETARY COMPARISON SCHEDULE (BUDGETARY BASIS)
COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2022

Budgetary Fund Balance, July 1
Resources (Inflows):
Intergovernmental
Use of money and property
Miscellaneous
Amounts Available for Appropriations
Charges to Appropriation (Outflow):
Community development
Total Charges to Appropriations
Budgetary Fund Balance, June 30 (Budgetary Basis)
Encumbrances
Budgetary Fund Balance, June 30 (GAAP Basis)

Variance with Final Budget Positive

| Budget Amounts |  | Actual Amounts | Positive (Negative) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Original | Final |  |  |
| \$ 1,362,602 | \$ 1,362,602 | \$ 1,362,602 | \$ - |
| 1,688,390 | 3,511,310 | 2,260,694 | $(1,250,616)$ |
| - |  | $(11,811)$ | $(11,811)$ |
| 150,000 | 75,000 | 34,764 | $(40,236)$ |
| 3,200,992 | 4,948,912 | 3,646,249 | $(1,302,663)$ |


| 1,838,390 | 3,586,310 | 3,486,890 | 99,420 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1,838,390 | 3,586,310 | 3,486,890 | 99,420 |
| \$ 1,362,602 | \$ 1,362,602 | 159,359 | \$ (1,203,243) |

BUDGETARY COMPARISON SCHEDULE (BUDGETARY BASIS)
ASSESSMENT ADMINISTRATION
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2022

Budgetary Fund Balance, July 1
Resources (Inflows):
Use of money and property
Miscellaneous
Amounts Available for Appropriations
Charges to Appropriation (Outflow):
General government
Total Charges to Appropriations
Budgetary Fund Balance, June 30 (Budgetary Basis)
Encumbrances
Budgetary Fund Balance, June 30 (GAAP Basis)

| Budget Amounts |  |  | Actual Amounts | Final Budget Positive (Negative) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Original |  | Final |  |  |  |
| \$ 833,442 | \$ | 833,442 | 833,442 | \$ |  |
| 8,220 |  | 6,720 | $(31,490)$ |  | $(38,210)$ |
| 960,180 |  | 960,180 | 960,180 |  | - |
| 1,801,842 |  | 1,800,342 | 1,762,132 |  | $(38,210)$ |
| 1,064,140 |  | 1,065,270 | 892,879 |  | 172,391 |
| 1,064,140 |  | 1,065,270 | 892,879 |  | 172,391 |
| \$ 737,702 | \$ | 735,072 | 869,253 | \$ | 134,181 |

[^0]BUDGETARY COMPARISON SCHEDULE (BUDGETARY BASIS)
AIR QUALITY IMPROVEMENT
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2022

Budgetary Fund Balance, July 1
Resources (Inflows):
Intergovernmental
Use of money and property
Amounts Available for Appropriations
Charges to Appropriation (Outflow):
General government
Capital outlay
Total Charges to Appropriations
Budgetary Fund Balance, June 30 (Budgetary Basis)

Encumbrances
Budgetary Fund Balance, June 30 (GAAP Basis)

| Variance with <br> Final Budget <br> Positive <br> (Negative) |  |
| :---: | ---: |
| $\$ 3$ | - |
|  | $(3,841)$ <br> $(22,264)$ |
|  | $(26,105)$ |
|  | 1,148 |
|  | 18,701 |
|  | $\mathbf{1 9 , 8 4 9}$ |
| $\$$ | $(6,256)$ |

71,610
\$ 538,672

Budgetary Fund Balance, July 1
Resources (Inflows):
Use of money and property
Amounts Available for Appropriations
Charges to Appropriation (Outflow):
General government
Transfers out
Total Charges to Appropriations
Budgetary Fund Balance, June 30 (Budgetary Basis)
Encumbrances
Budgetary Fund Balance, June 30 (GAAP Basis)

| Budget Amounts |  |  | Actual Amounts |  | Variance with Final Budget Positive (Negative) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Original |  | Final |  |  |  |  |
| \$ 312,241 | \$ | 312,241 | \$ | 312,241 | \$ |  |
| 180 |  | 1,970 |  | 46 |  | $(1,924)$ |
| 312,421 |  | 314,211 |  | 312,287 |  | $(1,924)$ |
| 180 |  | 180 |  | 180 |  | - |
| - |  | 312,900 |  | 312,107 |  | 793 |
| 180 |  | 313,080 |  | 312,287 |  | 793 |
| \$ 312,241 | \$ | 1,131 |  | - | \$ | $(1,131)$ |


|  | - |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\$$ | - |

BUDGETARY COMPARISON SCHEDULE (BUDGETARY BASIS)
MEASURE I
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2022

Budgetary Fund Balance, July 1
Resources (Inflows):
Intergovernmental
Use of money and property
Amounts Available for Appropriations
Charges to Appropriation (Outflow):
Engineering and public works
Capital outlay
Total Charges to Appropriations
Budgetary Fund Balance, June 30 (Budgetary Basis)
Encumbrances
Budgetary Fund Balance, June 30 (GAAP Basis)

| Variance with <br> Final Budget <br> Positive <br> (Negative) |
| ---: |
| $\$ \$$ |

395,533
\$ 6,652,608

BUDGETARY COMPARISON SCHEDULE (BUDGETARY BASIS)
LIBRARY SERVICES
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2022

| Budgetary Fund Balance, July 1 | \$ 10,526,597 | \$ 10,526,597 | \$ 10,526,597 | \$ | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Resources (Inflows): |  |  |  |  |  |
| Taxes | 5,167,540 | 5,355,950 | 5,326,550 |  | $(29,400)$ |
| Intergovernmental | 18,000 | 2,219,950 | 28,519 |  | $(2,191,431)$ |
| Charges for services | 84,480 | 55,580 | 51,119 |  | $(4,461)$ |
| Use of money and property | 98,200 | 89,160 | $(573,710)$ |  | $(662,870)$ |
| Contributions | 573,080 | 570,030 | 1,641,149 |  | 1,071,119 |
| Miscellaneous | 57,500 | 74,400 | 27,122 |  | $(47,278)$ |
| Amounts Available for Appropriations | 16,525,397 | 18,891,667 | 17,027,346 |  | $(1,864,321)$ |
| Charges to Appropriation (Outflow): |  |  |  |  |  |
| Community services | 5,055,490 | 4,570,350 | 3,943,369 |  | 626,981 |
| Capital outlay | 1,275,000 | 663,920 | 359,185 |  | 304,735 |
| Transfers out | - | 140,340 | 140,340 |  | - |
| Total Charges to Appropriations | 6,330,490 | 5,374,610 | 4,442,894 |  | 931,716 |
| Budgetary Fund Balance, June 30 (Budgetary Basis) | \$ 10,194,907 | \$ 13,517,057 | 12,584,452 | \$ | $(932,605)$ |
| Encumbrances |  |  | 93,507 |  |  |
| Budgetary Fund Balance, June 30 (GAAP Basis) |  |  | \$ 12,677,959 |  |  |

## CITY OF RANCHO CUCAMONGA

BUDGETARY COMPARISON SCHEDULE (BUDGETARY BASIS)
PUBLIC SAFETY GRANTS
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2022

| Budgetary Fund Balance, July 1 | \$ 1,093,780 | \$ | 1,093,780 | \$ 1,093,780 | \$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Resources (Inflows): |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intergovernmental | 550,180 |  | 514,080 | 511,262 |  | $(2,818)$ |
| Use of money and property | 910 |  | 1,020 | $(57,470)$ |  | $(58,490)$ |
| Amounts Available for Appropriations | 1,644,870 |  | 1,608,880 | 1,547,572 |  | $(61,308)$ |
| Charges to Appropriation (Outflow): |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Public safety - police | 314,280 |  | 472,710 | 213,552 |  | 259,158 |
| Public safety - fire protection | 26,730 |  | 28,020 | 28,018 |  | 2 |
| Capital outlay | 98,820 |  | 56,220 | 35,782 |  | 20,438 |
| Total Charges to Appropriations | 439,830 |  | 556,950 | 277,352 |  | 279,598 |
| Budgetary Fund Balance, June 30 (Budgetary Basis) | \$ 1,205,040 | \$ | 1,051,930 | 1,270,220 | \$ | 218,290 |
| Encumbrances |  |  |  | 2,813 |  |  |
| Budgetary Fund Balance, June 30 (GAAP Basis) |  |  |  | \$ 1,273,033 |  |  |

BUDGETARY COMPARISON SCHEDULE (BUDGETARY BASIS)
USED OIL RECYCLING
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2022

Budgetary Fund Balance, July 1
Resources (Inflows):
Intergovernmental
Use of money and property
Amounts Available for Appropriations
Charges to Appropriation (Outflow):
Community development
Total Charges to Appropriations
Budgetary Fund Balance, June 30 (Budgetary Basis)
Encumbrances
Budgetary Fund Balance, June 30 (GAAP Basis)

| Budget Amounts |  |  |  | Actual Amounts |  | Final Budget Positive (Negative) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Original |  | Final |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$ | 18,982 | \$ | 18,982 | \$ | 18,982 | \$ |  |
|  | 19,100 |  | 19,100 |  | 23,326 |  | 4,226 |
|  | 440 |  | 160 |  | (869) |  | $(1,029)$ |
|  | 38,522 |  | 38,242 |  | 41,439 |  | 3,197 |
|  | 50,200 |  | 20,020 |  | 16,866 |  | 3,154 |
|  | 50,200 |  | 20,020 |  | 16,866 |  | 3,154 |
| \$ | $(11,678)$ | \$ | 18,222 |  | 24,573 | \$ | 6,351 |

BUDGETARY COMPARISON SCHEDULE (BUDGETARY BASIS)
LIBRARY SERVICES GRANTS
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2022

Budgetary Fund Balance, July 1
Resources (Inflows):
Intergovernmental
Use of money and property
Amounts Available for Appropriations
Charges to Appropriation (Outflow):
Community services
Total Charges to Appropriations
Budgetary Fund Balance, June 30 (Budgetary Basis)
Encumbrances
Budgetary Fund Balance, June 30 (GAAP Basis)
$\left.\begin{array}{rr}\text { Variance with } \\ \text { Final Budget } \\ \text { Positive } \\ \text { (Negative) }\end{array}\right]$

BUDGETARY COMPARISON SCHEDULE (BUDGETARY BASIS)
LITTER REDUCTION GRANT
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2022

Budgetary Fund Balance, July 1
Resources (Inflows):
Intergovernmental
Use of money and property
Amounts Available for Appropriations
Charges to Appropriation (Outflow):
Engineering and public works
Total Charges to Appropriations
Budgetary Fund Balance, June 30 (Budgetary Basis)
Encumbrances
Budgetary Fund Balance, June 30 (GAAP Basis)

| Budget Amounts |  |  |  | Actual Amounts |  | Variance with Final Budget Positive (Negative) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Original |  | Final |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$ | 53,232 | \$ | 53,232 | \$ | 53,232 | \$ | - |
|  | 43,240 |  | 43,240 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 43,482 \\ & (2,367) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 242 \\ (2,367) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
|  | 96,472 |  | 96,472 |  | 94,347 |  | $(2,125)$ |
|  | 59,690 |  | 54,180 |  | 39,041 |  | 15,139 |
|  | 59,690 |  | 54,180 |  | 39,041 |  | 15,139 |
| \$ | 36,782 | \$ | 42,292 |  | 55,306 | \$ | 13,014 |

