

**CITY OF RANCHO CUCAMONGA  
COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT PROGRAM  
2013-2014 PROGRAM YEAR  
(JULY 1, 2013 TO JUNE 30, 2014)**

**CONSOLIDATED ANNUAL PERFORMANCE AND EVALUATION REPORT  
SEPTEMBER 15, 2014**

*Prepared by:*  
**Rancho Cucamonga Planning Department  
10500 Civic Center Drive  
Rancho Cucamonga, CA 91730**

Table of Contents

<u>Section</u>	<u>Page</u>
<b>PART I – ANNUAL PERFORMANCE</b>	<b>1</b>
INTRODUCTION	1
RESOURCES AVAILABLE	1
FEDERAL RESOURCES	1
OTHER RESOURCES	3
ANALYSIS OF PLANNED V. ACTUAL EXPENDITURES AND ACTIVITIES	3
HOUSING AFFORDABILITY STRATEGIC PLAN	4
HOMELESS STRATEGIC PLAN	7
NON-HOMELESS SPECIAL NEEDS STRATEGIC PLAN	8
COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT STRATEGIC PLAN	8
HOUSEHOLDS AND HOMELESS PERSONS ASSISTED WITH HOUSING	13
SUMMARY OF AFFORDABLE HOUSING ONE-YEAR AND FIVE- YEAR GOALS	13
HOMELESS ASSISTANCE	16
SUMMARY OF HOMELESS ASSISTANCE FIVE-YEAR GOALS	17
DOCUMENTATION OF ASSISTANCE PROVIDED	17
OTHER SPECIAL NEEDS AND NON-HOUSING COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT	17
OTHER SPECIAL NEEDS	17
NON-HOUSING COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT	18
SUMMARY OF NON-HOUSING COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT FIVE-YEAR GOALS	18
ANTI-POVERTY ASSESSMENT	19
<b>PART II – NARRATIVE STATEMENTS</b>	<b>19</b>
ASSESSMENT OF FIVE-YEAR GOALS AND OBJECTIVES	19
OTHER ACTIONS	21
ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT	21
AFFIRMATIVELY FURTHERING FAIR HOUSING	22
ACTIONS TO FOSTER AND MAINTAIN AFFORDABLE HOUSING	25
ACTIONS TO EVALUATE AND REDUCE LEAD-BASED PAINT HAZARDS	25
ACTIONS TO DEVELOP INSTITUTIONAL STRUCTURE AND ENHANCE COORDINATION	26
PUBLIC HOUSING IMPROVEMENTS	26
PUBLIC POLICIES	26
CONTINUUM OF CARE	26
LEVERAGING RESOURCES	27
CITIZEN PARTICIPATION	27
PUBLIC NOTICE	27
PUBLIC COMMENT	27
SELF-EVALUATION	27
<b>APPENDICES</b>	<b>29</b>
APPENDIX A: PR 03 – CDBG ACTIVITY SUMMARY REPORTS	30
APPENDIX B: PR 06 – SUMMARY OF CONSOLIDATED PLAN PROJECTS FOR REPORT YEAR	51
APPENDIX C: PR 23 – SUMMARY OF ACCOMPLISHMENTS	54
APPENDIX D: PR 26 – CDBG FINANCIAL SUMMARY REPORT	59
APPENDIX E: SECTION 3 SUMMARY REPORT (HUD Form 60002)	64

**List of Tables**

<b><u>Section</u></b>	<b><u>Page</u></b>
Table 1: CDBG Program Allocations .....	1
Table 2: CDBG Program Expenditures.....	2
Table 3: CDBG Program Expenditures as Percentage of Allocation.....	2
Table 4: Resources Budgeted and Received Funds.....	4
Table 5: Units Provided and Households Assisted (One-Year Goals) .....	15
Table 6: HUD CPD Performance Measurement System .....	15
Table 7: Affordable Housing Accomplishments (Five-Year Goals).....	16
Table 8: Homeless Assistance .....	17
Table 9: Non-Housing Community Development Needs .....	19
Table 10: Program Performance .....	20

## **PART I – ANNUAL PERFORMANCE**

### **INTRODUCTION**

This Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report ("CAPER") is a summary of the City's progress toward meeting specified goals and objectives during the reporting period from July 1, 2013, through June 30, 2014. This report contains a summary of the 1-Year accomplishments for activities proposed in the City's 2013-2014 Annual Action Plan.

Beginning on July 1, 1995, the Consolidated Plan replaced the Comprehensive Housing Affordability Strategy as the planning and implementation document for the use of Community Development Block Grant ("CDBG") funds in the City of Rancho Cucamonga. Both documents: 1) identify needs and problems; 2) analyze market conditions and resources; 3) set priorities and adopt strategies for addressing identified needs; 4) allocate resources; and 5) contain an annual plan which identifies federal funds, activities, and goals for the program year.

### **RESOURCES AVAILABLE**

The primary sources of funds available to the City for housing and community development purposes during the fiscal year were federal CDBG funds.

### **FEDERAL RESOURCES**

#### **Community Development Block Grant (CDBG)**

Federal resources available to the City are provided by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development ("HUD") through the CDBG program. The City entitlement allocation for FY 2013-2014 was **\$807,488.00** and the CDBG budget totaled **\$1,102,630.40** (including **\$143,285.58** in contingency funds), of which **\$797,855.35** (83.2%) (total funding minus administration and contingency funds) was available for program activities. Actual program expenditures totaled **\$771,554.82** of which **\$629,791.08** (81.6%) (total expenditures minus administration costs) was expended on program activities.

Funds allocated to the CDBG program include new grant funds, program income, reprogrammed funds, and funds carried over from prior year funding totals **\$1,102,630.40**. The following table identifies how funds were allocated to program activities:

Table 1: CDBG Program Allocations

<b>Program</b>	<b>Amount</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
Planning and Administration	\$161,490.00	14.6%
Capital and Infrastructure Programs	\$209,186.51	19.0%
Housing Related Programs <sup>1</sup>	\$474,278.84	43.0%
Public Service Programs	\$114,390.00	10.4%
Contingency	\$143,285.05	13.0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$1,102,630.40</b>	<b>100.00%</b>

1. Allocation amount includes Program Income.

Funds expended during the program year total **\$771,554.82**. The following table identifies activity expenditures as a percentage of the total program funds spent during the program year:

Table 2: CDBG Program Expenditures

Program	Amount	Percentage
Planning and Administration	\$141,763.74	18.4%
Capital and Infrastructure Programs	\$160,508.64	20.8%
Housing Related Programs	\$357,456.47	46.3%
Public Service Programs	\$111,825.97	14.5%
Contingency	\$0.00	0.0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$771,554.82</b>	<b>100.00%</b>

The CDBG entitlement allocation for the program year totaled **\$771,554.82**. The following table identifies program expenditures as a percentage of the entitlement allocation:

Table 3: CDBG Program Expenditures as Percentage of Allocation

Program	Amount	Percentage
Planning and Administration	\$141,763.74	17.9%
Capital and Infrastructure Programs	\$160,508.64	20.2%
Housing Related Programs	\$357,456.47	45.1%
Public Service Programs	\$111,825.97	14.1%
Contingency	\$0.00	0.0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$771,554.82</b>	

### San Bernardino County Housing Authority

The San Bernardino County Housing Authority serves as the local housing authority for the region. The Agency currently operates 170 Section 8 housing units and 16 public housing units located within the City. Sources of funds available to City residents through the Housing Authority are primarily limited to Section 8, Comprehensive Grant Improvement, and the Public Housing programs.

### County of San Bernardino Department of Community Development and Housing

The County of San Bernardino Department of Community Development and Housing ("CDH") receives Federal HOME Investment Partnerships Program ("HOME") funds to implement the San Bernardino County HOME Consortium, which the City joined in July 1995. In July 1996, the City approved a 3-year extension to the Cooperation Agreement for the period from October 1, 1996, to September 30, 1999. The City then approved a series of subsequent 3-year extensions to the Cooperation Agreement for the periods ending September 30, 2002, September 30, 2005, September 30, 2008, September 30, 2011, and September 30, 2014. On May 28, 2014, the City Council authorized the City Manager to sign the agreement for the City's continued participation in the HOME Consortium; however, unlike previous versions of the Cooperation Agreement, the new HOME Consortium Agreement will allow for automatic renewals of the new 3-year qualification period by letter of approval only, unless the participating city decides to opt-out of the HOME Consortium. The current HOME Cooperation Agreement will run for an additional 3-year period from October 1, 2014, to September 30, 2017.

The County operates three programs through the HOME Consortium that include the HOME Homeownership Assistance Program ("HAP"), the HOME Tenant-Based Rental Assistance ("TBRA") program, and the Affordable Housing Development Loan ("AHDL") program. The County's allocation for the HOME program totals **\$2,208,827.00**, which includes funding for program administration. These

programs are all available to City residents on a first-come first-serve basis through the City's participation in the HOME Consortium.

The HAP, TBRA, and AHDL programs are discussed under specific activities in the Consolidated Plan. The Community Housing Development Organization ("CHDO") program is funded through a mandatory Set-Aside from the HOME Consortium and provides funds for affordable housing that is developed, sponsored, or owned by non-profits that have been certified as CHDO's. The CHDO program functions as a source of funding for a variety of projects including new construction and rehabilitation of residential structures. Funds are not targeted to a specific area or project type within the County; however, recipient income cannot exceed 80% of the County medium family income. HOME funds are available to eligible residents citywide on a first-come first-serve basis through the City's participation in the HOME Consortium.

### **OTHER RESOURCES**

#### *Successor Agency to the City of Rancho Cucamonga Redevelopment Agency*

State law previously required redevelopment agencies to set aside at least 20 percent of tax increment revenue for increasing and improving the community's supply of low- and moderate-income housing. By previous estimates, between July 1, 2008, and June 30, 2013, approximately **\$79.8** million was to be generated by tax increment financing for affordable housing.

On December 29, 2011, the California Supreme Court ruled in the redevelopment litigation (CRA v. Matosantos) upholding AB X1 26, abolishing redevelopment agencies, but also striking down companion legislation that would have allowed agencies to survive if they contribute money to the State.