\$ 55,306

BUDGETARY COMPARISON SCHEDULE (BUDGETARY BASIS)
SAFETEA-LU GRANT
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2022

Budgetary Fund Balance, July 1
Resources (Inflows):
Use of money and property
Amounts Available for Appropriations
Budgetary Fund Balance, June 30 (Budgetary Basis)
Encumbrances
Budgetary Fund Balance, June 30 (GAAP Basis)

| Budget Amounts |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Original |  | Final |  |
| \$ | 484,345 | \$ | 484,345 |
|  | 2,140 |  | 4,560 |
|  | 486,485 |  | 488,905 |
| \$ | 486,485 | \$ | 488,905 |


| Actual Amounts | Positive (Negative) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$ 484,345 | \$ |  |
| $(16,050)$ |  | $(20,610)$ |
| 468,295 |  | $(20,610)$ |
| 468,295 | \$ | $(20,610)$ |

BUDGETARY COMPARISON SCHEDULE (BUDGETARY BASIS)
UNDERGROUND UTILITIES
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2022

Budgetary Fund Balance, July 1
Resources (Inflows):
Use of money and property
Developer participation
Amounts Available for Appropriations
Charges to Appropriation (Outflow):
Engineering and public works
Capital outlay
Total Charges to Appropriations
Budgetary Fund Balance, June 30 (Budgetary Basis)
Encumbrances
Budgetary Fund Balance, June 30 (GAAP Basis)

\$ 11,253,331

Budgetary Fund Balance, July 1
Resources (Inflows):
Intergovernmental
Use of money and property
Developer participation
Amounts Available for Appropriations
Charges to Appropriation (Outflow):
Engineering and public works
Capital outlay
Total Charges to Appropriations
Budgetary Fund Balance, June 30 (Budgetary Basis)
Encumbrances
Budgetary Fund Balance, June 30 (GAAP Basis)

| Budget Amounts |  | Actual Amounts | Positive (Negative) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Original | Final |  |  |
| \$ 26,034,677 | \$ 26,034,677 | \$ 26,034,677 | \$ |
| 900,000 | 75,000 | 197,413 | 122,413 |
| 298,890 | 259,530 | $(890,848)$ | $(1,150,378)$ |
| 2,000 | - | - |  |
| 27,235,567 | 26,369,207 | 25,341,242 | $(1,027,965)$ |
| 43,800 | 78,910 | 47,143 | 31,767 |
| 120,000 | 276,300 | 256,766 | 19,534 |
| 163,800 | 355,210 | 303,909 | 51,301 |
| \$ 27,071,767 | \$ 26,013,997 | 25,037,333 | \$ $(976,664)$ |
|  |  | 4,565 |  |
|  |  | \$ 25,041,898 |  |

BUDGETARY COMPARISON SCHEDULE (BUDGETARY BASIS)
PROPOSITION 1B
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2022

Budgetary Fund Balance, July 1
Resources (Inflows):
Use of money and property
Amounts Available for Appropriations
Charges to Appropriation (Outflow):
Community development
Total Charges to Appropriations
Budgetary Fund Balance, June 30 (Budgetary Basis)
Encumbrances
Budgetary Fund Balance, June 30 (GAAP Basis)

| Variance with <br> Final Budget <br> Positive <br> (Negative) |
| ---: |
| $\$ \quad-$ |
| $(12,118)$ |


|  | 200 |  | 200 | 200 |  | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 200 |  | 200 | 200 |  | - |
| \$ | 303,835 | \$ | 303,465 | 291,347 | \$ | $(12,118)$ |

$\qquad$

BUDGETARY COMPARISON SCHEDULE (BUDGETARY BASIS)
INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2022

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Taxes |  | 1,675,160 |  | 1,675,160 |  | 1,819,593 |  | 144,433 |
| Licenses and permits |  | 40,700 |  | 40,700 |  | 49,800 |  | 9,100 |
| Use of money and property |  | 42,660 |  | 37,410 |  | $(154,501)$ |  | $(191,911)$ |
| Miscellaneous |  | 75,000 |  | 75,000 |  | 128,093 |  | 53,093 |
| Amounts Available for Appropriations |  | 6,243,223 |  | 6,237,973 |  | 6,252,688 |  | 14,715 |
| Charges to Appropriation (Outflow): |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Engineering and public works |  | 1,375,700 |  | 1,402,820 |  | 1,360,917 |  | 41,903 |
| Transfers out |  | 218,390 |  | 218,390 |  | 218,390 |  | - |
| Total Charges to Appropriations |  | 1,594,090 |  | 1,621,210 |  | 1,579,307 |  | 41,903 |
| Budgetary Fund Balance, June 30 (Budgetary Basis) |  | 4,649,133 | \$ | 4,616,763 |  | 4,673,381 | \$ | 56,618 |
| Encumbrances |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |
| Budgetary Fund Balance, June 30 (GAAP Basis) |  |  |  |  |  | 4,673,381 |  |  |

BUDGETARY COMPARISON SCHEDULE (BUDGETARY BASIS)
SB1 - TCEP
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2022

Budgetary Fund Balance, July 1
Resources (Inflows):
Intergovernmental
Amounts Available for Appropriations
Charges to Appropriation (Outflow):
General government
Capital outlay
Total Charges to Appropriations
Budgetary Fund Balance, June 30 (Budgetary Basis)
Encumbrances
Budgetary Fund Balance, June 30 (GAAP Basis)

| Budget Amounts |  | Actual Amounts | Variance with Final Budget Positive (Negative) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Original | Final |  |  |
| \$ | \$ - | \$ - | \$ |
| 12,500,650 | 12,500,650 | 7,482,725 | $(5,017,925)$ |
| 12,500,650 | 12,500,650 | 7,482,725 | $(5,017,925)$ |
| 650 | 650 | 650 | - |
| 12,500,000 | 12,500,000 | 8,798,082 | 3,701,918 |
| 12,500,650 | 12,500,650 | 8,798,732 | 3,701,918 |
| \$ | \$ | $(1,316,007)$ | \$ $(1,316,007)$ |
|  |  | 1,316,007 |  |
|  |  | \$ |  |

BUDGETARY COMPARISON SCHEDULE (BUDGETARY BASIS)
PUBLIC ART TRUST
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2022

Budgetary Fund Balance, July 1
Resources (Inflows):
Use of money and property
Developer participation
Amounts Available for Appropriations
Charges to Appropriation (Outflow):
Community development
Total Charges to Appropriations
Budgetary Fund Balance, June 30 (Budgetary Basis)
Encumbrances
Budgetary Fund Balance, June 30 (GAAP Basis)

| Budget Amounts |  |  |  | Actual Amounts |  | Variance with Final Budget Positive (Negative) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Original |  | Final |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$ | 582,795 | \$ | 582,795 | \$ | 582,795 | \$ | - |
|  | 4,680 |  | 5,480 |  | $(19,665)$ |  | $(25,145)$ |
|  | 100,000 |  | 50,000 |  | - |  | $(50,000)$ |
|  | 687,475 |  | 638,275 |  | 563,130 |  | $(75,145)$ |
|  | 45,040 |  | 47,540 |  | 17,714 |  | 29,826 |
|  | 45,040 |  | 47,540 |  | 17,714 |  | 29,826 |
| \$ | 642,435 | \$ | 590,735 |  | 545,416 | \$ | $(45,319)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | 13,551 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | \$ | 558,967 |  |  |

## CITY OF RANCHO CUCAMONGA

BUDGETARY COMPARISON SCHEDULE (BUDGETARY BASIS)
STATE GRANT FUNDS
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2022

| Budgetary Fund Balance, July 1 | \$ | - | \$ | \$ | - |  | \$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Resources (Inflows): |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intergovernmental |  |  |  |  | 70,631 |  | $(2,991,859)$ |
| Use of money and property |  | - |  |  | $(8,108)$ |  | $(8,108)$ |
| Amounts Available for Appropriations |  |  |  |  | 62,523 |  | $(2,999,967)$ |
| Charges to Appropriation (Outflow): |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General government |  | - |  |  | 12,154 |  | 363,566 |
| Capital outlay |  |  |  |  | 2,686,761 |  | 9 |
| Total Charges to Appropriations |  |  |  |  | 2,698,915 |  | 363,575 |
| Budgetary Fund Balance, June 30 (Budgetary Basis) | \$ | - | \$ |  | $(2,636,392)$ |  | \$ (2,636,392) |
| Encumbrances |  |  |  |  | 2,628,284 |  |  |
| Budgetary Fund Balance, June 30 (GAAP Basis) |  |  |  |  | $(8,108)$ |  |  |

BUDGETARY COMPARISON SCHEDULE (BUDGETARY BASIS)
AD 91-2 REDEMPTION-DAY CANYON
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2022

Budgetary Fund Balance, July 1
Resources (Inflows):
Taxes
Use of money and property
Amounts Available for Appropriations
Charges to Appropriation (Outflow):
General government
Total Charges to Appropriations
Budgetary Fund Balance, June 30 (Budgetary Basis)
Encumbrances
Budgetary Fund Balance, June 30 (GAAP Basis)

| Budget Amounts |  |  |  | Actual Amounts |  | Positive <br> (Negative) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Original |  | Final |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$ | 78,873 | \$ | 78,873 | \$ | 78,873 | \$ |  |
|  | 26,640 |  | 26,640 |  | 25,881 |  | (759) |
|  | 710 |  | 680 |  | $(2,768)$ |  | $(3,448)$ |
|  | 106,223 |  | 106,193 |  | 101,986 |  | $(4,207)$ |


|  | 26,170 |  | 20,820 | 21,171 |  | (351) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 26,170 |  | 20,820 | 21,171 |  | (351) |
| \$ | 80,053 | \$ | 85,373 | 80,815 | \$ | $(4,558)$ |

\$ 80,815

BUDGETARY COMPARISON SCHEDULE (BUDGETARY BASIS)
PD 85 MAINTENANCE
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2022

| Budgetary Fund Balance, July 1 | \$ | 3,191,388 | \$ | 3,191,388 | \$ 3,191,388 | \$ | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Resources (Inflows): |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Taxes |  | 1,162,090 |  | 1,162,090 | 1,163,122 |  | 1,032 |
| Charges for services |  | 21,590 |  | 21,590 | 34,998 |  | 13,408 |
| Use of money and property |  | 156,000 |  | 129,070 | 39,616 |  | $(89,454)$ |
| Miscellaneous |  | 100 |  | 100 | 128 |  | 28 |
| Transfers in |  | 122,470 |  | 120,480 | 94,309 |  | $(26,171)$ |
| Amounts Available for Appropriations |  | 4,653,638 |  | 4,624,718 | 4,523,561 |  | $(101,157)$ |
| Charges to Appropriation (Outflow): |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Engineering and public works |  | 1,191,360 |  | 1,174,190 | 1,076,812 |  | 97,378 |
| Capital outlay |  | 1,080,000 |  | 530,130 | 163,488 |  | 366,642 |
| Total Charges to Appropriations |  | 2,271,360 |  | 1,704,320 | 1,240,300 |  | 464,020 |
| Budgetary Fund Balance, June 30 (Budgetary Basis) | \$ | 2,382,278 | \$ | 2,920,398 | 3,283,261 | \$ | 362,863 |
| Encumbrances |  |  |  |  | 48,980 |  |  |
| Budgetary Fund Balance, June 30 (GAAP Basis) |  |  |  |  | \$ 3,332,241 |  |  |

BUDGETARY COMPARISON SCHEDULE (BUDGETARY BASIS)
CFD 2000-03 PARK MAINTENANCE
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2022

Budgetary Fund Balance, July 1
Resources (Inflows):
Taxes
Charges for services
Use of money and property
Amounts Available for Appropriations
Charges to Appropriation (Outflow):
General government
Capital outlay
Total Charges to Appropriations
Budgetary Fund Balance, June 30 (Budgetary Basis)
Encumbrances
Budgetary Fund Balance, June 30 (GAAP Basis)

| Variance with Final Budget Positive (Negative) |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| \$ |  |
|  | (971) |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} 40 \\ (22,885) \end{array}$ |
|  | $(23,816)$ |
| 19,404 |  |
|  | 9 |
| 19,413 |  |
| \$ | $(4,403)$ |

BUDGETARY COMPARISON SCHEDULE (BUDGETARY BASIS)
CFD 2017-01 NO. ETIWANDA
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2022

Budgetary Fund Balance, July 1
Resources (Inflows):
Taxes
Amounts Available for Appropriations
Charges to Appropriation (Outflow):
General government
Total Charges to Appropriations
Budgetary Fund Balance, June 30 (Budgetary Basis)
Encumbrances
Budgetary Fund Balance, June 30 (GAAP Basis)

| Budget Amounts |  |  |  | Actual Amounts |  | Variance with Final Budget Positive (Negative) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Original |  | Final |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$ | 1,796 | \$ | 1,796 | \$ | 1,796 | \$ | - |
|  | 3,020 |  | 3,020 |  | 3,015 |  | (5) |
|  | 4,816 |  | 4,816 |  | 4,811 |  | (5) |
|  | 1,220 |  | 1,220 |  | 1,220 |  | - |
|  | 1,220 |  | 1,220 |  | 1,220 |  | - |
| \$ | 3,596 | \$ | 3,596 |  | 3,591 | \$ | (5) |


| - |
| :--- |
| $\$$ |

BUDGETARY COMPARISON SCHEDULE (BUDGETARY BASIS)
CFD 2018-01 EMPIRE LAKES
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2022

Budgetary Fund Balance, July 1
Resources (Inflows):
Taxes
Use of money and property
Amounts Available for Appropriations
Charges to Appropriation (Outflow):
General government
Transfers out
Total Charges to Appropriations
Budgetary Fund Balance, June 30 (Budgetary Basis)
Encumbrances
Budgetary Fund Balance, June 30 (GAAP Basis)

| Budget Amounts |  |  |  | Actual Amounts |  | Variance with Final Budget Positive (Negative) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Original |  | Final |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$ | 77,911 | \$ | 77,911 | \$ | 77,911 | \$ |  |
|  | 216,730 |  | 216,730 |  | 216,023 |  | (707) |
|  | 910 |  | 1,620 |  | $(7,191)$ |  | $(8,811)$ |
|  | 295,551 |  | 296,261 |  | 286,743 |  | $(9,518)$ |
|  | 36,350 |  | 36,850 |  | 13,252 |  | 23,598 |
|  | 83,310 |  | 83,310 |  | 83,310 |  | - |
|  | 119,660 |  | 120,160 |  | 96,562 |  | 23,598 |
| \$ | 175,891 | \$ | 176,101 |  | 190,181 | \$ | 14,080 |

\$ 190,181

Budgetary Fund Balance, July 1
Resources (Inflows):
Use of money and property
Amounts Available for Appropriations
Charges to Appropriation (Outflow):
General government
Transfers out
Total Charges to Appropriations
Budgetary Fund Balance, June 30 (Budgetary Basis)
Encumbrances
Budgetary Fund Balance, June 30 (GAAP Basis)

| Budget Amounts |  |  |  | Actual Amounts |  | Variance with Final Budget Positive (Negative) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Original |  | Final |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
|  | - |  | - |  | (53) |  | (53) |
|  | - |  | - |  | (53) |  | (53) |
|  | - |  | 241,620 |  | 243,722 |  | $(2,102)$ |
|  | - |  | 5,210 |  | 5,210 |  | - |
|  | - |  | 246,830 |  | 248,932 |  | $(2,102)$ |
| \$ | - | \$ | $(246,830)$ |  | $(248,985)$ | \$ | $(2,155)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | 7,187 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | $(241,798)$ |  |  |


|  | Budget Amounts |  |  |  | Actual Amounts |  | Variance with Final Budget Positive (Negative) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Original |  | Final |  |  |  |  |  |
| Budgetary Fund Balance, July 1 | \$ | 7,422,266 | \$ | 7,422,266 | \$ | 7,422,266 | \$ | - |
| Resources (Inflows): |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Use of money and property |  | 92,560 |  | 75,360 |  | $(283,094)$ |  | $(358,454)$ |
| Amounts Available for Appropriations |  | 7,514,826 |  | 7,497,626 |  | 7,139,172 |  | $(358,454)$ |
| Charges to Appropriation (Outflow): |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General government |  | 3,130 |  | 3,130 |  | 3,130 |  |  |
| Community development |  | 2,630 |  | 2,630 |  | 2,630 |  | - |
| Total Charges to Appropriations |  | 5,760 |  | 5,760 |  | 5,760 |  | - |
| Budgetary Fund Balance, June 30 (Budgetary Basis) | \$ | 7,509,066 | \$ | 7,491,866 |  | 7,133,412 | \$ | $(358,454)$ |
| Encumbrances |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |
| Budgetary Fund Balance, June 30 (GAAP Basis) |  |  |  |  | \$ | 7,133,412 |  |  |

## CITY OF RANCHO CUCAMONGA

Internal Service Funds

Internal service funds are used to account for the financing of goods or services provided by one department or agency to other departments or agencies of the government and to other government units, on a cost reimbursement basis.

Equipment and Vehicle Replacement - Established to account for the accumulation of user charges to various City departments and the costs associated with replacing the City's vehicles.

Computer Equipment/Technology Replacement - Established to account for the accumulation of resources and the costs associated with replacing the City's data processing equipment and maintaining current technology.

COMBINING STATEMENT OF NET POSITION
INTERNAL SERVICE FUNDS
JUNE 30, 2022

|  | Equipment and Vehicle Replacement |  | Computer <br> Equipment/ Technology Replacement |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Assets: $\quad$ Replace |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Current: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash and investments | \$ | 2,368,487 | \$ | 7,796,523 | \$ | 10,165,010 |
| Receivables: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Accounts |  | - |  | 29,020 |  | 29,020 |
| Accrued interest |  | 5,093 |  | 9,789 |  | 14,882 |
| Prepaid costs |  | - |  | 226,317 |  | 226,317 |
| Total Current Assets |  | 2,373,580 |  | 8,061,649 |  | 10,435,229 |
| Noncurrent: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Capital assets, not being depreciated/amortized |  | - |  | 63,568 |  | 63,568 |
| Capital assets, net of accumulated depreciation/amortization |  | 2,689,051 |  | 1,421,315 |  | 4,110,366 |
| Total Noncurrent Assets |  | 2,689,051 |  | 1,484,883 |  | 4,173,934 |
| Total Assets |  | 5,062,631 |  | 9,546,532 |  | 14,609,163 |
| Liabilities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Current: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Accounts payable |  | 108,901 |  | 96,128 |  | 205,029 |
| Accrued interest |  | - |  | 7,065 |  | 7,065 |
| Financed purchases |  | - |  | 507,340 |  | 507,340 |
| Total Current Liabilities |  | 108,901 |  | 610,533 |  | 719,434 |
| Total Liabilities |  | 108,901 |  | 610,533 |  | 719,434 |
| Net Position: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net invested in capital assets |  | 2,689,051 |  | 977,543 |  | 3,666,594 |
| Unrestricted |  | 2,264,679 |  | 7,958,456 |  | 10,223,135 |
| Total Net Position | \$ | 4,953,730 | \$ | 8,935,999 | \$ | 13,889,729 |

COMBINING STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENSES
AND CHANGES IN FUND NET POSITION
INTERNAL SERVICE FUNDS
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2022

|  | Equipment and Vehicle Replacement |  | Computer <br> Equipment/ Technology Replacement |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Operating Revenues: Interdepartmental charges | \$ | 1,149,270 | \$ | 752,320 | \$ | 1,901,590 |
| Total Operating Revenues |  | 1,149,270 |  | 752,320 |  | 1,901,590 |
| Operating Expenses: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maintenance and operations |  | 348,444 |  | 177,831 |  | 526,275 |
| Contractual services |  | - |  | 219,719 |  | 219,719 |
| Depreciation expense |  | 775,313 |  | 855,411 |  | 1,630,724 |
| Total Operating Expenses |  | 1,123,757 |  | 1,252,961 |  | 2,376,718 |
| Operating Income (Loss) |  | 25,513 |  | $(500,641)$ |  | $(475,128)$ |
| Nonoperating Revenues (Expenses): |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest revenue |  | $(91,713)$ |  | $(325,242)$ |  | $(416,955)$ |
| Interest expense |  | - |  | $(32,269)$ |  | $(32,269)$ |
| Total Nonoperating |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Revenues (Expenses) |  | $(91,713)$ |  | $(357,511)$ |  | $(449,224)$ |
| Income (Loss) Before Transfers |  | $(66,200)$ |  | $(858,152)$ |  | $(924,352)$ |
| Transfers in |  | 300,000 |  | 5,535,650 |  | 5,835,650 |
| Changes in Net Position |  | 233,800 |  | 4,677,498 |  | 4,911,298 |
| Net Position: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Beginning of Year |  | 4,719,930 |  | 4,258,501 |  | 8,978,431 |
| End of Fiscal Year | \$ | 4,953,730 | \$ | 8,935,999 | \$ | 13,889,729 |


|  | Equipment and Vehicle Replacement |  | Computer Equipment/ Technology Replacement |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cash Flows from Operating Activities: <br> Cash received from interfund services provided Cash paid to suppliers for goods and services | \$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,149,270 \\ (304,580) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | \$ | $\begin{gathered} 752,320 \\ (174,951) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | \$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,901,590 \\ (479,531) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Net Cash Provided (Used) by Operating Activities |  | 844,690 |  | 577,369 |  | 1,422,059 |
| Cash Flows from Non-Capital Financing Activities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash transfers in |  | 300,000 |  | 5,535,650 |  | 5,835,650 |
| Net Cash Provided (Used) by Non-Capital Financing Activities |  | 300,000 |  | 5,535,650 |  | 5,835,650 |
| Cash Flows from Capital and Related Financing Activities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Acquisition and construction of capital assets |  | $(238,604)$ |  | $(128,026)$ |  | $(366,630)$ |
| Principal paid on capital debt |  | - |  | $(488,349)$ |  | $(488,349)$ |
| Interest paid on capital debt |  | - |  | $(38,981)$ |  | $(38,981)$ |
| Net Cash Provided (Used) by Capital and Related Financing Activities |  | $(238,604)$ |  | $(655,356)$ |  | $(893,960)$ |
| Cash Flows from Investing Activities: Interest received |  | $(93,329)$ |  | $(329,074)$ |  | $(422,403)$ |
| Net Cash Provided (Used) by Investing Activities |  | $(93,329)$ |  | $(329,074)$ |  | $(422,403)$ |
| Net Increase (Decrease) in Cash and Cash Equivalents |  | 812,757 |  | 5,128,589 |  | 5,941,346 |
| Cash and Cash Equivalents at Beginning of Year |  | 1,555,730 |  | 2,667,934 |  | 4,223,664 |
| Cash and Cash Equivalents at End of Year | \$ | 2,368,487 | \$ | 7,796,523 | \$ | 10,165,010 |
| Reconciliation of Operating Income to Net Cash Provided (Used) by Operating Activities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Adjustments to Reconcile Operating Income (loss) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net Cash Provided (used) by Operating Activities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Depreciation (Increase) decrease in prepaid expense |  | 775,313 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 855,411 \\ & 140,685 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 1,630,724 \\ 140,685 \end{array}$ |
| Increase (decrease) in accounts payable |  | 43,864 |  | 81,914 |  | 125,778 |
| Total Adjustments |  | 819,177 |  | 1,078,010 |  | 1,897,187 |
| Net Cash Provided (Used) by Operating Activities | \$ | 844,690 | \$ | 577,369 | \$ | 1,422,059 |