As part of the Supreme Court's ruling, agencies were dissolved on February 1, 2012. This means that many of the programs and services provided by the Rancho Cucamonga Redevelopment Agency to residents and businesses are no longer available. Once dissolved, redevelopment agencies (through a designated successor agency) will only be able to make payments on existing obligations.

#### *Previous RDA Pledge Agreements*

In July 1996, the RDA approved the sale of tax allocation bonds to provide permanent financing for two community based non-profit organizations, National Community Renaissance ("National CORE") and Northtown Housing Development Corporation ("NHDC"). On September 1, 1996, Tax Allocation Bonds in the amount of \$37.66 million were sold. Proceeds of the bonds will be allocated in the following manner:

- A pledge agreement through the year 2025 was signed with National CORE where \$3.9 million will be provided annually for debt services and project reserves. Beginning year 6, if the provision of Redevelopment Law that allows for the acquisition of existing units with Housing Set-Aside funds has been extended beyond its current sunset, this \$790,000 of the annual pledge will be deposited into a "Future Project Reserves" fund for use in acquiring additional apartment complexes within the Project Area. If a program is not developed, the funds will be available for any RDA housing program.
- A pledge agreement through the year 2025 was signed with NHDC where \$1.5 million was paid to NHDC on June 30, 1996, and again on December 30, 1996. An additional \$5 million in net bond proceeds were also paid to the NHDC. These bond proceeds will be used to complete a portion of the capital improvement plan for the Northtown neighborhood that includes continuing the development of infill housing on vacant lots, acquisition, and rehabilitation of existing absentee-owned housing. The annual pledge amount will be \$1.4 million through the year 2025.

### **ANALYSIS OF PLANNED V. ACTUAL EXPENDITURES AND ACTIVITIES**

#### *Expenditures*

The following table is a comparison of budgeted funds available to the City and the amount of funds

received for expenses related to those programs.

Table 4: Resources Budgeted and Received Funds

Sources	Budgeted	Received
Federal Funds	\$1,102,630.40	\$771,554.82

Actual CDBG funds available are the sums of expenditures, unliquidated obligations, and unobligated balances from the CAPER. Additional information concerning budgeted and actual funds available for specific CDBG funded activities can be found in the Summary of Activities Report and Financial Summary Report, attached to this document.

*Activities*

In this section, the investment of available resources is discussed for each objective. The objectives and programs refer back to the objective numbering system used in the 5-year Consolidated Plan.

**HOUSING AFFORDABILITY STRATEGIC PLAN**

**Objective 1: Conservation Of The Existing Single-Family And Multiple-Family Affordable Housing Stock.**

**Program 1.1: Acquisition of existing multiple-family units to be held for future affordable housing stock.**

**Activity 1.1.1:** This activity includes the acquisition of restricted, and previously unrestricted, at-risk units. National CORE, with pledge assistance from the RDA 20% Set-Aside funds obtained replacement financing for several complexes within the City. National CORE owns and manages the complexes, and the RDA monitors the affordability covenants checking quarterly to ensure units are rented according to the agreement and the occupants comply with established income categories.

**Resources Used:** Bonds previously issued by the RDA, and a loan from California Housing Finance Agency (CHFA), were used to provide long-term replacement financing for four National CORE affordable housing complexes. A 1996-97 RDA tax allocation bond issue provided approximately \$30 million for replacement financing. An annual pledge of \$3.9 million of Agency Housing funds provides bond debt service and project reserve funds.

**Geographic Location:** Activities occur primarily within the Redevelopment target area, however, units may be acquired Community wide.

**Leveraging/Matching:** See the discussion under Resources Used.

**Actual v. Planned:** To date the Housing Finance Authority (previously the RDA) has acquired 1,641 affordable rental units that were funded using federal, state, or local incentives. The RDA's intends to acquire existing unrestricted units and add income restrictions to all or a portion of the units. The RDA has entered into public/private partnerships with various non-profit housing developers (e.g., National CORE and NHDC) to purchase and restrict units as affordable for at least a 30-year period.

No additional units were acquired during the 2013-2014 program year; however, the HFA maintained the affordability covenants on these existing 1,641 units. The continued implementation of this activity is unlikely due to the statewide elimination of RDA's.

**Objective 2: Improve Access To Affordable Housing For All Low- And Moderate-Income Households, With Emphasis On The Production Of Larger (3+ Bedroom) Rental Units.**

**Program 2.1: Construction of affordable (multi-family) units.**

**Activity 2.1.1:** To increase the availability of affordable rental stock, the RDA, in conjunction with local non-profit development agencies, will pursue the new construction of affordable units in its existing target area. Emphasis has been placed on the construction of units available to large families.

**Resources Used:** Private financing, HOME funds, Low-Income Tax Credits.

**Geographic Location:** Activities are focused in the RDA project area, but may occur citywide.

**Leveraging/Matching:** None identified.

**Accomplishments and Time Frame:** No additional units were planned for the 2013-2014 program year. The continued implementation of this activity is unlikely due to the statewide elimination of RDA's.

<p><b>Objective 3: Provide Direct Renter Assistance To Low- And Moderate-Income Households In Order To Obtain Or Retain Permanent Housing.</b></p>
--

**Program 3.1: Support applications by the Housing Authority of the County of San Bernardino for future Section 8 and public housing assistance.**

**Activity 3.1.1:** The City will continue to support the Housing Authority of San Bernardino County ("HACSB") programs for Section 8 Vouchers and public housing assistance. The HACSB currently manages 7,557 Section 8 vouchers for participants renting from private landlords; within the City there are 138 Section 8 units serving 255 people. The HACSB also manages 1,661 public housing units in 21 cities and communities; within the City there are 12 public housing units serving 53 people.

**Resources Used:** Resources used by the Housing Authority come primarily from federal grants and subsidies, and rental income.

**Geographic Location:** Community wide.

**Leveraging/Matching:** The Housing Authority generally does not leverage funds with the exception of the use of rental income and administrative funds. In the past, the Housing Authority has received a minimal amount of state funding.

**Actual v. Planned:** The City will continue to support at least 138 Section 8 applicants and 12 public housing applications, annually.

<p><b>Objective 4: Increase Housing Opportunities For Low- And Moderate-Income Home Ownership, Particularly Through Homebuyer Assistance.</b></p>
---

**Program 4.2: Participate in the HOME Consortium Homeownership Assistance Program (HAP).**

**Activity 4.2.1:** The Homeownership Assistance Program ("HAP") serves households earning 80 percent or less of the AMI. Applicants must have lived or worked in San Bernardino County for at least one year and not currently own their own home, and the acquired property will be the applicant's principle place of residence. The applicant must be able to contribute at least 3 percent of the purchase price, qualify for private first mortgage financing, and make monthly payments on the first mortgage. HAP provides single-family homeownership opportunities through fund closing costs, down payments, and gap financing. HOME assistance is provided under a deferred loan (silent second), secured by a Deed of Trust.

**Resources Used:** HOME funds are available on a first-come first-serve basis through the City's participation in the HOME Consortium, with **\$200,000.00** allocated to this program.

**Geographic Location:** Community wide.

**Leveraging/Matching:** Currently, the City is not tracking matching funds for those funds provided through the City's participation in the County HOME Consortium.

**Actual v. Planned:** This activity is targeted towards income eligible applicants, not by location, so no known units were assisted during the 2013-2014 program year. The City will work with the HOME Consortium to publicize the availability of this program within Rancho Cucamonga.

<b>Objective 5: Rehabilitation Of The Existing Housing Stock With Emphasis On Owner-Occupied Housing.</b>
---

**Program 5.1: Provide moderate rehabilitation of owner occupied, single-family and mobile homes for extremely low-, low-, and moderate-income homeowners.**

**Activity 5.1.1:** The City's CDBG program funds a moderate rehabilitation Home Improvement Program which is available to owner occupied households earning less than 80% of the area median family income. The program offers deferred payment loans of up to \$30,000 and grants of up to \$7,500, including emergency repair grants. The City runs this as a full service program and not only lends the money, but secures contractors, oversees the work, and maintains administration of the grants and loans rather than farming this work out to the bank or homeowner. Although this method requires additional staff time, it provides a high level of customer service and ensures both consistent and efficient results.

**Resources Used:** CDBG funds: **\$357,456.47.**

**Geographic Location:** Community wide.

**Leveraging/Matching:** Federal funds are not matched or leveraged as part of this program.

**Actual v. Planned:** This program exceeded planned expectations as it was anticipated that 40 households would receive assistance; however, **28** households were assisted and rehabilitation completed during the program year. Assistance activity includes **28** emergency grants and **1** loan (one home owner received both a loan and an emergency grant). Of the **28** households assisted, all **28** (100.0%) have incomes below 80% of the area Median Family Income (MFI); this includes **9** (32.1%) Moderate Income (51% to 80% of the area MFI), **15** (53.6%) Low-Income (31% to 50% of the area MFI), and **4** (14.3%) Extremely Low-Income (0 to 30% of the MFI).

**Program 5.3: Participate in the HOME Consortium Affordable Housing Development Loan (ADHL) Program.**

**Activity 5.3.1:** The Affordable Housing Development Loan ("AHDL") program provides financial assistance in the form of gap financing to qualified individuals, for-profit entities, and non-profits for the purpose of new construction, acquisition, and/or rehabilitation of affordable housing. Any rental housing developed with the assistance of HOME funds must benefit very low-income households at 50 percent or less of the AMI and low-income households at 80 percent or less of the AMI.

**Resources Used:** HOME funds are available on a first-come first-serve basis through the City's participation in the County HOME Consortium, with **\$1,787,945.00** allocated to this program.

**Geographic Location:** This program is available to eligible residents citywide.

**Leveraging/Matching:** Currently, the City is not tracking matching funds for those funds provided through the City's participation in the County HOME Consortium.

**Actual v. Planned:** HOME funds are available on a first-come first-serve basis through the City's participation in the County HOME Consortium. This activity is targeted towards income eligible applicants, not by location, so no known units were assisted during the 2013-2014 program year. The City will work with the HOME Consortium to publicize the availability of this program within Rancho Cucamonga.

**Objective 6: Improve Living Conditions For Low- And Moderate-Income Households.**

**Program 6.1: Support programs of the Housing Authority of the County of San Bernardino to improve living conditions for public housing residents.**

**Activity 6.1.1:** The City will continue to support the Housing Authority of San Bernardino County ("HACSB") programs for public housing assistance. The HACSB manages 1,661 public housing units in 21 cities and communities; within the City there are 12 public housing units serving 53 people. When requested by the HACSB, the City will certify consistency with their Consolidated Plan.