## Non-Cash Investing, Capital, and Financing Activities:

There was no non-cash investing, capital and financing activities in the current fiscal year.

## CITY OF RANCHO CUCAMONGA

Custodial Funds

Custodial funds are used to account for assets held by the City as trustee or agent for individuals, private organizations, or other governmental units, and/or other funds.

Community Facilities District 2004-01 Fund - Established to account for the Community Facilities District No. 2004-01 special tax revenues which are restricted repayment of the annual principal and semiannual interest payment on the bonds.

Assessment District 93-1 Masi Commerce Center Fund - Established to account for the Assessment District No. 93-1 assessment revenues which are restricted repayment of the annual principal and semiannual interest payment on the bonds.

Community Facilities District 2000-01 South Etiwanda Fund - Established to account for the Community Facilities District No. 2000-01 special tax revenues which are restricted repayment of the annual principal and semiannual interest payment on the bonds.

Community Facilities District 2000-02 Rancho Cucamonga Corporate Park Fund - Established to account for the Community Facilities District No. 2000-02 special tax revenues which are restricted repayment of the annual principal and semiannual interest payment on the bonds.

Community Facilities District 2000-03 Rancho Summit Fund - Established to account for the Community Facilities District No. 2000-03 special tax revenues which are restricted repayment of the annual principal and semiannual interest payment on the bonds.

Community Facilities District 2001-01 Series A Fund - Established to account for the Community Facilities District No. 2001-01 Series A special tax revenues which are restricted repayment of the annual principal and semiannual interest payment on the bonds.

Community Facilities District 2001-01 Series B Fund - Established to account for the Community Facilities District No. 2001-01 Series B special tax revenues which are restricted repayment of the annual principal and semiannual interest payment on the bonds.

Community Facilities District 2003-01 Series A Fund - Established to account for the Community Facilities District No. 2003-01 Series A special tax revenues which are restricted repayment of the annual principal and semiannual interest payment on the bonds.

Community Facilities District 2003-01 Series B Fund - Established to account for the Community Facilities District No. 2003-01 Series B special tax revenues which are restricted repayment of the annual principal and semiannual interest payment on the bonds.

Community Facilities District 2006-01 Fund - Established to account for the Community Facilities District No. 2006-01 special tax revenues which are restricted repayment of the annual principal and semiannual interest payment on the bonds.

Community Facilities District 2006-02 Fund - Established to account for the Community Facilities District No. 2006-02 special tax revenues which are restricted repayment of the annual principal and semiannual interest payment on the bonds.

COMBINING STATEMENT OF FIDUCIARY NET POSITION
ALL CUSTODIAL FUNDS
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2022

|  | CFD 2004-01 |  | AD 93-1 Masi Commerce Center |  | CFD 2000-01 |  | CFD 2000-02 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Assets: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash and investments | \$ | 2,953,561 | \$ | - | \$ | 82,854 | \$ | 454,713 |
| Receivables: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Accounts |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Taxes |  | 32,310 |  | - |  | 667 |  | 577 |
| Accrued interest |  | 6,794 |  | - |  | 167 |  | 731 |
| Restricted assets: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash and investments with fiscal agents |  | 1,187,701 |  | - |  | 22,758 |  | 208,975 |
| Total Assets |  | 4,180,366 |  | - |  | 106,446 |  | 664,996 |
| Net Position: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Restricted for organizations and other governments |  | 4,180,366 |  | - |  | 106,446 |  | 664,996 |
| Total Net Position | \$ | 4,180,366 | \$ | - | \$ | 106,446 | \$ | 664,996 |

## Assets: <br> Cash and investments <br> Receivables: <br> Accounts <br> Taxes <br> Accrued interest <br> Restricted assets: <br> Cash and investments with fiscal agents <br> Total Assets

Net Position:
Restricted for organizations and other governments
Total Net Position

| CFD 2000-03 |  | CFD 2001-01 <br> Series A |  | CFD 2001-01 <br> Series B |  | CFD 2003-01 Series A |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$ | 517,946 | \$ | 570,371 | \$ | 57,859 | \$ | 996,858 |
|  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
|  | 3,081 |  | 7,145 |  |  |  | 546 |
|  | 960 |  | 10 |  | - |  | 1,732 |
|  | 260,827 |  | 303,647 |  | 29,481 |  | 1,417,397 |
|  | 782,814 |  | 881,173 |  | 87,340 |  | 2,416,533 |
|  | 782,814 |  | 881,173 |  | 87,340 |  | 2,416,533 |
| \$ | 782,814 | \$ | 881,173 | \$ | 87,340 |  | 2,416,533 |

COMBINING STATEMENT OF FIDUCIARY NET POSITION
ALL CUSTODIAL FUNDS
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2022

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { CFD 2003-01 } \\ \text { Series B } \end{gathered}$ |  | CFD 2006-01 |  | CFD 2006-02 |  | Total Custodial Funds |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Assets: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash and investments | \$ | 203,135 | \$ | 330,759 | \$ | 217,144 | \$ | 6,385,200 |
| Receivables: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Accounts |  | - |  | - |  | 2,016 |  | 2,016 |
| Taxes |  | - |  | 1,932 |  | 1,008 |  | 47,266 |
| Accrued interest |  | 397 |  | 731 |  | 470 |  | 11,992 |
| Restricted assets: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash and investments with fiscal agents |  | 132,511 |  | 130,519 |  | 78,313 |  | 3,772,129 |
| Total Assets |  | 336,043 |  | 463,941 |  | 298,951 |  | 10,218,603 |
| Net Position: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Restricted for organizations and other governments |  | 336,043 |  | 463,941 |  | 298,951 |  | 10,218,603 |
| Total Net Position | \$ | 336,043 | \$ | 463,941 | \$ | 298,951 | \$ | 10,218,603 |
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## COMBINING STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN FIDUCIARY NET POSITION

ALL CUSTODIAL FUNDS
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2022

Additions:
Collection of special taxes
Investment earnings:
Net change in fair value of investments
Interest
Total Additions
Deductions:
Administrative expenses
Contractual services
Interest expense
Principal expense
Payments to city
Total Deductions
Net Increase (Decrease) in Fiduciary Net Position
Net Position:
Beginning of fiscal year, as originally reported Restatements
Beginning of fiscal year, as restated
Net Position - End of the Year


| $(23,241,131)$ |  | 289,755 |  | $(137,840)$ |  | (1,664,515) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 244,427 |  | 2,370,537 |
|  | 4,312,192 |  |  |  | 106,587 |  | 706,022 |
| \$ | 4,180,366 | \$ | - | \$ | 106,446 | \$ | 664,996 |

COMBINING STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN FIDUCIARY NET POSITION
ALL CUSTODIAL FUNDS
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2022
(CONTINUED)

|  | CFD 2000-03 |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { CFD 2001-01 } \\ \text { Series A } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { CFD 2001-01 } \\ \text { Series B } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { CFD 2003-01 } \\ \text { Series A } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Additions: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Collection of special taxes | \$ | 564,585 | \$ | 671,477 | \$ | 61,467 | \$ | 1,200,915 |
| Investment earnings: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net change in fair value of investments |  | $(21,240)$ |  | $(23,302)$ |  | $(2,383)$ |  | $(41,056)$ |
| Interest |  | 1,667 |  | 138 |  | 14 |  | 3,324 |
| Total Additions |  | 545,012 |  | 648,313 |  | 59,098 |  | 1,163,183 |
| Deductions: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Administrative expenses |  | 30,930 |  | 57,894 |  | 1,694 |  | 38,650 |
| Contractual services |  | - |  | - |  | - |  |  |
| Interest expense |  | 217,697 |  | 185,115 |  | 17,928 |  | 585,156 |
| Principal expense |  | 298,000 |  | 409,000 |  | 40,000 |  | 525,000 |
| Payments to city |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Total Deductions |  | 546,627 |  | 652,009 |  | 59,622 |  | 1,148,806 |
| Net Increase (Decrease) in Fiduciary Net Position |  | $(1,615)$ |  | $(3,696)$ |  | (524) |  | 14,377 |
| Net Position: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Beginning of fiscal year, as originally reported |  | $(5,020,061)$ |  | $(4,597,385)$ |  | $(443,264)$ |  | $(9,468,698)$ |
| Restatements |  | 5,804,490 |  | 5,482,254 |  | 531,128 |  | 11,870,854 |
| Beginning of fiscal year, as restated |  | 784,429 |  | 884,869 |  | 87,864 |  | 2,402,156 |
| Net Position - End of the Year | \$ | 782,814 | \$ | 881,173 | \$ | 87,340 | \$ | 2,416,533 |

COMBINING STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN FIDUCIARY NET POSITION
ALL CUSTODIAL FUNDS
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2022

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { CFD 2003-01 } \\ \text { Series B } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | CFD 2006-01 |  | CFD 2006-02 |  | Total Custodial Funds |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Additions: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Collection of special taxes | \$ | 205,064 | \$ | 291,253 | \$ | 185,818 | \$ | 6,130,070 |
| Investment earnings: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net change in fair value of investments |  | $(8,168)$ |  | $(13,509)$ |  | $(8,904)$ |  | $(258,888)$ |
| Interest |  | 647 |  | 1,271 |  | 804 |  | 21,112 |
| Total Additions |  | 197,543 |  | 279,015 |  | 177,718 |  | 5,892,294 |
| Deductions: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Administrative expenses |  | 11,700 |  | 20,174 |  | 20,125 |  | 285,525 |
| Contractual services |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 1,158 |
| Interest expense |  | 95,100 |  | 119,599 |  | 71,070 |  | 2,492,812 |
| Principal expense |  | 107,000 |  | 136,000 |  | 82,000 |  | 3,739,000 |
| Payments to city |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 285,537 |
| Total Deductions |  | 213,800 |  | 275,773 |  | 173,195 |  | 6,804,032 |
| Net Increase (Decrease) in Fiduciary Net Position |  | $(16,257)$ |  | 3,242 |  | 4,523 |  | $(911,738)$ |
| Net Position: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Beginning of fiscal year, as originally reported |  | $(1,939,727)$ |  | $(2,698,502)$ |  | $(1,583,469)$ |  | $(50,504,837)$ |
| Restatements |  | 2,292,027 |  | 3,159,201 |  | 1,877,897 |  | 61,635,178 |
| Beginning of fiscal year, as restated |  | 352,300 |  | 460,699 |  | 294,428 |  | 11,130,341 |
| Net Position - End of the Year | \$ | 336,043 | \$ | 463,941 | \$ | 298,951 | \$ | 10,218,603 |

# City of Rancho Cucamonga 

## Annual Comprehensive Financial Report

June 30, 2022

## Statistical Section

Certain schedules recommended for inclusion in Annual Comprehensive Financial Reports of Municipalities by the Government Finance Officers Association have been omitted from this report. The omission of such schedules was made only after careful consideration of the merits of each recommended schedule by City management.
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This part of the City of Rancho Cucamonga's annual comprehensive financial report presents detailed information as a context for understanding what the information in the financial statements, note disclosures, and required supplementary information say about the City's overall financial health.

Contents

## Financial Trends

These schedules contain trend information to help the reader understand how the City's financial performance and well-being have changed over time.

## Revenue Capacity

These schedules contain information to help the reader assess the factors affecting the City's ability to generate its property and sales taxes.

## Debt Capacity

These schedules present information to help the reader assess the affordability of the City's current levels of outstanding debt and the City's ability to issue additional debt in the future.

## Demographic and Economic Information

These schedules offer demographic and economic indicators to help the reader understand the environment within which the City's financial activities take place and to help make comparisons over time and with other governments.

## Operating Information

These schedules contain information about the City's operations and resources to help the reader understand how the City's financial information relates to the services the City provides and the activities it performs.

[^1]CITY OF RANCHO CUCAMONGA Net Position by Component accrual basis of accounting)

|  | Fiscal Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2022 | 2021 | 2020 | 2019 | 2018 | 2017 | 2016 | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 |
| Governmental activities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Investment in capital assets | \$ 799,863,587 | \$ 801,333,979 | \$ 784,815,868 | \$ 786,942,172 | \$ 819,589,002 | \$ 791,849,229 | \$ 738,555,693 | \$ 723,399,215 | \$ 718,539,205 | \$ 687,839,504 |
| Restricted | 464,700,055 | 386,151,633 | 362,202,181 | 347,133,366 | 314,706,032 | 376,102,366 | 343,261,614 | 294,289,084 | 292,367,349 | 340,219,852 |
| Unrestricted | 115,274,716 | 102,102,912 | 92,851,674 | 90,907,741 | 86,031,110 | 58,567,782 | 101,752,275 | 119,693,433 | 164,042,159 | 101,236,260 |
| Total governmental activities net position | \$1,379,838,358 | \$1,289,588,524 | \$ 1,239,869,723 | \$ 1,224,983,279 | \$ 1,220,326,144 | \$ 1,226,519,377 | \$ 1,183,569,582 | \$ 1,137,381,732 | \$ 1,174,948,713 | \$ 1,129,295,616 |
| Business-type activities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Investment in capital assets | \$ 42,752,205 | \$ 39,126,035 | \$ 38,550,464 | \$ 34,506,531 | \$ 33,679,139 | \$ 32,434,369 | \$ 28,183,314 | \$ 25,869,537 | \$ 25,457,466 | \$ 26,158,620 |
| Restricted | 403,327 | 351,851 | 584,719 | 629,390 | 733,900 | 770,383 | 717,336 | 718,571 | 858,497 | 827,164 |
| Unrestricted | 17,256,997 | 18,811,705 | 17,960,938 | 17,007,191 | 15,096,398 | 12,073,232 | 10,145,015 | 10,875,224 | 10,380,836 | 7,668,810 |
| Total business-type activities net position | \$ 60,412,529 | \$ 58,289,591 | \$ 57,096,121 | \$ 52,143,112 | \$ 49,509,437 | \$ 45,277,984 | \$ 39,045,665 | \$ 37,463,332 | \$ 36,696,799 | \$ 34,654,594 |
| Primary government: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Investment in capital assets | \$ 842,615,792 | \$ 840,460,014 | \$ 823,366,332 | \$ 821,448,703 | \$ 853,268,141 | \$ 824,283,598 | \$ 766,739,007 | \$ 749,268,752 | \$ 743,996,671 | \$ 713,998,124 |
| Restricted | 465,103,382 | 386,503,484 | 362,786,900 | 347,762,756 | 315,439,932 | 376,872,749 | 343,978,950 | 295,007,655 | 293,225,846 | 341,047,016 |
| Unrestricted | 132,531,713 | 120,914,617 | 110,812,612 | 107,914,932 | 101,127,508 | 70,641,014 | 111,897,290 | 130,568,657 | 174,422,995 | 108,905,070 |
| Total primary government net position | \$1,440,250,887 | \$1,347,878,115 | \$ 1,296,965,844 | \$ 1,277,126,391 | \$ 1,269,835,581 | \$ 1,271,797,361 | \$ 1,222,615,247 | \$ 1,174,845,064 | \$ 1,211,645,512 | \$ 1,163,950,210 |

## CITY OF RANCHO CUCAMONGA Statement of Activities (Condensed) <br> (accrual basis of accounting)
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| Expenses: |
| :--- |
| Governmental activities: |
| General government |
| Public safety-police |
| Public safety-fire protection |
| Public safety-animal center |
| Community development |
| Community services |
| Engineering and public works |
| Interest on long-term debt |
| Total governmental activities expenses |
| Business-type activities: |
| Municipal Utility |
| Fiber Optic Network |
| Sports Complex |
| REGIS Connect |
| Total business-type activities expenses |
| Total primary government expenses |
| Program revenues: |
| Governmental activities: |
| Charges for services: |
| General government |
| Public safety-police |
| Public safety-fire protection |
| Public safety-animal center |
| Community development |
| Community services |
| Engineering and public works |
| Operating contributions and grants |
| Capital contributions and grants |
| Total governmental activities |
| program revenues |
| Business-type activities: |
| Charges for services: |
| Municipal Utility |
| Fiber Optic Network |
| Sports Complex |
| REGIS Connect |
| Operating contributions and grants |
| Capital contributions and grants |
| Total business-type activities |
| program revenues |
| Total primary govermment |
| program revenues |

## CITY OF RANCHO CUCAMONGA <br> Statement of Activities (Condensed) <br> (accrual basis of accounting)

## Fiscal Year

| Fiscal Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2022 | 2021 | 2020 | 2019 | 2018 | 2017 | 2016 | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 |
| (68,077,619) | (109,959,409) | $(122,201,628)$ | (105,920,361) | $(120,899,697)$ | $(120,709,235)$ | (78,349,879) | $(91,867,802)$ | $(94,664,509)$ | (87,208,594) |
| 2,055,353 | 351,784 | 2,775,493 | 1,256,944 | 1,826,576 | 5,576,249 | 423,724 | 1,383,407 | 1,208,213 | 651,110 |
| $(66,022,266)$ | (109,607,625) | $(119,426,135)$ | (104,663,417) | $(119,073,121)$ | $(115,132,986)$ | (77,926,155) | (90,484,395) | (93,456,296) | $(86,557,484)$ |


| 96,971,793 |  | 91,685,839 |  | 77,021,958 |  | 75,479,699 |  | 72,760,297 |  | 67,294,479 |  | 65,542,841 |  | 63,237,623 |  | 58,911,798 |  | 125,719,032 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2,042 |  | - |  | 3,728 |  | 7,493 |  | 6,008 |  | 4,810 |  | 4,063 |  | 27,642 |  | 55,258 |  | 78,508 |
| 4,423,148 |  | 2,726,555 |  | 3,511,232 |  | 4,054,058 |  | 3,578,006 |  | 3,282,360 |  | 3,055,397 |  | 2,729,270 |  | 2,554,570 |  | 2,056,597 |
| 40,767,359 |  | 34,565,886 |  | 29,480,466 |  | 32,803,372 |  | 31,478,294 |  | 29,288,386 |  | 28,231,405 |  | 28,043,495 |  | 26,277,429 |  | 25,281,021 |
| 9,991,283 |  | 9,229,371 |  | 8,579,436 |  | 8,000,389 |  | 7,997,948 |  | 7,538,415 |  | 7,678,384 |  | 7,753,103 |  | 7,515,229 |  | 7,037,905 |
| 202,321 |  | 128,734 |  | 141,996 |  | 84,803 |  | 93,340 |  | 84,510 |  | 70,457 |  | 73,316 |  | 75,900 |  | 91,710 |
| $(12,450,392)$ |  | 5,956,806 |  | 13,421,654 |  | 19,113,374 |  | 5,781,295 |  | 5,735,054 |  | 7,644,579 |  | 6,691,150 |  | 7,933,441 |  | 2,872,457 |
| 18,419,397 |  | 7,576,654 |  | 6,216,788 |  | 7,764,377 |  | 7,955,074 |  | 6,811,380 |  | 10,570,641 |  | 10,087,638 |  | 8,820,348 |  | 4,550,772 |
| - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 29,851,545 |  |  |
| 1,061,719 |  | - |  | - |  | 57,563 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 100,301 |  |  |
| - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | $(295,169)$ |  | 58,427 |  |  |
| $(1,061,217)$ |  | $(809,757)$ |  | $(1,289,186)$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} (36,406,951) \\ (380,681) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $(676,159)$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 38,257,705 \\ (222,537) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $(534,114)$ |  | $(200,980)$ |  | $(332,830)$ |  | $(236,654)$ |
| 158,327,453 |  | 151,060,088 |  | 137,088,072 |  | 110,577,496 |  | 128,974,103 |  | 158,074,562 |  | 122,263,653 |  | 118,147,088 |  | 141,821,416 |  | 167,451,348 |
| 152,009 |  | 32,500 |  | 140,277 |  | 146,181 |  | 62,195 |  | 127,934 |  | 196,385 |  | 70,278 |  | 103,308 |  | 105,839 |
| $(1,151,503)$ |  | $(11,742)$ |  | 748,053 |  | 849,869 |  | 390,833 |  | 256,370 |  | 375,435 |  | 310,644 |  | 304,113 |  | 156,824 |
| 5,862 |  | 11,171 |  | - - |  | - |  | 601,075 |  | 49,229 |  | 52,675 |  | 17,306 |  | 59,888 |  | 13,866 |
| 1,061,217 |  | 809,757 |  | 1,289,186 |  | 380,681 |  | 676,159 |  | 222,537 |  | 534,114 |  | 200,980 |  | 332,830 |  | 236,654 |
| 67,585 |  | 841,686 |  | 2,177,516 |  | 1,376,731 |  | 1,730,262 |  | 656,070 |  | 1,158,609 |  | 599,208 |  | 800,139 |  | 513,183 |
| 158,395,038 |  | 151,901,774 |  | 139,265,588 |  | 111,954,227 |  | 130,704,365 |  | 158,730,632 |  | 123,422,262 |  | 118,746,296 |  | 142,621,555 |  | 167,964,531 |
| 90,249,834 |  | 41,100,679 |  | 14,886,444 |  | 4,657,135 |  | 8,074,406 |  | 37,365,327 |  | 43,913,774 |  | 26,279,286 |  | 47,156,907 |  | 80,242,754 |
| 2,122,938 |  | 1,193,470 |  | 4,953,009 |  | 2,633,675 |  | 3,556,838 |  | 6,232,319 |  | 1,582,333 |  | 1,982,615 |  | 2,008,352 |  | 1,164,293 |
| \$ 92,372,772 | \$ | 42,294,149 | \$ | 19,839,453 | \$ | 7,290,810 | \$ | 11,631,244 | \$ | 43,597,646 | \$ | 45,496,107 | \$ | 28,261,901 | \$ | 49,165,259 | \$ | 81,407,047 |