**Resources Used:** Federal housing funds.

**Geographic Location:** This program will benefit residents Community wide.

**Leveraging/Matching:** The Housing Authority has not leveraged the federal grant funds.

**Actual v. Planned:** The Housing Authority continued to fund 12 public housing units during the 2013-2014 program year.

**HOMELESS STRATEGIC PLAN**

**Objective 7: Assist Homeless Individuals, Families, And Persons With Special Needs, And Those At-Risk Of Homelessness.**

**Program 7.1: Continue to support local homeless facilities and services.**

**Activity 7.1.1:** The City supports a variety of organizations that provide immediate assistance, advocacy, and short-term shelter to homeless individuals and families. The City will continue to address priority needs, and will continue to evaluate the provision of transitional shelter assistance. These agencies include:

- House of Ruth: House of Ruth provides shelter, programs, education, and opportunities for safe, self-sufficient, healthy living for battered women and their children who are at-risk of homelessness. Services provided include 24-hour emergency safe shelter for up to 30 days, 24-hour crisis intervention hotline, 24-hour emergency transportation, outreach offices, and children programs. House of Ruth is located in Claremont, at P.O. Box 457, Claremont, CA 91711.
- Inland Valley Hope Partners Food Security Program – SOVA: SOVA offers a 5-day food supply (15 meals) for all members of a household. SOVA helps families maintain their health and avoid homelessness by providing emergency food assistance and support services. The PVCC offers the West End Hunger Program from offices located at 635 South Taylor Avenue, Ontario, CA 91761.

**Resources Used:** CDBG funds:

Subrecipient	CDBG Funding
House of Ruth	\$5,700.00
Inland Valley Hope Partners Food Security Program – SOVA	\$5,300.00

**Geographic Location:** Community wide.

**Leveraging/Matching:** Most agencies leverage/match federal funds received from the City with other funding sources, including local federal grants and private sources.

**Actual v. Planned:** The local homeless facilities and services funded through this activity assisted the following:

Subrecipient	Accomplishment Goal	Assisted
House of Ruth	750	138
SOVA	1,100	864

**NON-HOMELESS SPECIAL NEEDS STRATEGIC PLAN**

**Objective 8: Provide Necessary Rehabilitation Services To Special Needs Populations With Emphasis On Assisting The Elderly And Frail Elderly.**

**Program 8.1: Provide minor and emergency rehabilitation services to eligible households with emphasis on assistance to low- and moderate-income seniors.**

**Activity 8.1.1:** This program will complement the City's Home Improvement Program by providing minor rehabilitation and emergency assistance to low- and moderate-income residents and senior citizen households whose income falls below 80% of the area median family income. Permitted home repairs include minor plumbing, electrical, carpentry, and roof repairs. Residents who are owner occupants are eligible to receive two visits, up to \$250 per visit, and in emergencies, the allowance of a one-time material charge for up to \$500. The Oldtimers Foundation is located at 8572 Sierra Avenue, Fontana, CA 92335.

**Resources Used:** CDBG funds: **\$0.00.**

**Geographic Location:** Community wide.

**Leveraging/Matching:** N/A.

**Actual v. Planned:** The City previously contracted with the Oldtimers Foundation for the implementation of this activity; however, the Subrecipient discontinued their home maintenance during the 2012 program year.

**Objective 9: Support Service Providers Assisting Special Needs Populations.**

**Program 9.1: Support special needs services in areas where there are significant under-served needs.**

**Activity 9.1.1:** Develop and enhance senior citizen classes and activities in the focus areas of physical fitness, recreational and educational development, mental health, and emotional well-being.

**Resources Used:** CDBG funds: **\$10,339.20.**

**Geographic Location:** 11200 Base Line Road.

**Leveraging/Matching:** CDBG funds were not leveraged as part of this program.

**Actual v. Planned:** There were a total of **1,201** seniors participating in this program. Classes and activities include Sit & Be Fit, PACE (People with Arthritis Can Exercise), Stretch and Flex, Tai Chi, Tole Painting, Senior Aerobics, Line Dancing, Sculpting, and Personal Training.

**COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT STRATEGIC PLAN**

**Objective 10: Provide Improvements To Public Areas And Public Facilities To Enhance Living**

**Environments, Improve The Quality Of Life In Low- And Moderate-Income Neighborhoods, And For Lower Income Individuals, Seniors, And The Handicapped.**

**Program 10.1: Complete all necessary infrastructure improvement and sidewalk improvement in qualified target areas.**

**Activity 10.1.1:** The City funded the following public improvement projects.

- Sidewalk Grinding and Replacement in Identified Target Neighborhoods: Involves the grinding, repair, or replacement of sidewalks that are displaced and/or inaccessible.

**Resources Used:** CDBG funds: **\$21,051.02.**

**Geographic Location:** Sidewalk Grinding efforts may be carried out in all eligible neighborhoods including CT 8.12 BG 2, CT 20.04 BG 1, CT 20.05 BG 1, CT 21.00 BG's 2, 3, 4, 6, & 7, and CT 22.03 BG 1.

**Leveraging/Matching:** CDBG funds were not leveraged as part of this program.

**Actual v. Planned:** The sidewalk-grinding activity exceeded expected goals for the program year. We anticipated repairing 3,960 square feet of sidewalk; however, **9,042** square feet of sidewalk were repaired.

**Objective 11: Assist Local Public And Non-Profit Service Agencies That Improve The Quality Of Life For Low- And Moderate-Income Persons And Those With Special Needs.**

**Program 11.1: Assist public service agencies that support groups in the highest priority categories including: fair housing counseling, landlord-tenant mediation, homeless and food assistance, emergency shelters, domestic violence shelters, and senior, youth-at-risk, and literacy programs.**

**Activity 11.1.1:** The City will continue to fund public service agencies that include:

- Camp Fire ISCC: The program provides a 5-day resident camp session to learn responsibility, develop skills, and gain confidence while building independence and self-reliance. The camp session is run at Camp Nawakwa, located in the Barton Flats recreation area of the San Bernardino Mountains. Camp Fire Inland Southern California Council is located at 9037 Arrow Route, Suite 140, Rancho Cucamonga, CA 91730.
- House of Ruth: House of Ruth provides shelter, programs, education, and opportunities for safe, self-sufficient, healthy living for battered women and their children who are at-risk of homelessness. Services provided include 24-hour emergency safe shelter (up to 30 days), 24-hour crisis intervention hotline, 24-hour emergency transportation, outreach offices, and children programs. House of Ruth is located in Claremont, at P.O. Box 457, Claremont, CA 91711.
- IFHMB – Fair Housing: Fair Housing services include education, counseling, mediation, and legal referral. Inland Fair Housing and Mediation Board ("IFHMB") is located at 10681 Foothill Boulevard, Suite 101, Rancho Cucamonga, CA 91730.
- IFHMB – Landlord Tenant Mediation: Landlord/Tenant dispute mediation services are provided by IFHMB.
- Inland Valley Hope Partners – SOVA: SOVA offers a 5-day food supply (15 meals) for all members of a household. SOVA helps families maintain their health and avoid homelessness by providing emergency food assistance and support services. SOVA is located at 635 South Taylor Avenue, Ontario, CA 91761.
- Project Sister Family Services: Project Sister provides sexual assault and violence prevention by

working with teens addressing date rape, sexual harassment, and personal safety awareness, and working with seniors, who are vulnerable sexual assault, robbery, burglary, and financial exploitation. Project Sister is located at P.O. Box 1390, Claremont, CA 91711.

- Rancho Cucamonga City Manager's Office – Northtown/CASA: The Cocinando Amigos Saludables y Alegres (CASA) program provides a bi-lingual healthy eating/cooking program to educate and support Latino families in the Northtown neighborhood of the City, to prepare traditional food that is healthier and more nutritious, as a strategy to prevent diabetes and obesity. The Rancho Cucamonga City Manager's Office currently coordinates the CASA program at the Northtown Community Center, located at 10071 Feron Boulevard, Rancho Cucamonga, CA 91730.
- Rancho Cucamonga Community Services Department – Northtown Collaborative: The Northtown Collaborative is a community (youth and adult) prevention recreation/human services program provides essential human service programs as well as traditional recreational classes and activities. The program is conducted through a joint use agreement with the Cucamonga Middle School and NHDC. The Community Services Department is located at Rancho Cucamonga City Hall, 10500 Civic Center Drive, Rancho Cucamonga, CA 91730.
- Rancho Cucamonga Community Services Department – Senior Transportation: The Silver Fox Express program offers door-to-door para-transit service for senior citizens living in Rancho Cucamonga. The programs primary focus is to bring isolated seniors to the James L. Brulte Senior Center for the daily nutrition program and senior classes, programs, and workshops. The program also provides weekly shopping excursions and trips to local doctors/pharmacies. The Community Services Department is located at Rancho Cucamonga City Hall, 10500 Civic Center Drive, Rancho Cucamonga, CA 91730.
- Rancho Cucamonga Public Library – Back To Basics: The Back to Basic program trains volunteer tutors to work with 7 to 12-year old children identified by school personnel as at-risk (challenged economically and educationally) and who are reading and writing below their grade levels. The Rancho Cucamonga Public Library has two locations, the Archibald Branch is located at 7368 Archibald Avenue and the Biane Library is located at 11505 Cultural Center Drive.
- Steelworkers Oldtimers Foundation – Senior Nutrition: The nutrition program is run from the Senior Center in which 22,500 hot meals would be provided at the RC Senior Center and 16,250 meals prepared for home delivery. Oldtimers Foundation is located at 8572 Sierra Avenue, Fontana, CA 92335.

**Resources Used:** CDBG funds expended as follows:

Subrecipient	CDBG Funding
Camp Fire ISCC	\$5,900.00
House of Ruth	\$5,700.00
IFHMB – Fair Housing	\$8,800.00
IFHMB – Landlord/Tenant Mediation	\$7,400.00
Inland Valley Hope Partners – SOVA	\$5,300.00
Project Sister Family Services	\$6,900.00
Rancho Cucamonga CMO – Northtown/CASA	\$6,923.95
Rancho Cucamonga CSD – Northtown Collaborative	\$6,805.93
Rancho Cucamonga CSD – Senior Transportation	\$15,400.00
Rancho Cucamonga Public Library – Back To Basics	\$7,863.23

**Geographic Location:** Community wide.

**Leveraging/Matching:** Most agencies leverage/match federal funds received from the City with other funding sources, including local federal grants and private sources.

**Actual v. Planned:** Funded programs have either met or exceeded expectations as follows:

Subrecipient	Accomplishment Goal	Assisted
Camp Fire USA	20	20
House of Ruth	750	138
IFHMB – Fair Housing	52	72
IFHMB – Landlord/Tenant Mediation	576	612
Inland Valley Hope Partners – SOVA	1,100	864
Project Sister Family Services	950	794
Rancho Cucamonga CMO – Northtown/CASA	3,200	66
Rancho Cucamonga CSD – Northtown Collaborative	3,200	1,792
Rancho Cucamonga CSD – Senior Transportation	200	592
Rancho Cucamonga Library – Back To Basics	100	168

**Objective 12: Ensure Accessibility To All Public Facilities And Structures.**

**Program 12.1: Installation of handicap wheelchair ramps at all curb returns.**

**Activity 12.1.1:** This program has been underway for several years and involves the retrofitting of existing curbs to accommodate disabled individuals.

**Resources Used:** CDBG funds: **\$21,048.79.**

**Geographic Location:** Community wide.

**Leveraging/Matching:** CDBG funds were not leveraged as part of this program.

**Actual v. Planned:** Actual improvements did not meet expectations. It was estimated that 8 wheelchair ramps (2,880 square feet, at 360 square feet per ramp) would be retrofitted; however, the City retrofitted **14** wheelchair ramps by installing truncated domes to meet federal laws regulating the installation of Sound Insulating Surface Applied Truncated Dome Warning Systems. Each section of applied surface is a 3 X 4 piece of composite material guaranteed for 10 years. Completing **14** locations at 360 square feet per location equals **5,040** square feet of improvement.

**Objective 13: The Restoration And Preservation Of Properties Of Social Value For Historic, Architectural, And Aesthetic Reasons.**

**Program 13.1: The rehabilitation of significant historic structures, with primary emphasis on residential assistance.**

**Activity 13.1.1:** The preservation and historic restoration of the Etiwanda Railway Station. The mission of this activity is to preserve the station through renovation for adaptive reuse as a museum and trailhead for the Pacific Electric Inland Trail, a 21-mile long regional trail. We anticipate that this activity will be a multi-year program, requiring additional CDBG funds in future program years.

**Resources Used:** CDBG funds: **\$117,948.42.**

**Geographic Location:** The Etiwanda Railway Station is located at 7089 Etiwanda Avenue.

**Leveraging/Matching:** CDBG funds were not leveraged as part of this program.

**Actual v. Planned:** Activities undertaken during the program year include: the emergency acquisition of materials and contract labor forces to effect required roofing repairs to the Etiwanda Pacific Electric Depot. City Council action to complete the repairs was approved on June 19, 2013 and construction began

in August, with the roofing repairs completed in November 2013. Contract labor forces to complete these repairs include: architectural consulting services, environmental remediation, preservation activities, and roofing repairs.

**Objective 14: Provide The Necessary Planning And Administrative Capacity To Implement The CDBG Program And The Consolidated Plan.**

**Program 14.1: Provide for the necessary planning and administrative activities of the CDBG program.**

**Activity 14.1.1:** Includes administration of the CDBG program, the completion of program applications and performance reports, research and analysis, target area studies, historic preservation evaluations, and housing documents.

**Resources Used:** CDBG funds: **\$141,763.74.**

**Geographic Location:** Community wide.

**Leveraging/Matching:** CDBG funds were not leveraged as part of this program.

**Actual v. Planned:** This year saw the completion of all necessary reports and documents to ensure timely, efficient, and effective use of CDBG funds.

**Objective 15: Alleviate Physical And Related Economic Distress Through The Stimulation Of Private Investment And Community Revitalization In Identified Target Neighborhoods.**

**Program 15.1: Support housing and Community Development activities that stimulate economic development.**

**Activity 15.1.1:** Review and evaluation of Foothill Boulevard. Foothill Boulevard, west of Haven Avenue is older with mixed uses and appears to be reaching stages of economic distress, while the area east of Haven Avenue has primarily new development and vacant land. The concern is with revitalization of the older areas and planning land use to ensure effective and balanced growth along Foothill Boulevard.

**Resources Used:** City general funds.

**Geographic Location:** Approximately six miles from Grove Avenue to East Avenue.

**Leveraging/Matching:** CDBG funds were not leveraged as part of this program.

**Actual v. Planned:** On January 16, 2002, the City Council adopted the Foothill Boulevard/Historic Route 66 Visual Improvement Plan. The Visual Improvement Plan will be implemented by private development as vacant properties fronting along Foothill Boulevard develop and through public improvements where the street frontage is currently improved.

The purpose of the Visual Improvement Plan is to develop a design specification plan that will set forth design concepts for the streetscape improvements within the public rights-of-way and entry areas along the entire length of Foothill Boulevard. The plan will help guide a balanced and unified pattern of streetscape for both public and private development. By drawing upon the existing positive improvements and developing a set of unique and unifying historic elements, this plan will ensure that Foothill Boulevard will be an exciting reflection of the historic Route 66 as well as a historic Rancho Cucamonga. A variety of improvements have been installed along Foothill Boulevard, in the vicinity of the Victoria Gardens mall; however, much of the Visual Improvement Plan has not yet been implemented. Through June 30, 2014, the City continued to implement the Foothill Boulevard/Historic Route 66 Visual Improvement Plan as it applies to development occurring along Foothill Boulevard.

**Objective 16: Revitalize And Upgrade Housing Conditions, Prevent And Eliminate Blight And Blighting Influences, And Eliminate Conditions Detrimental To The Public Health, Safety, And Welfare.**

**Program 16.1: Continue improvements to residential energy efficiency, lead-based paint hazard reduction, and Code Enforcement through the City's Home Improvement Program.**

**Activity 16.1.1:** The City currently funds a Home Improvement Program in which, through the course of that activity, the rehabilitation improvements mentioned previously would be completed. A separate activity will not be developed.

**Resources Used:** CDBG funds. No other funds in addition to those allocated to the Home Improvement Program are used.

**Geographic Location:** Community wide.

**Leveraging/Matching:** The City refers individuals to local utility companies many of which operate assistance programs for low-income households.

**Actual v. Planned:** There were no activities listed independently of the Home Improvement Program.

**Program 16.2: Continue funding a Graffiti Removal program.**

**Activity 16.2.1:** City crews operate in lower income target neighborhoods to remove incidents of graffiti.

**Resources Used:** CDBG funds: **\$16,093.66.**

**Geographic Location:** Available in all eligible target neighborhoods including CT 8.12 BG 2, CT 20.04 BG 1, CT 20.05 BG 1, CT 21.00 BG's 2, 3, 4, 6, & 7, and CT 22.03 BG 1.

**Leveraging/Matching:** CDBG funds were not leveraged as part of this program.

**Actual v. Planned:** The graffiti removal activity exceeded expected goals for the program year. The program anticipated the removal of approximately 14,999 square feet of graffiti; however, the activity was successful in the removal of 26,865 square feet of graffiti from target neighborhoods.

## **HOUSEHOLDS AND HOMELESS PERSONS ASSISTED WITH HOUSING SUMMARY OF AFFORDABLE HOUSING ONE-YEAR AND FIVE- YEAR GOALS**

### *One-Year Goals*

The majority of programs funded through the City either directly or indirectly assists in the provision of affordable housing. This is accomplished by increasing the availability of housing, preserving existing housing, ensuring affordable housing, and improving services available to the residents of affordable housing complexes. The City of Rancho Cucamonga has, in conjunction with the NHDC, has made significant inroads toward the production of additional housing. Programs 1.2 and 2.2 address the availability of single-family housing; Programs 1.1 and 2.1 addresses the availability of multi-family housing. Throughout the 2011 program year, the City has made significant progress in meeting the goals and objectives of the Affordable Housing Strategic Plan.

### *Housing Authority*

The Housing Authority of San Bernardino County continues to operate 138 Section 8 units and **16** public housing units, for **186** units within the City. Of these housing units 100 are 1-bedroom units, 35 are 2-bedroom units, 39 are 3-bedroom units, and 12 are 4-bedroom units.

### *Home Improvement Programs*

The City's Home Improvement Program consists of two activities, the **City Home Improvement Program** and the **Oldtimers Foundation Home Maintenance Program**; however, the Oldtimers Foundation discontinued their Home Maintenance Program during the 2012 program year. The Home Improvement Program facilitates the preservation of existing owner occupied housing by enabling significant repair to **28** single-family and mobile homes.

There were **28** households assisted by the City program, including **6** single-family homes and **22** mobile-home units. Of those units assisted, **22** (78.6%) were over age 65 and **14** (50.0%) were over age 75, which is significant because many seniors are living on fixed incomes and are unable to afford the upkeep on their homes. The Oldtimers Foundation program functions to provide an additional safety mechanism for the elderly population; however, the Oldtimers Foundation Home Maintenance Program did not assist any households during the program year, and the City has discontinued the funding of this activity.

Racially, of the **28** households assisted through both activities, the racial make-up consists of: **28** (100.0%) White, **0** (0.0%) Black or African American, **0** (0.0%) Asian, **0** (0.0%) American Indian or Alaska Native, **0** (0.0%) Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander, **0** (0.0%) American Indian or Alaska Native and White, **0** (0.0%) Asian and White, **0** (0.0%) Black or African American and White, **0** (0.0%) American Indian or Alaska Native and Black or African American, and **0** (0.0%) Other Multi-Racial. Ethnically, **5** (17.9%) are Hispanic.

Economically, of those **28** households assisted consist of: **0** Above Moderate Income, **9** (32.1%) Moderate Income (51% to 80% of the MFI), **15** (53.6%) Low-Income (31% to 50% of the MFI), and **4** (14.3%) Extremely Low-Income (0 to 30% of the MFI).