Net revenues (expenses):
Total net revenues (expenses)
General revenues and other changes in net assets:
Governmental activities:
Tavernmental activities
Taxeperty taxes
Admissions tax
Transient occupancy taxes
Sales taxes
Motor vehicle in lieu, unrestricted
Contributions from other governments
Gain on sale of capital assets
Gain on sale of capital assets
Extraordinary item
Transfers
Transfers
Total gov
Total governmental activities
Business-type activities:
Admission tax
Use of money and property
Other
Transfers
Total business-type activities
Total primary government
Changes in net position:
Governmental activities
Business-type activities
Total primary government
CITY OF RANCHO CUCAMONGA
Fund Balances of Governmental Funds
Last Ten Fiscal Years

|  | Fiscal Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2022 |  | 2021 |  | 2020 |  | 2019 |  | 2018 |  | 2017 |  | 2016 |  | 2015 |  | 2014 |  | 2013 |  |
| General Fund: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nonspendable | \$ | 23,311,819 | \$ | 13,690,749 | \$ | 14,898,477 | \$ | 15,996,672 | \$ | 16,980,622 | \$ | 6,035,467 | \$ | 6,304,659 | \$ | 4,024,826 | \$ | 14,266,118 | \$ | 14,516,414 |
| Restricted |  | 16,162,437 |  | 9,631,596 |  | 12,720,339 |  | 10,000,336 |  | 6,351,557 |  | 8,152,268 |  | 4,256,949 |  | 3,091,255 |  | 1,527,198 |  | 1,853,526 |
| Committed |  | 101,962,810 |  | 73,280,103 |  | 68,240,058 |  | 72,103,374 |  | 71,335,361 |  | 69,939,616 |  | 75,193,291 |  | 74,310,635 |  | 68,857,871 |  | 66,508,246 |
| Assigned |  | 31,683,170 |  | 30,154,237 |  | 24,978,451 |  | 20,680,463 |  | 20,414,553 |  | 27,837,965 |  | 24,762,041 |  | 24,724,037 |  | 24,541,289 |  | 17,248,317 |
| Total General Fund | \$ | 173,120,236 | \$ | 126,756,685 | \$ | 120,837,325 | \$ | 118,780,845 | \$ | 115,082,093 | \$ | 111,965,316 | \$ | 110,516,940 | \$ | 106,150,753 | \$ | 109,192,476 | \$ | 100,126,503 |
| All other governmental funds: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nonspendable | \$ | 346,301 | \$ | 353,000 | \$ | 210,875 | \$ | 273,968 | \$ | 333,012 | \$ | 152,628 | \$ | 143,987 | \$ | 121,898,056 | \$ | 119,252,242 | \$ | 123,138,331 |
| Restricted |  | 365,313,909 |  | 336,949,180 |  | 322,090,080 |  | 300,217,925 |  | 276,926,931 |  | 295,093,183 |  | 270,307,985 |  | 129,495,612 |  | 109,579,369 |  | 96,921,657 |
| Committed |  | 46,159,904 |  | 45,172,751 |  | 41,474,853 |  | 41,633,706 |  | 40,295,153 |  | 41,954,990 |  | 43,026,277 |  | 41,239,963 |  | 40,846,591 |  | 43,796,727 |
| Assigned |  | 19,663,578 |  | 28,464,821 |  | $20,872,492$ |  | 22,798,449 |  | $15,287,695$ |  | 9,290,502 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 25,606,377 \\ & \hline 1121 \end{aligned}$ |  | 23,778,666 |  | 22,697,507 |  | 20,982,247 |
| Total all other governmental funds | \$ | 426,998,983 | \$ | 406,648,833 | \$ | 379,749,140 | \$ | 359,336,847 | \$ | 325,746,683 | \$ | 346,348,951 | \$ | 337,952,956 | \$ | 315,897,957 | \$ | 291,798,785 | \$ | 284,262,602 |

CITY OF RANCHO CUCAMONGA
Changes in Fund Balances of Governmental Funds
Last Ten Fiscal Years
(modified accrual basis of accounting)
(modified accrual basis of accounting)

Revenues:
Taxes
Licenses and permits
Intergovernmental
Charges for services
Use of money and property
Fines and forfeitures
Contributions
Developer participation
Miscellaneous
Total revenues
Expenditures
Current:
General government
Public safety-police
Public safety-fire protection
Public safety-animal center
Community development
Community services
Engineering and public works
Capital outlay
Debt service:
Principal retirement
Interest and fiscal charges
Total expenditures
Excess (deficiency) of revenues
over (under) expenditures
Other financing sources (uses):
Transfers in
Transfers out
Leases
Proceeds from sale of capital asset
Total other financing sources (uses)
Extraordinary item
Net change in fund balances
Debt service as a percentage of
noncapital expenditures*

$$
\left|\begin{array}{c}
\stackrel{\circ}{N} \\
\stackrel{N}{N} \\
\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\circ} \\
0
\end{array}\right|
$$

CITY OF RANCHO CUCAMONGA

## Assessed Value and Estimated Value of Taxable Property <br> (in thousands of dollars) Last Ten Fiscal Years

Fiscal Year

| 2022 |  | 2021 | CITY OF RANCHO CUCAMONGA <br> Assessed Value and Estimated Value of Taxable Property (in thousands of dollars) Last Ten Fiscal Years |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2020 | 2019 | Fiscal Year |  |
| \$ | 21,273,133 |  | \$ 20,468,775 | \$19,563,158 | \$18,747,242 | \$17,775,114 | \$16,641,454 |
|  | 3,037,908 | 2,927,439 | 2,824,976 | 2,680,215 | 2,559,971 | 2,354,367 |
|  | 4,205,142 | 3,653,660 | 3,406,909 | 3,235,492 | 2,976,956 | 2,802,918 |
|  | 983 | 973 | 954 | 936 | 977 | 957 |
|  | 2,872 | 2,831 | 3,882 | 3,806 | 3,659 | 3,587 |
|  | 45,260 | 51,853 | 46,328 | 45,436 | 45,531 | 43,495 |
|  | 3,807 | 3,768 | 3,694 | 3,686 | 3,552 | 3,483 |
|  | 94,915 | 58,616 | 60,464 | 50,481 | 55,920 | 294,036 |
|  | 38,103 | 34,823 | 35,309 | 32,926 | 32,634 | 44,814 |
|  | 474,478 | 509,897 | 509,018 | 501,443 | 408,907 | 374,179 |
|  | 875 | 875 | 875 | 7,994 | 24,483 | 24,173 |
|  | 1,168,905 | 1,202,802 | 1,186,787 | 1,109,392 | 1,075,088 | 1,154,119 |
|  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| \$ | 30,346,381 | \$ 28,916,312 | \$27,642,354 | \$26,419,049 | \$24,962,792 | \$23,741,582 |
|  | 0.17590\% | 0.17792\% | 0.17794\% | 0.17794\% | 0.17794\% | 0.17795\% |


Notes:
Exempt values are not included in Total.
In 1978, the voters of the State of California passed Proposition 13 which limited taxes to a total maximum rate of $1 \%$ based upon the assessed value of the property being taxed. Each year, the assessed value of
property may be increased by an "inflation factor" (limited to a maximum of $2 \%$ ). With few exceptions, property is only reassessed as a result of new construction activity or at the time it is sold to a new owner. At that point, the property is reassessed based upon the added value of the construction or at the purchase price (market value) or economic value of the property sold. The assessed valuation data shown above represents the only data currently available with respect to the actual market value of taxable property and is subject to the limitations described above

[^2] reader.
Data Source: San Bernardino County Assessor 2012/13-2021/22 Combined Tax Rolls; HdL, Coren \& Cone
\[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\$ 22,718,921 \\
\hline 0.17790 \% \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$
\]

CITY OF RANCHO CUCAMONGA
Direct and Overlapping Property Tax Rates
(Rate per \$100 of taxable value)
Last Ten Fiscal Years


Redevelopment Rate ${ }^{4}$
Total Direct Rate ${ }^{5}$
Notes:
1 In 1978, California voters passed Proposition 13 which set the property tax rate at a $1.00 \%$ fixed amount. This $1.00 \%$ is shared by all taxing agencies for which the subject property resides within.
In addition to the $1.00 \%$ fixed amount, property owners are charged taxes as a percentage of assessed property values for the payment of any voter approved bonds.
${ }^{2}$ Overlapping rates are those of local and county governments that apply to property owners within the City. Not all overlapping rates apply to all property owners
${ }^{3}$ City's share of $1 \%$ Levy is based on the City's share of the general fund tax rate area with the largest net taxable value within the City. The ERAF portion of the City's Levy has been subtracted where known.
${ }^{4}$ Redevelopment Rate is based on the largest RDA tax rate area (TRA) and only includes rate(s) from indebtedness adopted prior to 1989 per California State statute. RDA direct and overlapping rates are applied only to the incremental property values. The approval of ABX1 26 eliminated Redevelopment from the State of California for the Fiscal Year 2012/13 and years thereafter.