### *Five-Year Goals*

The Five-Year Consolidate Plan established specific Objectives and Programs with the goal of providing service to a specific number of individuals that either directly or indirectly assists in providing affordable housing. This is accomplished by increasing the availability of housing, preserving existing housing, as well as ensuring affordable housing.

Accomplishment data for various programs contained in the Consolidated Plan are identified in the following tables. These tables identify how the Availability, Affordability, and Preservation objectives are met for each identified activity.

Table 5: Units Provided and Households Assisted (One-Year Goals)

Program	Units Expected	Units Provided	Hhs Expected	Hhs Provided	0-30% MFI	31%-50% MFI	51%-80% MFI	Above 80% MFI
<b>Availability of Decent Housing</b>								
1.1 MF	0	0	-	-	-	-	-	-
2.1 MF	0	0	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>Affordability of Decent Housing</b>								
3.1	-	-	138	138	0	138	0	0
4.2	-	-	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Sustainability of Decent Housing</b>								
5.1	-	-	40	28	4	15	9	0
5.3	-	-	0	0	-	-	-	-
6.1	-	-	12	12	0	12	0	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>190</b>	<b>178</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>165</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>0</b>

Not all of the programs referenced report data in the exact income categories utilized above, approximations may be used or data not shown where unavailable.

In identifying the outcome/objective, the City or Rancho Cucamonga follows the numbering system developed by the HUD CPD Performance Measurement System. The outcome/objective numbers are as follows:

Table 6: HUD CPD Performance Measurement System

	Availability/Accessibility	Affordability	Sustainability
<b>Decent Housing</b>	DH-1	DH-2	DH-3
<b>Suitable Living Environment</b>	SL-1	SL-2	SL-3
<b>Economic Opportunity</b>	EO-1	EO-2	EO-3

Table 7: Affordable Housing Accomplishments (Five-Year Goals)

Specific Objective	Outcome/Objective Specific Objective	Source of Funds	Performance Indicator	Year	Expected Number	Actual Number	Percent Complete
<b>DH-1</b>	<b>Availability of Decent Housing</b>						
1.1	Acquisition of existing multiple-family units to be held for future affordable housing stock.	RDA, CHFA		2010	0	0	0.0%
				2011	0	0	0.0%
				2012	0	0	0.0%
				2013	0	0	0.0%
				2014			0.0%
				<b>MULTI –YEAR GOAL</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	
1.2	Acquisition and rehabilitation of existing single-family homes.	RDA, CHFA		2010	0	0	0.0%
				2011	0	0	0.0%
				2012	0	0	0.0%
				2013	0	0	0.0%
				2014			0.0%
				<b>MULTI –YEAR GOAL</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	
2.1	Construction of affordable (multi-family) units.	RDA, HOME		2010	0	225	225.0%
				2011	0	0	0.0%
				2012	0	0	0.0%
				2013	0	0	0.0%
				2014			0.0%
				<b>MULTI –YEAR GOAL</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>225</b>	
2.2	Construction of single-family infill development in low- and moderate-income neighborhoods.	RDA, CHFA		2010	0	0	0.0%
				2011	0	0	0.0%
				2012	0	0	0.0%
				2013	0	0	0.0%
				2014			0.0%
				<b>MULTI –YEAR GOAL</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	
<b>DH-2</b>	<b>Affordability of Decent Housing</b>						
3.1*	Support applications by the Housing Authority of San Bernardino County for future Section 8 and public housing assistance.	Federal Housing Funds		2010	138	138	100.0%
				2011	138	138	100.0%
				2012	138	138	100.0%
				2013	138	138	0.0%
				2014			0.0%
				<b>MULTI –YEAR GOAL</b>	<b>138</b>	<b>138</b>	
4.1	Administer a City sponsored Home Buyer program.	RDA 20% Set Aside		2010	15	0	0.0%
				2011	15	0	0.0%
				2012	0	0	0.0%
				2013	0	0	0.0%
				2014			0.0%
				<b>MULTI –YEAR GOAL</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>0</b>	
4.3	Assist the NHDC First-Time Home Buyer Program.	RDA		2010	0	0	0.0%
				2011	0	0	0.0%
				2012	0	0	0.0%
				2013	0	0	0.0%
				2014			0.0%
				<b>MULTI –YEAR GOAL</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	
<b>DH-3</b>	<b>Sustainability of Decent Housing</b>						
5.1	Provide moderate rehabilitation of owner occupied, single-family and mobile homes for extremely low-, low-, and moderate-income homeowners.	CDBG		2010	40	67	167.5%
				2011	40	59	147.5%
				2012	40	42	105.0%
				2013	40	28	70.0%
				2014			0.0%
				<b>MULTI –YEAR GOAL</b>	<b>160</b>	<b>196</b>	
6.1*	Support applications of the Housing Authority for their Annual Comprehensive Grant to improve living conditions for public housing residents.	Federal Housing Funds		2010	12	12	100.0%
				2011	12	12	100.0%
				2012	12	12	0.0%
				2013	12	12	0.0%
				2014			0.0%
				<b>MULTI –YEAR GOAL</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>12</b>	

\* These figures are not cumulative, but represent the number of units operated by the Housing Authority during the program year.

### HOMELESS ASSISTANCE

The goal of the City's Strategic Plan is to develop a comprehensive homeless assistance plan that will provide a continuum of care with the purpose of ultimately assisting individuals in obtaining and retaining permanent housing. The primary goal was to continue providing immediate short-term assistance as the first line of defense, emergency shelter, shelter vouchers, food assistance, advocacy, and referrals. Secondary assistance provides longer-term transitional care. The City provided direct assistance to a

transitional shelter this program year. The City's funding actions indicate that the goals and objectives of the strategy are being met.

Many of those receiving assistance through the City generally provide immediate assistance and it is not possible to tell in those cases how many individuals ended up in permanent or transitional housing. Foothill Family Shelter is the only agency to track data in this manner.

Table 8: Homeless Assistance

Specific Objective	Outcome/Objective Specific Objective	Source of Funds	Performance Indicator	Year	Expected Number	Actual Number	Percent Complete
7.1	Continue to support local homeless facilities and services						
SL-1	Availability of Suitable Living Environments						
	Foothill Family Shelter (hh) (Consolidated Plan 5-Year Goal = 50)	CDBG		2010	4	58	1,450.0%
				2011	4	28	700.0%
				2012	4	41	1,025.0%
				2013	0	0	0.0%
				2014			0.0%
				MULTI-YEAR GOAL		12	127
SL-3	Sustainability of Suitable Living Environments						
	House of Ruth (p) (Consolidated Plan 5-Year Goal = 8,000)	CDBG		2010	1,100	1,235	112.2%
				2011	750	310	41.3%
				2012	750	364	51.3%
				2013	750	138	0.0%
				2014			0.0%
				MULTI-YEAR GOAL		3,350	2,047
	West End Hunger Program (SOVA) (p) (Consolidated Plan 5-Year Goal = 6,400)	CDBG		2010	1,600	841	52.5%
				2011	1,100	863	78.5%
				2012	1,100	687	62.5%
				2013	1,100	864	78.5%
				2014			0.0%
				MULTI-YEAR GOAL		4,900	3,255
				TOTAL		8,262	5,429

Note: "p" indicates persons and "hh" indicates households assisted.

These activities assisted **1,002** persons through the program year. Racially, these **1,002** persons assisted consist of: **3** (0.3%) American Indian or Alaska Native, **8** (0.8%) Asian, **117** (11.7%) Black, **1** (0.1%) Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander, **838** (83.6%) White, **0** (0.0%) American Indian or Alaska Native and White, **8** (0.8%) Asian and White, **8** (0.8%) Black or African American and White, **0** (0.0%) American Indian or Alaska Native and Black or African American, and **19** (1.9%) Other Multi-Racial. Ethnically, **464** (46.3%) of those persons assisted are Hispanic.

Economically, those assisted consist of: **36** (3.6%) Above Moderate Income, **514** (51.3%) Moderate Income (51% to 80% of the MFI), **301** (30.0%) Low-Income (31% to 50% of the MFI), and **151** (15.1%) Extremely Low-Income (0 to 30% of the MFI).

#### SUMMARY OF HOMELESS ASSISTANCE FIVE-YEAR GOALS

The 5-year Consolidated Plan identified the achievement of certain levels of service under Objective 7, Program 7.1 of Homeless Assistance. The table identifies the achievement of these goals under the support of local homeless facilities and services. As demonstrated by the information presented, specifically the number of persons assisted by the activities, the Goals and Objectives of Homeless Assistance funding are being met.

#### DOCUMENTATION OF ASSISTANCE PROVIDED

The City maintains documentation of those persons or households directly assisted with City programs. For those assisted through an outside agency, such as the Housing Authority of San Bernardino County, detailed information is kept in the County program files.

#### OTHER SPECIAL NEEDS AND NON-HOUSING COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

##### OTHER SPECIAL NEEDS

This includes populations with special needs other than the homeless such as, the elderly, frail elderly,

disabled, persons with alcohol or drug addictions, and persons diagnosed with AIDS. It was found that in general, affordable housing of all types is of tremendous need to these populations. The programs provided in the Affordable Housing strategy address the needs of these populations as well. However, the need for non-housing supportive services is also necessary. Fortunately, area service providers already address many of these additional supportive needs.

When individuals with special needs find affordable housing, the majority of their resources often go toward the support of that housing and they are unable to afford to make any necessary handicap accessibility changes or repair any items requiring routine maintenance. One program funded through the CDBG program address this need, particularly the City Home Improvement Program assisted **28** individuals during the program year.

Support activities may occur either indirectly through such programs as Fair Housing and Landlord/Tenant Mediation, or at some future date the City may choose to fund a program specifically benefiting a special service segment of the population.

### **NON-HOUSING COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT**

In order to achieve the overall goals of the Consolidated Plan, it is necessary that non-housing needs be addressed as well. The plan must address all parts of the community, which in addition to housing, relates to areas including public improvements, public facilities, removal of architectural barriers, public services, and economic development. The plan developed priorities, objectives and programs to address non-housing needs and a majority of the CDBG funds are expended on these programs. A total of **\$414,098.35** (53.7%) of CDBG funds expended during the 2013-2014 program year were used on non-housing needs (e.g., Administration, Capital Improvement, and Public Service activities).