[^3]
## CITY OF RANCHO CUCAMONGA

Principal Property Taxpayers
Current Year and Nine Years Ago

| Taxpayer | 2022 |  |  | 2013 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Assessed Value | Percent of Total City Assessed Value |  | Assessed Value | Percent of Total City Assessed Value |
| Rancho Mall LLC | \$ | 352,982,656 | 1.16\% | \$ | - | 0.00\% |
| Homecoming at Terra Vista LLC |  | 305,021,464 | 1.01\% |  | - | 0.00\% |
| Prologis/Catellus |  | 194,109,425 | 0.64\% |  | - | 0.00\% |
| Bridge Point Rancho Cucamonga LLC |  | 193,094,042 | 0.64\% |  | - | 0.00\% |
| Frito Lay Inc |  | 170,797,179 | 0.56\% |  | - | 0.00\% |
| Solamonte Owner LLC |  | 141,755,835 | 0.47\% |  | - | 0.00\% |
| GSIC II Cucamonga Owner |  | 130,179,950 | 0.43\% |  | - | 0.00\% |
| Schlosser Forge Company |  | 125,253,665 | 0.41\% |  | - | 0.00\% |
| Goodman Rancho SPE LLC |  | 119,246,969 | 0.39\% |  | - | 0.00\% |
| EQR-Fanwell 2007 LP |  | 112,417,951 | 0.37\% |  | 88,000,000 | 0.45\% |
| Victoria Gardens Mall LLC |  | - | 0.00\% |  | 239,479,427 | 1.22\% |
| Homecoming I At Terra Vista LLC |  | - | 0.00\% |  | 123,791,701 | 0.63\% |
| T-Napf Meritage Ownership LLC |  | - | 0.00\% |  | 117,364,505 | 0.60\% |
| Catellus Development Corporation |  | - | 0.00\% |  | 101,216,200 | 0.52\% |
| Frito Lay North America Inc |  | - | 0.00\% |  | 96,378,370 | 0.49\% |
| Knickerbocker Properties Inc XLVII |  | - | 0.00\% |  | 83,181,598 | 0.42\% |
| THM ENT LLC |  | - | 0.00\% |  | 79,914,830 | 0.41\% |
| PPF MF 9200 Milliken Avenue LP |  | - | 0.00\% |  | 78,646,980 | 0.40\% |
| WNG Rancho Cucamonga 496 LLC |  | - | 0.00\% |  | 76,092,892 | 0.39\% |
|  | \$ | 1,844,859,136 | $\underline{\underline{6.08}} \%$ | \$ | 1,084,066,503 | $\underline{\underline{5.53}} \%$ |

## CITY OF RANCHO CUCAMONGA

Property Tax Levies and Collections
Last Ten Fiscal Years

| Fiscal Year Ended June 30 | Taxes Levied for the Fiscal Year |  | Collected within the Fiscal Year of Levy |  |  | Collections in Subsequent Years ${ }^{1}$ | Total Collections to Date |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Received Amount | Percent of Levy |  |  | Amount | Percent of Levy |
| 2013 | \$ | 93,235,913 | \$ | 85,131,812 | 91.31\% | N/A | \$ | 85,131,812 | 91.31\% |
| 2014 |  | 95,016,035 |  | 93,063,071 | 97.94\% | N/A |  | 93,063,071 | 97.94\% |
| 2015 |  | 100,428,866 |  | 98,457,115 | 98.04\% | N/A |  | 98,457,115 | 98.04\% |
| 2016 |  | 105,120,614 |  | 103,112,427 | 98.09\% | N/A |  | 103,112,427 | 98.09\% |
| 2017 |  | 108,069,418 |  | 107,991,619 | 99.93\% | N/A |  | 107,991,619 | 99.93\% |
| 2018 |  | 112,950,393 |  | 114,778,741 | 101.62\% | N/A |  | 114,778,741 | 101.62\% |
| 2019 |  | 119,970,594 |  | 122,206,002 | 101.86\% | N/A |  | 122,206,002 | 101.86\% |
| 2020 |  | 126,916,757 |  | 128,333,882 | 101.12\% | N/A |  | 128,333,882 | 101.12\% |
| 2021 |  | 136,728,688 |  | 135,393,834 | 99.02\% | N/A |  | 135,393,834 | 99.02\% |
| 2022 |  | 142,994,120 |  | 143,427,161 | 100.30\% | N/A |  | 143,427,161 | 100.30\% |

Note:
${ }^{1}$ Data provided by the San Bernardino County Auditor-Controller for collection of prior year taxes does not segregate the information by fiscal year. Therefore, the City is not able to provide this information in the above schedule.

Source: San Bernardino County Auditor-Controller/Treasurer/Tax Collector

## CITY OF RANCHO CUCAMONGA

Principal Sales Tax Remitters ${ }^{1}$
Current Year and Nine Years Ago

| 2022 |  | 2013 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Business Name | Business Category | Business Name | Business Category |
| Apple | Electronics/Appliance Stores | Ameron International | Contractors |
| Bass Pro Shops Outdoor World | Sporting Goods/Bike Stores | Apple | Electronics/Appliance Stores |
| Best Buy | Electronics/Appliance Stores | Bass Pro Shops Outdoor World | Sporting Goods/Bike Stores |
| Chevron | Service Stations | Best Buy | Electronics/Appliance Stores |
| Circle K | Service Stations | Chevron | Service Stations |
| Costco | Discount Department Stores | Circle K | Service Stations |
| Home Depot | Building Materials | Circle K 76 | Service Stations |
| Huttig | Building Materials | Costco | Discount Department Stores |
| ICL Performance Products | Drugs/Chemicals | Day Creek Arco | Service Stations |
| Living Spaces Furniture | Home Furnishings | Day Creek Shell | Service Stations |
| Lowes | Building Materials | Haven Mobil | Service Stations |
| Macys | Department Stores | Home Depot | Building Materials |
| Macy's | Department Stores | JC Penney | Department Stores |
| My Goods Market | Service Stations | Living Spaces Furniture | Home Furnishings |
| Parallon Supply Chain Solutions | Medical/Biotech | Lowes | Building Materials |
| Ralphs | Grocery Stores | Macys | Department Stores |
| Schwarz Paper Company | Light Industrial/Printers | Monoprice | Fulfillment Centers |
| Shell | Service Stations | NIC Partners | Electrical Equipment |
| Stater Bros | Grocery Stores | Ralphs | Grocery Stores |
| Stiles Machinery | Heavy Industrial | Ralphs | Grocery Stores |
| Target | Discount Department Stores | Ross | Family Apparel |
| Tesla Motors | New Motor Vehicle Dealers | Sears | Department Stores |
| Total Wine \& More | Convenience Stores/Liquor | Southwire | Energy/Utilities |
| Walmart | Discount Department Stores | Target | Discount Department Stores |
| Walters Wholesale Electric | Plumbing/Electrical Supplies | Walmart | Discount Department Stores |

Notes:
${ }^{1}$ Firms listed alphabetically

## CITY OF RANCHO CUCAMONGA

Ratios of Outstanding Debt by Type
Last Ten Fiscal Years

|  | Governmental Activities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fiscal Year Ended June 30 | General Obligation Bonds |  | Capital <br> Leases | Tax Allocation Bonds ${ }^{1}$ | Loans |  | Total Governmental Activities |  |  |
| 2013 | \$ | - \$ | 2,615,708 | \$ | - \$ |  | - | \$ | 2,615,708 |
| 2014 |  | - | 2,083,890 |  | - |  | - |  | 2,083,890 |
| 2015 |  | - | 1,564,076 |  | - |  | - |  | 1,564,076 |
| 2016 |  | - | 1,034,303 |  | - |  | - |  | 1,034,303 |
| 2017 |  | - | 486,229 |  | - |  | - |  | 486,229 |
| 2018 |  | - | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| 2019 |  | - | 1,919,173 |  | - |  | - |  | 1,919,173 |
| 2020 |  | - | 1,465,999 |  | - |  | - |  | 1,465,999 |
| 2021 |  | - | 995,689 |  | - |  | - |  | 995,689 |
| 2022 |  | - | 507,340 |  | - |  | - |  | 507,340 |

Notes:
Details regarding the City's outstanding debt can be found in the notes to financial statements
${ }^{1}$ This ratio is calculated using personal income and population for the prior calendar year.

## CITY OF RANCHO CUCAMONGA

Ratios of Outstanding Debt by Type (Continued)
Last Ten Fiscal Years

| Business-type Activities |  |  |  | Total <br> Primary <br> Government | Percentage of Personal Income ${ }^{1}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Lease Revenue Bonds | Certificates of Participation | Total Business-type Activities |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Debt } \\ \text { Per } \\ \text { Capita }^{1} \end{gathered}$ |
| \$ | - | \$ - | \$ | \$ 2,615,708 | 0.05\% | 15 |
|  | - | - | - | 2,083,890 | 0.04\% | 12 |
|  | - | - | - | 1,564,076 | 0.03\% | 9 |
|  | - | - | - | 1,034,303 | 0.02\% | 6 |
|  | - | - | - | 486,229 | 0.01\% | 3 |
|  | - | - | - | - | 0.00\% | - |
|  | 13,555,938 | - | 13,555,938 | 15,475,111 | 0.27\% | 86 |
|  | 13,179,158 | - | 13,179,158 | 14,645,157 | 0.24\% | 83 |
|  | 12,687,378 | - | 12,687,378 | 13,683,067 | 0.22\% | 78 |
|  | - | - | - | 507,340 | 0.01\% | 3 |

## CITY OF RANCHO CUCAMONGA

Ratio of General Bonded Debt Outstanding
Last Ten Fiscal Years


Notes:
General bonded debt is debt payable with governmental fund resources and general obligation bonds recorded in enterprise funds (of which, the City has none).
${ }^{1}$ Assessed value has been used because the actual value of taxable property is not readily available in the State of California.
${ }^{2}$ These ratios are calculated using personal income and population for the prior calendar year.

## CITY OF RANCHO CUCAMONGA

Direct and Overlapping Debt
June 30, 2022

| City Net Taxable Assessed Value | \$ 30,346,380,711 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percentage Applicable ${ }^{1}$ |  | Total <br> Debt 6/30/22 |  | City Share of Debt |
| Overlapping Tax and Assessment Debt: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metropolitan Water District | 0.894\% | \$ | 20,175,000 | \$ | 180,365 |
| Chaffey Community College District | 22.385\% |  | 302,930,000 |  | 67,810,881 |
| Chaffey Union High School District | 42.306\% |  | 513,636,737 |  | 217,299,158 |
| Alta Loma School District | 98.879\% |  | 57,397,978 |  | 56,754,547 |
| Central School District | 98.087\% |  | 67,594,835 |  | 66,301,746 |
| Cucamonga School District Community Facilities District No. 97-1 | 100.000\% |  | 3,910,000 |  | 3,910,000 |
| Etiwanda School District | 68.868\% |  | 87,096,760 |  | 59,981,797 |
| Etiwanda School District CFD No. 7 | 25.417\% |  | 7,145,000 |  | 1,816,045 |
| Etiwanda School District CFD No. 8 | 64.507\% |  | 3,960,000 |  | 2,554,477 |
| Etiwanda School District CFD No. 9 | 69.668\% |  | 6,770,000 |  | 4,716,524 |
| Etiwanda School District CFD Nos. 2004-2, 2007-1, 2018-1 | 100.000\% |  | 20,730,000 |  | 20,730,000 |
| Etiwanda School District Rancho Etiwanda Public Facilities |  |  |  |  |  |
| Authority CFD No. 1 | 100.000\% |  | 9,655,000 |  | 9,655,000 |
| Fontana Unified School District | 0.527\% |  | 269,259,841 |  | 1,418,999 |
| Upland Unified School District | 0.118\% |  | 86,283,463 |  | 101,814 |
| City of Rancho Cucamonga CFDs | 100.000\% |  | 57,051,000 |  | 57,051,000 |
| City of Rancho Cucamonga 1915 Act Bonds | 100.000\% |  | 225,000 |  | 225,000 |
| Total overlapping tax and assessment debt |  |  | 1,513,820,614 |  | 570,507,353 |
| Direct and Overlapping General Fund Debt |  |  |  |  |  |
| San Bernardino County General Fund Obligations | 11.399\% |  | 188,035,000 |  | 21,434,110 |
| San Bernardino County Pension Obligation Bonds | 11.399\% |  | 119,835,000 |  | 13,659,992 |
| San Bernardino County Flood Control District General Fund Obligations | 11.399\% |  | 44,780,000 |  | 5,104,472 |
| Chaffey Community College District General Fund Obligations | 22.385\% |  | 27,675,000 |  | 6,195,049 |
| Cucamonga School District Certificates of Participation | 40.230\% |  | 3,426,000 |  | 1,378,280 |
| Fontana Unified School District Certificates of Participation | 0.527\% |  | 20,515,000 |  | 108,114 |
| West Valley Vector Control District Certificates of Participation | 31.075\% |  | 1,866,938 |  | 580,151 |
| Total direct and overlapping general fund debt |  |  | 406,132,938 |  | 48,460,168 |
| Overlapping Tax Increment Debt (Successor Agency) | 100.000\% |  | 224,485,000 |  | 224,485,000 |
| Total overlapping debt |  | \$ | 2,144,438,552 | \$ | 843,452,521 |
| City direct debt |  |  |  |  | 507,340 |
| Total direct and overlapping debt ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |  | \$ | 843,959,861 |