### **SUMMARY OF NON-HOUSING COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT FIVE-YEAR GOALS**

The 5-year Consolidated Plan identified the achievement of certain levels of service under Objective 11, Program 11.1 of Non-Housing Community Development Assistance. The following table identifies the achievement of these goals under the support of fair housing and mediation services, homeless facilities and services, and youth at-risk programs. As demonstrated by the following, the Goals and Objectives of Non-Housing Community Development Assistance funding are being met.

Table 9: Non-Housing Community Development Needs

Specific Objective	Outcome/Objective Specific Objective	Source of Funds	Performance Indicator	Year	Expected Number	Actual Number	Percent Complete
11.1	Assist public service agencies that support groups in the highest priority categories including: fair housing counseling, landlord-tenant mediation, homeless and food assistance, emergency shelters, domestic violence shelters, and senior, youth-at-risk, and literacy programs.						
SL-1	Availability of Suitable Living Environments						
	Fair Housing (Consolidated Plan 5-Year Goal = 1,940)	CDBG		2010	50	61	122.0%
				2011	50	77	154.0%
				2012	50	67	134.0%
				2013	50	72	144.0%
				2014			0.0%
				<b>MULTI –YEAR GOAL</b>			<b>200</b>
	Landlord/Tenant Mediation (Consolidated Plan 5-Year Goal = 1,140)	CDBG		2010	550	718	130.5%
				2011	550	675	122.7%
				2012	550	653	118.7%
				2013	550	612	111.3%
				2014			0.0%
				<b>MULTI –YEAR GOAL</b>			<b>2,200</b>
	Northtown Collaborative (Youth at Risk) (Consolidated Plan 5-Year Goal = 800)	CDBG		2010	3,250	5,572	171.4%
				2011	3,200	3,946	123.3%
				2012	3,200	1,677	52.4%
				2013	3,200	1,792	56.0%
				2014			0.0%
				<b>MULTI –YEAR GOAL</b>			<b>12,850</b>
				<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>15,250</b>	<b>15,269</b>	
SL-3	Sustainability of Suitable Living Environments						
	House of Ruth (Consolidated Plan 5-Year Goal = 8,000)	CDBG		2010	1,600	1,235	77.1%
				2011	1,600	310	41.3%
				2012	1,600	364	22.7%
				2013	1,600	138	8.6%
				2014			0.0%
				<b>MULTI –YEAR GOAL</b>			<b>6,400</b>
	Family Literacy (Back 2 Basics) (Consolidated Plan 5-Year Goal = 550)	CDBG		2010	110	141	128.1%
				2011	100	210	210.0%
				2012	110	171	155.5%
				2013	110	168	152.7%
				2014			0.0%
				<b>MULTI –YEAR GOAL</b>			<b>430</b>
	Senior Nutrition (Consolidated Plan 5-Year Goal = 2,850)	CDBG		2010	3,000	4,810	160.3%
				2011	3,000	4,725	157.5%
				2012	3,000	0	0.0%
				2013	3,000	931	31.0%
				2014			0.0%
				<b>MULTI –YEAR GOAL</b>			<b>12,000</b>
	SOVA (Consolidated Plan 5-Year Goal = 6,400)	CDBG		2010	1,280	841	65.7%
				2011	1,280	863	67.4%
				2012	1,280	687	53.7%
				2013	1,280	864	67.5%
				2014			0.0%
				<b>MULTI –YEAR GOAL</b>			<b>5,120</b>
				<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>23,950</b>	<b>16,458</b>	

**ANTI-POVERTY ASSESSMENT**

Generally, the City has relatively little, if no control over the factors that may affect the determination of an individual's income level. The primary activity utilized by the City toward this end is the support of public service agencies that incorporate job training and life development skills into their programs, which includes most of the agencies currently receiving funding from the City. Most activities, particularly those related to non-housing community development act indirectly to increase economic development. Through CDBG contract activities, the City complies with Section 3 requirements encouraging the employment of local low-income residents. Finally, from a housing perspective, all of the activities aimed at increasing affordable housing will increase the stock of housing available to those in the lowest income categories.

**PART II – NARRATIVE STATEMENTS**

**ASSESSMENT OF FIVE-YEAR GOALS AND OBJECTIVES**

In developing the 5-year Strategic Plan covering the years from 2010-2014, a mission statement was provided which defines the City's overall housing goal: *"To develop viable urban communities by providing decent housing and a suitable living environment and expanding economic opportunities principally for low and moderate income persons."* To this end the City developed priority designations in the areas of Housing Affordability, Homeless, Special Needs, and Non-Housing Community Development Needs. Based on the identified priority needs and analysis of available resources programmatic objectives were developed. Specific programs were then established to meet the identified objectives.

Based on performance over the past year, the City has been able to meet or exceed many of the 1-year goals specified for each program and in turn made significant progress toward meeting identified 5-year priorities. The following table indicates activity and performance for each specified priority, objective, and related programs. On the whole, significant progress was made toward achievement of not only the 1-year objectives but also toward meeting the specified 5-year goals contained in the strategy.

Table 10: Program Performance

Objective	Description	Program	Activity	Priority	Performance
<b>Affordable Housing</b>					
1	Conservation of the existing single-family and multiple-family affordable housing stock.	1.1	Acquisition of existing multiple-family units to be held for future affordable housing stock.	H1, M2	NA
2	Improve access to affordable housing for all low- and moderate-income households, with emphasis on the production of larger (3+ bedroom) rental units.	2.1	Construction of affordable (multi-family) units.	H1, M3	NA
3	Provide direct renter assistance to low- and moderate-income households in order to obtain or retain permanent housing.	3.1	Support applications by the Housing Authority of the County of San Bernardino for future Section 8 and public housing assistance.	H1	NA
		3.2	Participate in the HOME Consortium Tenant-Based Rental Assistance (TBRA) Program.	H1	Yes
4	Increase housing opportunities for low- and moderate-income home ownership, particularly through homebuyer assistance.	4.1	Administer a City sponsored Homebuyer Program.	H1	No
		4.2	Participate in the HOME Consortium Homeownership Assistance Program (HAP).	H1	Yes
5	Rehabilitation of the existing housing stock with emphasis on owner-occupied housing.	5.1	Provide moderate rehabilitation of owner occupied, single-family and mobile homes for extremely low-, low-, and moderate-income homeowners.	H3, M3	Yes
		5.3	Participate in the HOME Affordable Housing Development Loan (ADHL) Program.	M1	Yes
6	Improve living conditions for low- and moderate-income households.	6.1	Support applications of the Housing Authority for their Annual Comprehensive Grant to improve living conditions for public housing residents.	M1	Yes
<b>Homeless</b>					
7	Assist homeless individuals, families, and persons with special needs, and those at-risk of homelessness.	7.1	Continue to support local homeless facilities and services.	H1, M1, L1	Yes
<b>Special Needs</b>					
8	Provide necessary rehabilitation services to special needs populations with emphasis on assisting the elderly and frail elderly.	8.1	Provide minor and emergency rehabilitation services to eligible households with emphasis on assistance to low- and moderate-income seniors.	H1, H2	No
9	Support service providers assisting special needs populations.	9.1	Support special needs services in areas where there are significant under-served needs.	M1	Yes
<b>Non-Housing Community Development Needs</b>					

Objective	Description	Program	Activity	Priority	Performance
10	<b>Public Facilities:</b> Provide improvements to public areas and public facilities to enhance living environments, improve the quality of life in low- and moderate-income neighborhoods, and for lower income individuals, senior, and handicapped, seniors, and the handicapped.	10.1	Complete all necessary infrastructure improvement and sidewalk improvement in qualified target areas.	H1	Yes
11	<b>Public Services:</b> Assist local public and non-profit service agencies that improve the quality of life for low- and moderate-income persons and those with special needs.	11.1	Assist public service agencies that support groups in the highest priority categories including: fair housing counseling, landlord/tenant mediation, homeless and food assistance, emergency shelters, domestic violence shelters, and senior, youth-at-risk, and literacy programs.	H1	Yes
12	<b>Removal of Architectural Barriers:</b> Ensure accessibility to all public facilities and structures.	12.1	Installation of handicap wheelchair ramps at all curb returns.	H1	Yes
13	<b>Historic Preservation</b> The restoration and preservation of properties of social value for historic, architectural, and aesthetic reasons.	13.1	The rehabilitation of significant historic structures, with primary emphasis on residential assistance.	M1	Yes
14	<b>Administration and Planning:</b> Provide the necessary planning and administrative capacity to implement the CDBG program and the Consolidated Plan.	14.1	Provide for the necessary planning and administrative activities of the CDBG program.	H1	Yes
15	<b>Other Community Needs:</b> Alleviate physical and related economic distress through the stimulation of private investment and community revitalization in identified target neighborhoods.	15.1	Support housing and Community Development activities that stimulate economic development.	M1	Yes
16	<b>Economic Development:</b> Revitalize and upgrade housing conditions; prevent and eliminate blight and blighting influences; and eliminate conditions detrimental to the public health, safety, and welfare.	16.1	Continue improvements to residential efficiency, lead-based paint hazard reduction, and Code Enforcement through the City's Home Improvement Program.	M1	Yes
		16.2	Continue funding a Graffiti Removal program.	H1	Yes
		16.3	Support the City's Code Enforcement Program operating in qualified target areas.	H1	Yes

Yes = Activity meets or exceeds specified goals. No = Activity did not meet specified goals. NA = No activity. AC = Activity canceled.

## OTHER ACTIONS

### ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT

The RDA Implementation Plan for 2009-2014 includes a section addressing the "Economic Development and Enhancement Programs", which the RDA has implemented to help strengthen the economic environment of the business sector within the redevelopment project area. These programs were developed to address the needs of the existing business community, attract new businesses to the City, and provide long-term employment opportunities that will strengthen the City's economic base.

However, on December 29, 2011, the California Supreme Court ruled in the redevelopment litigation — CRA v. Matosantos — upholding AB X1 26, which abolished redevelopment agencies, but striking down companion legislation that would have allowed agencies to survive if they contribute money to the State. As part of the Supreme Court's ruling, agencies were dissolved on February 1, 2012.

Nevertheless, the City continues some of its economic development activities. The City's economic

development goals focus on job creation, improving the quality of life for residents and businesses, increasing the City's tax base, and providing opportunities for public and private partnerships, including private investment in the City. The City has successfully implemented a variety of marketing and promotion strategies that have leveraged the community's attributes and established a positive business image for Rancho Cucamonga.

The RDA's marketing and promotion efforts have significantly affected employment, expansion, and the local tax base. From 2000 to 2010, approximately 1,092 companies expanded and/or relocated in the City before the slowdown in 2010, and more than 17,123 new jobs were created during the same period. Although the City's success in economic development is not all as a direct result of the City's marketing and promotional efforts, the City has managed to facilitate that growth throughout our commercial and industrial community.

Apart from the specifics of the Economic Development strategy, in 2012 the Redevelopment RDA assists companies through participation in the following programs. The Inland Empire Small Business Development Center (SBDC), which offers a variety of resources to improve business operation including, business consulting, seminars and workshops, information resources, procurement assistance, environmental assistance, and a variety of other programs. The results of the SBDC during the past year were outstanding and economic development activities continue to see improvement in all business sectors.

#### **AFFIRMATIVELY FURTHERING FAIR HOUSING**

In 1984, the City began contracting with the IFHMB to provide for the education and enforcement of state and federal housing laws for all residents who request assistance. The IFHMB provides public education, mediation, counseling, testing, and legal referral services to promote fair housing. Having the IFHMB administer the Fair Housing Program assures that there are no impediments to fair housing choices. Fair Housing education is provided as a major component of the program with a goal of providing the knowledge of what is Fair Housing to all partners of the housing industry. Fair Housing outreach is provided through radio programming, mass media, brochures, and the IFHMB web site (<http://www.ifhmb.com>). Enforcement is provided through the process of mediation through the IFHMB, or a related enforcement agency. And finally, Fair Housing testing is used as a tool to gather evidence of discrimination. The Fair Housing program attempts to accomplish the primary objectives of the City's Fair Housing Impediments Analysis by providing for the education, counseling, mediation, testing, and legal referral of Fair Housing issues within the community.

#### **Summary of the Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing Choice**

The City of Rancho Cucamonga contracted with The Planning Center to update the City's Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing Choice ("AI"). The public notice indicating the availability of draft AI was published on May 3, 2010. The 30-day public review of the draft AI began on Monday, May 3, 2010, and ended on Wednesday, June 2, 2010. The AI was adopted by the City Council on June 2, 2010.

Rancho Cucamonga is a progressive community with respect to the provision of a range of housing opportunities and its proactive stance to ensure the fair treatment of people in the rental, sale, occupancy, and financing of housing. The following recommendations included in the draft AI and are offered to continue advancing fair housing opportunities in Rancho Cucamonga.

1. **CHANGES TO DEVELOPMENT CODE:** The California Legislature has passed new statutes to facilitate and encourage the development of housing for homeless people. The Government Code requires that jurisdictions specify at least one zone where a year-round emergency shelter can be permitted as a by-right use. Transitional housing and permanent supportive housing must also be treated like any other residential use in the same zone and are subject to the same regulations as other residential uses located in the same zone.

The 2013 Housing Element allows emergency shelters as a by-right use in the General Commercial (GC) Zone with development and operational standards as allowed under state law. The Housing Element also proposes to permit transitional and supportive housing serving up to six clients like residential care facilities as a by-right use in all residential zones. For larger facilities where the use operates like multiple-family projects, such uses will be permitted where multiple-family projects are permitted.

**Implementation Status:** The 2012 Development Code update revised the City's requirements for emergency shelters, transitional housing, and supportive housing. Emergency Shelters are permitted by right in the General Commercial land use district. Transitional and Supportive Housing is permitted as a residential use in all zones that allow residential land uses and only subject to those restrictions that apply to other residential dwellings of the same type in the same zone.

2. **REASONABLE ACCOMMODATION:** The federal Fair Housing Act and California Fair Employment and Housing Act impose an affirmative duty on local governments to make reasonable accommodation in building codes, zoning/land use regulations, and administrative practices to afford disabled persons an equal opportunity to use and enjoy a dwelling. In 2001, the State Attorney General also issued a letter encouraging local governments to adopt a reasonable accommodation procedure. Housing Element law has also been changed to require local governments to affirmatively further fair housing for people of disabilities.

Rancho Cucamonga has an active program to make its facilities, programs, and services accessible to residents. For housing projects, the City also already has the mechanisms in the municipal codes (e.g., administrative exceptions) to make reasonable accommodations. However, HCD will require more affirmative action as a prerequisite for approval of the housing element. The 2008–2014 Housing Element therefore includes a program to create a reasonable accommodation ordinance that would specify the process for obtaining an accommodation, required findings, and other procedural aspects.

**Implementation Status:** The 2012 Development Code Update established procedures for reasonable accommodations. The purpose is to provide reasonable accommodations to explicitly allow for changes to land use, building codes, development code requirements (i.e., setback reductions and parking requirements), and permitting processes to accommodate people with disabilities.

3. **PERMITTING PROCESSES:** The City's residential growth management review system has been an effective tool for ensuring high quality development. All residential projects are required to adhere to "absolute policies" intended to ensure neighborhood compatibility, compliance with adopted plans, adequacy of public facilities and services, and protection of the public environment and public health. Moreover, each project must adhere to residential development standards and design guidelines to ensure project livability.

Exemptions to the ordinance are allowed for developments involving four units or less, land divisions involving four lots or less, and government-subsidized senior citizen housing projects. Fair housing law discourages treating the approval of housing projects differently based on the intended *occupants* of the project. The current system gives a preference for affordable *senior* housing, but not for affordable *family* housing. To avoid a potential fair housing concern, this exemption should be either extended to affordable family housing or deleted altogether.

**Implementation Status:** There have been no changes to the permitting process to report.

4. **SENIOR HOUSING OVERLAY:** The Senior Housing Overlay District offers incentives to encourage the development of affordable housing for seniors earning up to 80 percent of MFI. The Development Code defines a senior household for purposes of this overlay and the incentives granted under it as a married couple in which at least one spouse is age 55 or over or an unmarried household in which all members are age 55 or over. The Development Code provides incentives such as required onsite parking,

fee waivers/reductions, and a density bonus if the project complies with state density bonus law.

Fair housing law discourages treating the approval of housing projects or granting of incentives differently based on the marital status of occupants. There does not appear to be a clear rationale for why marital status should be a distinguishing factor in why a senior development project should qualify for incentives. The state density bonus law provides for such incentives, and marital status is not a pre-qualification. To avoid a potential fair housing concern, the City may wish to eliminate the clause related to marital status so as not to constrain housing choices for seniors.

**Implementation Status:** The 2012 Development Code update did not change marital status age restriction.

5. **TRANSIT SERVICE:** Accessibility to public transit is a factor in housing choice for many households, especially lower income and senior households that may have limited or no access to a personal vehicle. For these households, access to public transit can be critical for employment and necessities such as grocery shopping, health care, and other routine activities of daily life. Transit agencies that receive federal funds must demonstrate that no persons are subject to discrimination in the level and quality of transportation services and/or transit-related benefits based on protected status.

Omnitrans has developed a grid service network with routes spaced at one-mile intervals, resulting in generally equitable transit service coverage, with most urban areas of the San Bernardino Valley within ½ mile of a transit route. Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) complementary para-transit service is provided within ¼ mile of a scheduled regular transit route in accordance with federal regulations. Residential areas north of the I-210 and several employment centers south of Arrow Route need additional transit coverage. The City should work with Omnitrans to incorporate these route adjustments as part of their 2014 update to the short-range transportation plan.

**Implementation Status:** The City continues to work with Omnitrans to evaluate bus routes within the City so that the route adjustments can be incorporated into the next short-range transportation plan update.

6. **FAIR HOUSING COMPLAINTS:** Historically, the primary reason for housing discrimination complaints were race and ethnicity of the tenant. Beginning in the mid 2000's, the trend shifted to disability. This trend is not due to increased levels of discrimination but instead on a series of court decisions and expanded application to everyday life. For instance, new court decisions have made it clear that housing providers must be significantly more proactive in making reasonable accommodations to people with a disability.

As society acquires a greater understanding of the subtleties of personal and societal bias, through either court decisions or personal experience, notions of what "discrimination and fair housing" will change. To keep up with the latest trends, the City should work with the IFHMB to adjust its public education programs, as needed, to focus on issues affecting people with disabilities. It is unclear whether this will be a new initiative or a redirecting of current resources.

**Implementation Status:** The City continues to partner with Inland Fair Housing and Mediation Board to provide Fair Housing services to the citizens of Rancho Cucamonga and will continue to work with them to adjust their public education programs as needed.

7. **FAIR LENDING PRACTICES:** Fair housing concerns tend to arise when similarly situated applicants have different lending outcomes. Home Mortgage Disclosure Act (HMDA) data show the lowest loan origination rates among low income buyers (with the exception of Hispanics) but the highest loan origination rates were for middle-income applicants. Black and Hispanics typically have the lowest loan origination rates as in past years, even after controlling for differences in household income. Whereas none of these statistics can prove equal opportunity or discrimination, they do provide an indication of

characteristics and trends that may suggest further study, testing, or targeting of local government programs.

**Implementation Status:** Fair lending practices are reviewed by Inland Fair Housing and Mediation Board and are reported annually to the City.

8. **HATE CRIMES:** Hate crimes are violent acts committed against people, property, or organizations motivated by the group to which they belong to or identify with. According to the Uniform Crime Reports published by the FBI, there have been no reported hate crimes in Rancho Cucamonga in many years—an unexpected finding given the sheer size of the community. San Bernardino County Sheriff staff confirmed this finding, but also noted that hate crimes are sometimes not reported to them or, if they are, they could be inadvertently reported under broader categories of crimes. This same type of problem exists in many cities today. Therefore, the AI recommends that City staff work with the San Bernardino County Sheriff Department to examine and verify that recordkeeping is adequate in this regard.

**Implementation Status:** The San Bernardino County Sheriff’s Department maintains hate crime records for the City. We continue to work the Sheriff’s Department to ensure that crimes are accurately reported.

9. **SCHOOL VIOLENCE:** Rancho Cucamonga is known for its safe and high performing schools. In recent years, schools across the country have experienced rising levels of violence that has become of considerable concern to parents. Violence at school is no longer confined to inner cities but is widespread, affecting communities of every size, location, and demographic. In response to an epidemic of harassment, violence, and suicides at schools, the California Legislature passed laws to prohibit harassment at public schools.