## Notes:

${ }^{1}$ The percentage of overlapping debt applicable to the City is estimated using taxable assessed property value. Applicable percentages were estimated by determining the portion of the overlapping district's assessed value that is within the boundaries of the City divided by the district's total taxable assessed value.
${ }^{2}$ Includes aircraft values. For 2022, the net taxable value per HdL Coren \& Cone (HdL) was utilized in lieu of the Agency Net Valuation provided by the County of San Bernardino Auditor-Controller's database, as HdL's net taxable value includes parcels from the County Assessor's database that were inadvertently excluded by the Auditor-Controller. The City believes that the data from HdL provides a more accurate picture for the financial statement reader.
${ }^{3}$ Excludes tax and revenue anticipation notes, enterprise revenue, mortgage revenue, and non-bonded capital lease obligations. Qualified Zone Academy Bonds are included based on principal due at maturity.

Source: California Municipal Statistics, HdL Coren \& Cone
CITY OF RANCHO CUCAMONGA
Legal Debt Margin Information
Last Ten Fiscal Years


## CITY OF RANCHO CUCAMONGA

Pledged-Revenue Coverage
Last Ten Fiscal Years (In Thousands)

| Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2022 | Tax Allocation Bonds |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Tax Increment ${ }^{1}$ | Debt Service |  |  |
|  |  | Principal | Interest | Coverage |
| 2013 | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| 2014 | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| 2015 | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| 2016 | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| 2017 | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| 2018 | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| 2019 | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| 2020 | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| 2021 | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| 2022 | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |

Note: Details regarding the City's outstanding debt can be found in the notes to financial statements.

[^4]
## CITY OF RANCHO CUCAMONGA

Demographic and Economic Statistics
Last Ten Calendar Years

| Calendar Year | Population <br> (1) | Personal Income (in thousands)(2) |  | Per <br> Capita Personal Income (2) $\qquad$ |  | Unemployment Rate (3) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2012 | 171,058 | \$ | 5,341,115 | \$ | 31,224 | 6.2\% |
| 2013 | 172,299 |  | 5,335,755 |  | 30,968 | 5.4\% |
| 2014 | 174,064 |  | 5,402,772 |  | 31,039 | 6.0\% |
| 2015 | 175,251 |  | 5,365,133 |  | 30,613 | 4.8\% |
| 2016 | 177,324 |  | 5,317,032 |  | 29,984 | 4.2\% |
| 2017 | 176,671 |  | 5,586,992 |  | 31,623 | 3.9\% |
| 2018 | 179,412 |  | 5,767,788 |  | 32,148 | 3.1\% |
| 2019 | 175,522 |  | 5,982,230 |  | 34,082 | 2.9\% |
| 2020 | 175,131 |  | 6,320,248 |  | 36,088 | 7.7\% |
| 2021 | 174,476 |  | 6,672,933 |  | 38,245 | 5.4\% |

Sources: (1) California State Department of Finance
(2) U.S. Census Bureau
(3) California Employment Development Department

## CITY OF RANCHO CUCAMONGA

Principal Employers
Current Year and Nine Years Ago

| Employer | 2022 |  |  | 2013 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number of Employees $_{1}$ | Rank | Percent of Total Employment | Number of Employees $_{1}$ | Rank | Percent of Total Employment |
| Etiwanda School District | 1194 | 1 | 1.23\% | 1058 | 2 | 1.49\% |
| Inland Empire Health Plan | 1180 | 2 | 1.22\% | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Chaffey Community College | 1100 | 3 | 1.13\% | 1229 | 1 | 1.73\% |
| West Valley Detention Center | 1100 | 4 | 1.13\% | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Frito-Lay | 949 | 5 | 0.98\% | 561 | 9 | 0.79\% |
| Alta Loma School District | 800 | 6 | 0.82\% | 670 | 6 | 0.94\% |
| Central School District | 715 | 7 | 0.74\% | 527 | 10 | 0.74\% |
| City of Kancho Cucamonga | 560 | 8 | U.58\% | 841 | 4 | 1.18\% |
| Amphastar Pharmaceutical | 550 | 9 | 0.57\% | 880 | 3 | 1.24\% |
| National Community Renaissance | 500 | 10 | 0.52\% | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Southern California Edison | n/a | n/a | n/a | 800 | 5 | 1.13\% |
| Mercury Casualty | n/a | n/a | n/a | 606 | 7 | 0.85\% |
| West Coast Liquidators | n/a | n/a | n/a | 565 | 8 | 0.80\% |

Note: "Total Employment" as used above represents the total employment of all employers located within City limits.
${ }^{1}$ Includes full-time and part-time employees.
*Only the top ten employers for each year presented have data displayed. If a company did not rank in the top ten employers for both years presented, then one of the two years will state " $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ ".

Source: ESRI, Infogroup, Economic and Community Development Department
CITY OF RANCHO CUCAMONGA
Full－time and Part－time City Employees
Last Ten Fiscal Years
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| ¢¢¢ | 68\＆ | 8ヵ¢ | Lヤを | $\overline{L L E}$ | 99\％ | ¢ $\overline{\text { c }}$ | LL | $\angle 8$ | 6Z1 |
| $6 \downarrow$ | $9 \downarrow$ | てt | で | てt | け | $9 \varepsilon$ | $8 \varepsilon$ | $6 \varepsilon$ | Lع |
| 102 | £6। | カレて | 802 | toz | 261 | 161 | $1 \angle 1$ | 691 | ャ¢ |
| £¢ $\downarrow$ | StL | 6S1 | 991 | GLL | GLL | 081 | くもし | LSL | ャGレ |
| ¢8 | 98 | 98 | $\varepsilon 6$ | 06 | 16 | 88 | 98 | 98 | 98 |
| E10Z | †10Z | Sloz | 9102 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 8 \mathrm{BLOZ} \\ & \text { ןeos! } \end{aligned}$ | 6102 | 0ZOZ | IZOZ | ZZOZ |

[^5]NOTES：
${ }^{1}$ Police services are provided by a contract with the San Bernardino County Sheriff＇s Department which provided 143 sworn and 43 non－sworn employees for 2022.
${ }^{2}$ Includes Fire Protection and Animal Center
${ }^{3}$ Includes Community Services and Library Services

CITY OF RANCHO CUCAMONGA Operating Indicators
by Function
Last Ten Fiscal Years

| Fiscal Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2022 | 2021 | 2020 | 2019 | 2018 | 2017 | 2016 | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 |
| 5,010 | 4,976 | 4,196 | 4,679 | 4,173 | 4,061 | 4,891 | 5,126 | 2,989 | 2,917 |
| 12,291 | 13,422 | 13,136 | 14,236 | 12,897 | 12,718 | 17,118 | 18,326 | 19,451 | 20,076 |
| 3,793 | 3,531 | 3,947 | 4,762 | 3,027 | 4,442 | 4,968 | 4,534 | 4,497 | 4,617 |
| 3,709 | 4,275 | 2,840 | 8,693 | 6,438 | 6,354 | 5,151 | 5,946 | 5,603 | 4,806 |
| 7,164 | 9,308 | 15,050 | 14,082 | 9,742 | 10,881 | 18,056 | 18,935 | 13,569 | 19,349 |
| 18,250 | 16,907 | 16,545 | 15,861 | 16,762 | 15,670 | 15,158 | 14,212 | 13,674 | 13,477 |
| 5,259 | 4,308 | 5,080 | 4,071 | 2,277 | 2,294 | 2,162 | 1,758 | 2,206 | 2,501 |
| 4,881 | 3,419 | 4,866 | 5,784 | 3,060 | 3,701 | 3,423 | 3,284 | 2,030 | 2,606 |
| 788 | 422 | 2,684 | 2,483 | 5,350 | 5,055 | 6,048 | 6,865 | 6,688 | 8,321 |
| 8,085 | 3,577 | 27,763 | 33,511 | 24,278 | 25,912 | 30,648 | 35,477 | 41,807 | 42,196 |
| 318 | 353 | 2,241 | 4,100 | 3,980 | 2,703 | 2,069 | 2,424 | 1,536 | 1,385 |
| 269,027 | 259,622 | 226,580 | 274,993 | 300,462 | 295,619 | 302,689 | 314,390 | 310,896 | 301,939 |
| 479,395 | 326,345 | 754,690 | 987,448 | 898,037 | 985,474 | 1,085,020 | 1,067,070 | 1,069,335 | 1,107,211 |
| 2,503 | 2,126 | 1,744 | 1,247 | 945 | 899 | 896 | 887 | 686 | 510 |
| 22 | 19 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 16 |

General government:
Building permits issued
Building inspections conducted
Police:
Arrests
Parking citations issued
Traffic citations issued
Fire:
Number of emergency calls
Inspections
Public works:
Number of potholes repaired
Narks and recreation:
Number of recreation classes
Number of program registrations
Number of facility rentals
Library:
Volumes in collection
Total volumes borrowed
Municipal utility:
Number of customers
Peak demand (MW)

## Notes:

CITY OF RANCHO CUCAMONGA



[^0]:    \$ 869,253

[^1]:    Sources: Unless otherwise noted, the information in these schedules is derived from the annual comprehensive financial reports for the relevant year.

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ Beginning in 2017, the net taxable value per HdL Coren \& Cone (HdL) was utilized in lieu of the Agency Net Valuation provided by the County of San Bernardino Auditor-Controller's database, as HdL's net taxable value
    includes parcels from the County Assessor's database that were inadvertently excluded by the Auditor-Controller. The City believes that the data from HdL provides a more accurate picture for the financial statement

[^3]:    Data Source: San Bernardino County Assessor 2012/13-2021/22 Tax Rate Table; HdL, Coren \& Cone

[^4]:    ${ }^{1}$ Tax increment figures are net of related pass-through payments.

[^5]:    Function
    General Government
    Public Safety ${ }^{1,2}$
    Engineering and Public Works
    Community Development
    Community Services $^{3}$
    Total