Although Rancho Cucamonga schools are safer than most, still more than one in five students report being harassed every year due to their religion, disability, race/ethnicity, and other protected class. This AI therefore recommends that the City work with the Police Department to help augment school resource officer program, develop model programs (e.g., Building Bridges Program developed by the Orange County Human Relations Commission), or pursue other innovative efforts.

**Implementation Status:** The City continues to work with the Sheriff’s Department to address issues and opportunities relating to school violence.

10. **RECORDKEEPING:** Local governments are required under fair housing law to keep and maintain appropriate records so that fair housing issues can be identified and effectively addressed through appropriate interventions. During the course of this study, additional data was needed to diagnose potential concerns (e.g., hate crimes, types of complaints to IFHMB, etc.). In several cases, the data was not available to make a definite conclusion as to whether a program intervention was needed. Although the costs of data acquisition and maintenance can be very high, the City should look into modifying contracts with their service agencies to ensure that the appropriate type and level of detail in data are readily available.

**Implementation Status:** The City’s CDBG program requires that Subrecipients maintain data for four (4) years following the completion of the program year. All relevant records and data is maintained by each Subrecipient and is available for review following reasonable and appropriate notice.

#### **ACTIONS TO FOSTER AND MAINTAIN AFFORDABLE HOUSING**

The majority of the Objectives and Actions identified as part of the performance report are specifically aimed at increasing and maintaining the City's existing affordable housing stock. Activities such as new construction, conservation of at-risk units, and preservation of existing units are all striving to increase the affordable housing stock.

#### **ACTIONS TO EVALUATE AND REDUCE LEAD-BASED PAINT HAZARDS**

During the course of the year, actions undertaken in conjunction with the City's Home Improvement Program were carried out in a manner consistent with federal requirements concerning lead based paint removal for the CDBG program. HUD requires that for any programs using CDBG, all owners and or tenants be notified in writing about the dangers of lead based paint. Units constructed prior to 1978, which are occupied by children under the age of 7, are inspected for defective paint surfaces. If a child residing in the home has an elevated blood lead level, then defective chewable surfaces are to be treated and the lead abated. It is also recommended that housing rehabilitation efforts carried out by the RDA also follow the same guidelines.

#### **ACTIONS TO DEVELOP INSTITUTIONAL STRUCTURE AND ENHANCE COORDINATION**

Generally, the institutional structure carried out through the Consolidated Plan is multi-faceted. It involves separate department and institutional divisions within the City itself, the Planning Department, Building and Safety Department, and the Engineering Department as well as the involvement of organizations from outside the City.

Outside public agencies involved in implementing the Consolidated Plan include the Housing Authority of San Bernardino County, which operates as a political entity independent from other public agencies, and the San Bernardino County HOME Consortium. There are three non-profit agencies are currently working to construct, purchase, and/or manage low income units within the City, these include the National CORE, NHDC, and Habitat for Humanity. Other non-profit agencies involved in providing assistance, and which currently receive assistance through the CDBG program, include House of Ruth, Inland Valley Council of Churches (SOVA), and Inland Fair Housing and Mediation Board. Private industry involved in the production of affordable housing will primarily involve financial and lending institutions, or through restrictions on development.

The most significant issue of concern raised in providing affordable housing is in the area of coordinating efforts. With an increasing focus on affordable housing in the last few years and increasing expertise of those involved in service delivery, progress has been made toward this end. As public agency programs evolve, coordination of efforts will become easier and more beneficial. The continued support of local coordinating agencies, such as the San Bernardino Homeless Coalition should increase the efficiency in service delivery.

#### **PUBLIC HOUSING IMPROVEMENTS**

The Housing Authority was created and formally organized in July 1941 and operates as a political entity independent from other governmental agencies whose primary objective is to provide affordable housing for low-income families. In Rancho Cucamonga, the Housing Authority's activities include the Section 8 Housing Assistance Payment Program and scattered site public housing program. The Housing Authority currently owns 12 public housing units serving 53 people, which are reported to be in excellent condition. The Housing Authority's Comprehensive Grant Program annually budgets funds to maintain the physical condition of the affordable housing units.

#### **PUBLIC POLICIES**

In both the 5-year Consolidated Plan and Annual Action Plan there were no public policies identified that result in significant negative effects on the cost of housing or the incentives to develop, maintain, or improve housing within the City. The various policies, codes, and regulations that are in place which effect the development of residential housing are necessary to protect the health and safety of residents and to provide for the long term benefit of the community.

#### **CONTINUUM OF CARE**

The City's goal is to develop a comprehensive homeless assistance plan that will provide a Continuum of Care with the purpose of ultimately assisting individuals in obtaining and retaining permanent housing. Our first priority was to continue funding those agencies that were able to provide the first line of defense

in providing outreach and advocacy by assisting individuals and families who are homeless or at-risk of homelessness. These activities include emergency shelter and shelter vouchers, food distribution, advocacy, and referrals. The City continues to fund landlord/tenant activities as an additional means of assisting those who are at-risk of homelessness in keeping their homes.

#### **LEVERAGING RESOURCES**

Prior to the recent elimination of RDA's the primary source of fund leveraging occurred in conjunction with activities carried out through the RDA 20% Set-Aside funds. This was accomplished through public/private partnerships with non-profit housing development corporations such as the National CORE and NHDC. Other sources for leveraging include Federal HOME funds, and Low Income Housing Tax Credits.

#### **CITIZEN PARTICIPATION**

The following public notice was published in the Inland Valley Daily Bulletin announcing that the CAPER was available for public review and comment. A 10-day review period was provided for residents to review and comment on the CAPER. The notice was published on Monday, September 15, 2014, indicating the document was available for review through Thursday, September 25, 2014.

#### **PUBLIC NOTICE**

##### **CITY OF RANCHO CUCAMONGA COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT PROGRAM CONSOLIDATED ANNUAL PERFORMANCE AND EVALUATION REPORT**

The City of Rancho Cucamonga Planning Department has completed its Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report (CAPER) for the period of July 1, 2013 to June 30, 2014. The CAPER concerns the City's overall use of Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) funds in relation to its overall need as outlined in the Consolidated Plan and Annual Action Plan.

The primary objective of the CDBG program is the development of viable communities by providing decent housing, a suitable living environment, and the expansion of economic opportunities, primarily for persons of low- and low-moderate income. The City's overall objective is to preserve and improve the quality of life of its residents through physical, social, and rehabilitation programs that give priority to low- and low-moderate income families.

All persons interested in reviewing the CAPER may inspect copies at the City of Rancho Cucamonga Planning Department, 10500 Civic Center Drive, Rancho Cucamonga, CA. Comments should be directed to Thomas Grahn, Associate Planner at (909) 477-2750 ext. 4312, [tom.grahn@cityofrc.us](mailto:tom.grahn@cityofrc.us), or Desiree Lawson, Planning Technician, ext. 4328, [desiree.lawson@cityofrc.us](mailto:desiree.lawson@cityofrc.us), on or before Thursday, September 25, 2014.

#### **PUBLIC COMMENT**

No public comments were received regarding the 2013 CAPER.

#### **SELF-EVALUATION**

The City's vision is consistent with HUD's overall goal of the CDBG program covered by 24 CFR Part 91. As part of HUD's vision for communities, the City of Rancho Cucamonga continues to develop and strengthen partnerships among all levels of government and the private sector; with activity in the private sector focusing primarily on non-profit organizations. This has led to collectively solving both neighborhood and community related problems.

Overall, the City of Rancho Cucamonga's various programs operate effectively, and the City utilizes its

resources well to assist its low- and moderate-income citizens throughout the community.

## **APPENDICES**

---

The following reports are attached as the Appendix:

- APPENDIX A: PR 03 – CDBG ACTIVITY SUMMARY REPORTS
- APPENDIX B: PR 06 – SUMMARY OF CONSOLIDATED PLAN PROJECTS FOR REPORT YEAR
- APPENDIX C: PR 23 – SUMMARY OF ACCOMPLISHMENTS
- APPENDIX D: PR 26 – CDBG FINANCIAL SUMMARY REPORT
- APPENDIX E: SECTION 3 SUMMARY REPORT (HUD Form 60002)

The **CDBG Activity Summary** lists each CDBG activity that was open during the program year. For each activity, the report shows the status, accomplishments, program year narrative, and program year expenditures. For each activity, the report also shows the activity code, regulation cite, and characteristics of the beneficiaries.

The **Summary of Consolidated Plan Projects** tracks progress in implementing projects identified in the action plan. This report lists all projects for a plan year in sequence by project number. Disbursements are summarized by program for each project's activities. Accomplishments reported for the program year are summarized for each program area.

The **Summary of Accomplishments** presents data on CDBG activity counts and disbursements by priority need categories. It also contains data on CDBG accomplishments by various units of measure and housing units by racial ethnic categories.

The **CDBG Financial Summary** provides key CDBG program indicators. This report shows the obligations and expenditures that the City made during the program year. The expenditures are summarized to determine the relevant indicators for low- and moderate-income, planning/administration, public service activities, and economic development. This report contains program year information on statutory requirements regarding overall percentage of low- and moderate-income benefit.

**APPENDIX A: PR 03 – CDBG ACTIVITY SUMMARY REPORTS**

**APPENDIX B: PR 06 – SUMMARY OF CONSOLIDATED PLAN PROJECTS FOR REPORT YEAR**

**APPENDIX C: PR 23 – SUMMARY OF ACCOMPLISHMENTS**

**APPENDIX D: PR 26 – CDBG FINANCIAL SUMMARY REPORT**

**APPENDIX E: SECTION 3 SUMMARY REPORT (HUD Form 60002)**

As of the preparation of this CAPER, the HUD Section 3 Summary Reporting System remains unavailable for the submission of Form HUD 60002 by covered agencies. As stated in the July 25, 2014 letter from Gustavo Velasquez “Please be assured that no recipients will be held in noncompliance for failing to submit 2013 or 2014 Section 3 reports on time, and the unavailability of the system should not result in negative findings during annual audits such as those conducted pursuant to the Single Audit Act (i.e., OMB Circular: A-133).”